## Demographic

## Jewish Population in the United States, 1977

THE ESTIMATE of the United States "Jewish population"* for 1977 was $5,776,000$. The decrease of 60,000 from the previous year's estimate (AJYB, 1977 [Vol. 77], p. 229) is almost entirely accounted for by the elimination of "double counting" involving Washington, D.C. and its two suburban areas, located respectively in Virginia and in Maryland. As a consequence, state totals for the latter two also show a drop from the previous year's levels.

The major source for changes in community estimates in Table 3 are the local Jewish federations, which responded to a questionnaire. Cities marked with an asterisk have provided such estimates either currently, or in the recent past. New York City is continued at the level derived from the 1970 National Jewish Population Study (NJPS). Estimates from communities where no federation exists were generally derived from earlier estimates, and therefore are subject to a greater margin of error. This is particularly so in areas where sharp changes in Jewish population levels occurred, as, for example, Florida and California.

The state totals are the sum of the individual community estimates, adjusted for duplication in areas where a community extends across state boundaries. Also included are communities with Jewish population under 100 (not shown in Table 3). There is a slight overstatement of the proportion of Jewish population in the general population, since the former is for the first half of 1977 and the latter is as of July 1, 1976.
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[^0]
## APPENDIX

TABLE 1. Jewish population in the united states, 1977

| State | Estimated Jewish Population | Total Population* | Estimated <br> Jewish <br> Per Cent <br> of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | 9,050 | 3,665,000 | 0.2 |
| Alaska | 630 | 382,000 | 0.2 |
| Arizona | 32,665 | 2,270,000 | 1.4 |
| Arkansas | 3,245 | 2,109,000 | 0.2 |
| California | 680,960 | 21,520,000 | 3.1 |
| Colorado | 31,520 | 2,583,000 | 1.2 |
| Connecticut | 98,045 | 3,117,000 | 3.1 |
| Delaware | 9,200 | 582,000 | 1.6 |
| District of Columbia | 34,665 | 702,000 | 4.9 |
| Florida | 369,715 | 8,421,000 | 4.4 |
| Georgia | 30,295 | 4,970,000 | 0.6 |
| Hawaii | 1,500 | 887,000 | 0.2 |
| Idaho | 630 | 831,000 | 0.1 |
| Illinois | 269,225 | 11,229,000 | 2.4 |
| Indiana | 25,265 | 5,302,000 | 0.5 |
| Iowa | 6,855 | 2,870,000 | 0.2 |
| Kansas | 11,095 | 2,310,000 | 0.5 |
| Kentucky | 11,525 | 3,428,000 | 0.3 |
| Louisiana | 15,790 | 3,841,000 | 0.4 |
| Maine | 8,195 | 1,070,000 | 0.8 |
| Maryland | 163,945 | 4,144,000 | 4.0 |
| Massachusetts | 255,835 | 5,809,000 | 4.4 |
| Michigan | 93,910 | 9,104,000 | 1.0 |
| Minnesota | 34,270 | 3,965,000 | 0.9 |
| Mississippi | 4,165 | 2,354,000 | 0.2 |
| Missouri | 75,420 | 4,778,000 | 1.6 |
| Montana | 545 | 753,000 | 0.1 |
| Nebraska | 8,345 | 1,553,000 | 0.5 |
| Nevada | 11,380 | 610,000 | 1.9 |
| New Hampshire | 4,780 | 822,000 | 0.6 |
| New Jersey | 442,375 | 7,336,000 | 6.0 |
| New Mexico | 6,245 | 1,168,000 | 0.5 |
| New York | 2,149,305 | 18,084,000 | 11.9 |


| State | Estimated Jewish Population | Total Population* | Estimated <br> Jewish <br> Per Cent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Carolina | 11,010 | 5,469,000 | 0.2 |
| North Dakota | 1,445 | 648,000 | 0.2 |
| Ohio | 160,640 | 10,690,000 | 1.5 |
| Oklahoma | 6,160 | 2,766,000 | 0.2 |
| Oregon | 8,685 | 2,329,000 | 0.4 |
| Pennsylvania | 469,070 | 11,862,000 | 4.0 |
| Rhode Island | 22,000 | 927,000 | 2.4 |
| South Carolina | 7,365 | 2,848,000 | 0.3 |
| South Dakota | 490 | 686,000 | 0.1 |
| Tennessee | 17,610 | 4,214,000 | 0.4 |
| Texas | 70,510 | 12,487,000 | 0.6 |
| Utah | 2,160 | 1,228,000 | 0.2 |
| Vermont | 1,855 | 476,000 | 0.4 |
| Virginia | 44,395 | 5,032,000 | 0.9 |
| Washington | 15,890 | 3,612,000 | 0.4 |
| West Virginia | 4,150 | 1,821,000 | 0.2 |
| Wisconsin | 31,565 | 4,609,000 | 0.7 |
| Wyoming | 345 | 390,000 | 0.1 |
| U.S. TOTAL | 5,775,935 | 214,559,000 | 2.7 |

*July 1, 1976, resident population. Total civilian population was 212,976,000. (Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P. 25, No. 642.)

TABLE 2. distribution of u.s. Jewish population by regions, 1977

| Region | Total Population | Per Cent Distribution | Jewish Population | Per Cent Distribution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northeast: | 49,503,000 | 23.1 | 3,451,460 | 59.8 |
| New England | 12,221,000 | 5.7 | 390,710 | 6.8 |
| Middle Atlantic | 37,282,000 | 17.4 | 3,060,750 | 53.0 |
| North Central: | 57,739,000 | 26.9 | 718,525 | 12.4 |
| East North Central | 40,934,000 | 19.1 | 580,605 | 10.1 |
| West North Central | 16,805,000 | 7.8 | 137,920 | 2.4 |
| South: | 68,855,000 | 32.1 | 812,795 | 14.1 |
| South Atlantic | 33,990,000 | 15.8 | 674,740 | 11.7 |
| East South Central | 13,661,000 | 6.4 | 42,350 | 0.7 |
| West South Central | 21,204,000 | 9.9 | 95,705 | 1.7 |
| West: | 38,562,000 | 18.0 | 793,155 | 13.7 |
| Mountain | 9,833,000 | 4.6 | 85,490 | 1.5 |
| Pacific | 28,729,000 | 13.4 | 707,665 | 12.3 |
| TOTALS....... | 214,659,000 | 100.0 | 5,775,935 | 100.0 |

TABLE 3. COMMUNITIES WITH JEWISH POPULATIONS OF 100 or more, 1977 (ESTIMATED)

| State and City Popu | Jewish Population | State and City Popor | Jewish <br> Population | State and City | Jewish Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| alabama |  | Lancaster | . 100 | Connecticut |  |
| Anniston | . 145 | *Long Beach | . 16,000 | *Bridgeport | 14,500 |
| *Birmingham | .4,000 | *Los Angeles Metr | tropolitan | Bristol | 250 |
| Dothan | . 265 | Area | .455,000 | Colchester | 525 |
| Gadsden | . 185 | Merced | . 100 | *Danbury | 2,700 |
| Huntsville | . 650 | Modesto | 260 | Danielson | 125 |
| Jasper | . 130 | Monterey | .1,000 | Greenwich | 1,050 |
| *Mobile | . 1,100 | *Oakland (incl. in Alameda |  | *Hartford (incl. New |  |
| *Montgomery | . 1,700 | \& Contra Costa counties) |  | Britain) | .23,500 |
| Selma | . 210 |  |  | Lebanon | . 175 |
| Tri-Cities ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | . 120 | Ontario | 300 | Lower Middlesex |  |
| Tuscaloosa | . 315 | *Orange county | .35,000 | county | . 125 |
|  |  | *Palm Springs | .4,000 | Manchester | . 700 |
| Alaska |  | Pasadena | .1,600 | Meriden | . 1,315 |
| *Anchorage | 420 | Petaluma | 320 | Middletown | . 1,225 |
| *Fairbanks | . 210 | Pomona | . 300 | Milford | 415 |
|  |  | Riverside | 215 | Moodus | 350 |
| Arizona |  | *Sacramento | 5,510 | *New Haven | .20,000 |
| *Phoenix . 2 | .25,000 | Salinas | 240 | *New London | .4,500 |
| *Tucson | 7,500 | San Bernardino | . 1,900 | New Milford | . 350 |
|  |  | *San Diego | 21,000 | Newtown | 275 |
| ArKansas |  | *San Francisco | .75,000 | *Norwalk | . 4,000 |
| Blytheville | . 100 | *San Jose | 13,000 | Norwich | .2,500 |
| Ft. Smith | . 200 | San Pedro | . 300 | Putnam | . 110 |
| Helena | . 100 | *Santa Barbara | .3,800 | Rockville | . 525 |
| Hot Springs | . 600 | Santa Cruz | 400 | *Stamford | .10,800 |
| *Little Rock | 1,380 | *Santa Maria | 350 | Torrington | 400 |
| Pine Bluff | . 300 | Santa Monica | .8,000 | Valley Area ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | . 1,300 |
| Southeast Arkansas ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | ansas ${ }^{\text {b }} 140$ | Santa Rosa | 400 | Wallingford | 440 |
| Wynne-Forest City | City . 110 | Stockton | 1,050 | *Waterbury | 2,800 |
|  |  | Sun City | . 100 | Westport | 2,800 |
| CALIFORNIA |  | Tulare and Kings |  | Willimantic | . 525 |
| *Alameda \& Contra Costa |  | county | . 155 | Winsted | . 110 |
|  |  | Vallejo | . 400 |  |  |
| Bakersfield (incl. in Kern county) |  | *Ventura county | 5,000 | DELAWARE <br> *Wilmington | cl. rest of |
| El Centro | . 125 |  |  | state) | 9,200 |
| Elsinore | . 250 | COLORADO |  |  |  |
| Fontana | . 165 | Colorado Springs | s . 650 | district of columbia |  |
| Fresno | . 1,450 | *Denver | . 30,000 | *Greater Washing- |  |
| Kern county | . 850 | Pueblo | . 375 | ton ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | . 120,000 |


| State and City Pop | Jewish <br> Population | State and City Po | Jewish Population | State and City Popur | Jewish Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Florida |  | illinois |  | IOWA |  |
| Brevard County | - .1,200 | Aurora | . 400 | Cedar Rapids | . 330 |
| Daytona Beach | . 1,200 | Bloomington | . 250 | Council Bluff | 245 |
| *Fort Lauderdale | e . 40,000 | *Champaign- |  | *Davenport (incl. in Quad |  |
| Fort Myers | . 300 | Urbana | . 1,000 | cities, Ill.) |  |
| Fort Pierce | . 270 | *Chicago Metropolitan |  | *Des Moines | 3,300 |
| *Gainesville | . 700 | Area | .253,000 | Dubuque | . 105 |
| *Hollywood | . 30,000 | Danville | . 240 | Fort Dodge | . 115 |
| *Jacksonville | 6,000 | Decatur | . 450 | Mason City | . 110 |
| Key West | . 170 | East St. Louis (incl. in So. |  | Muscatine | . 120 |
| Lakeland | . 700 | Ill.) |  | Ottumwa | . 150 |
| Lehigh Acres | . 125 | *Elgin | . 700 | *Sioux City | 1,100 |
| *Miami . | .225,000 | Galesburg | . 120 | Waterloo | . 435 |
| *Orlando | .7,500 | *Joliet | . 750 |  |  |
| *Palm Beach county |  | Kankakee | . 245 | KANSAS |  |
|  | . 35,000 | Mattoon | . 125 |  |  |  |
| Pensacola | . 800 | *Peoria | 2,000 | Topeka | . 500 |
| Port Charlotte | . 150 | *Quad cities | .3,000 | *Wichita | 1,200 |
| *Sarasota | . 5,000 | Quincy | . 200 |  |  |
| St. Augustine | . 100 | *Rock Island (incl. in Quad |  | Kentucky |  |
| *St. Petersburg (incl. Clear- |  | cities) |  | Ashland Hopkinsville | $\begin{aligned} & .150 \\ & .120 \end{aligned}$ |
| Tallahassee | . 500 | *Southern Illinois ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | ${ }^{8}$. 2,000 | Lexington | . 1,200 |
| *Tampa | .6,000 | *Springfield | . 1,150 | *Louisville | .9,200 |
|  |  | Sterling-Dixon | . 110 | Paducah | . 175 |
| georgia |  | Waukegan | . 1,200 |  |  |
| Albany | 525 |  |  | LOUISIANA |  |
| Athens | . 210 |  |  | *Alexandria | . 750 |
| *Atlanta | . 21,000 | Anderson |  | *Baton Rouge | .1,100 |
| *Augusta | . 1,500 |  | . 105 |  | . 600 |
| Brunswick | 120 | *Bloomington | . 300 | Lafayette <br> Lake Charles | . 125 |
| *Columbus | . 1,000 | Elkhart | 245 | *Monroe | . 320 |
| Dalton | . 235 | *Evansville | . 1,200 | *New Orleans | +10,600 |
| Fitzgerald-Cordele | dele . 125 | *Ft. Wayne | .1,350 |  | .1,500 |
| Macon | 785 | *Gary ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | .5,200 | *Shreveport |  |
| *Savannah | .2,600 | Lafayette | . 11,000 |  |  |
| Valdosta | . 160 |  | . 600 | MAINE |  |
|  |  | Marion | . 170 | Augusta | 215 |
| HAWAII <br> Honolulu | . 1,500 | Michigan City | 400 | Bangor Biddeford-Saco Calais | . 1,300 |
|  |  | Muncie <br> Richmond <br> Shelbyville | . 175 |  | 375 |
|  |  |  | . 110 |  | 135 |
|  |  |  | . 140 | *Lewiston-Auburn | nn . 1,000 |
| idaho |  | Shelbyville | .2,800 | *Portland | .3,500 |
| Boise | . 120 | *South Bend | . 700 | Waterville | . 220 |


|  |  | Jewish |  |  |  | Jewish |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| State and City | Population |  |  | State and City | Population |  | State and City | Population



| State and City | Jewish <br> Population | State and City Pood | Jewish Population | State and City Popur | Jewish <br> Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *Troy | . 1,200 | East Liverpool | . 290 | Braddock | 250 |
| *Utica | .2,650 | Elyria | 525 | Bradford | 200 |
| Walden | . 200 | Hamilton | . 560 | Brownville | . 150 |
| Warwick | 100 | *Lima | . 310 | *Butler | 340 |
| Watertown | . 250 | Lorain | . 1,000 | Carbon county | . 125 |
| White Lake | . 425 | Mansfield | 480 | Carbondale | . 115 |
| Woodbourne | 200 | Marion | . 120 | Carnegie | . 200 |
| Woodridge | . 300 | Middletown | . 210 | Central Bucks county |  |
|  |  | New Philadelphia | ia . 140 |  | 400 |
| NORTH CAROLINA |  | Newark | . 105 | Chambersburg | 200 |
| *Asheville | . 1,000 | Piqua | . 120 | Chester | .2,100 |
| Chapel Hill | . 230 | Portsmouth | . 120 | Coatesville | . 305 |
| *Charlotte | . 3,000 | Sandusky | . 150 | Connellsville | . 110 |
| Durham | . 350 | Springfield | . 560 | Donora | 100 |
| Fayetteville | . 480 | *Steubenville | . 380 | *Easton | .1,300 |
| Gastonia | . 140 | *Toledo | .7,500 | Ellwood City | . 110 |
| Goldsboro | . 120 | *Warren | 500 | *Erie | . 1,475 |
| *Greensboro (incl. in N.C. Triad) |  | Wooster | . 200 | Farrell | . 150 |
|  |  | *Youngstown | . 5,400 | Greensburg | . 300 |
| *High Point (incl. in N.C. Triad) |  | Zanesville | . 350 | Hanover | . 145 |
|  |  |  |  | *Harrisburg | 4,850 |
| *North Carolina Triad* |  | OKLAHOMA |  | *Hazleton | . 900 |
|  | .2,700 | Muskogee | . 120 | Homestead | 300 |
| Raleigh | . 490 | *Oklahoma City | 1,500 | Indiana | . 120 |
| Rocky Mount | . 110 | Oklahoma City |  | *Johnstown | . 600 |
| Whiteville Zone ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{x}} \quad .330$ | Zone ${ }^{\text {y }}$ | . 190 | Kittanning | . 175 |
| Wilmington | 500 | *Tulsa | 2,600 | *Lancaster | . 1,900 |
| *Winston-Salem N.C. Triad) | (incl. in |  |  | Lebanon | 650 |
|  |  | OREGON |  | Lewistown | . 225 |
|  |  | Corvallis | . 140 | Lock Haven | . 140 |
| NORTH DAKOTA |  | Eugene | . 360 | *Lower Bucks |  |
| Fargo | . 700 | *Portland | .7,800 | county ${ }^{2}$ | 18,000 |
| Grand Forks | . 100 | Salem | . 200 | McKeesport | 2,100 |
|  |  |  |  | Monessen | . 100 |
| OHIO 6 |  | PENNSYLVANIA |  | Mt. Carmel | . 100 |
|  |  | Aliquippa | 400 | Mt. Pleasant | . 120 |
| Ashtabula | . 160 | *Allentown | .4,930 | New Castle | 400 |
| Bellaire | . 120 | *Altoona | . 1,200 | New Kensington | n 475 |
| *Canton | .2,650 | Ambridge | . 250 | *Norristown | .2,000 |
| *Cincinnati | . 30,000 | Beaver | . 115 | North Penn | 200 |
| *Cleveland | .80,000 | Beaver Falls | . 400 | Oil City | 150 |
| * Columbus | . 13,000 | Berwick | . 120 | Oxford-Kennett |  |
| *Dayton | .6,000 | Bethlehem | . 960 | Square | . 180 |


| State and City Popula | Jewish Population | State and City Pop | Jewish Population | State and City Popu | Jewish Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *Philadelphia Metropolitan |  | Jackson | . 120 | Rutland | 280 |
| Area . 35 | .350,000 | Johnson City ${ }^{\text {an }}$ | 210 | St. Johnsbury | 100 |
| Phoenixville | . 300 | *Knoxville | . 1,200 |  |  |
| *Pittsburgh . | . 51,000 | *Memphis | .9,000 | virginia |  |
| Pottstown | . 680 | *Nashville | .3,700 | *Alexandria (incl. Falls |  |
| *Pottsville | . 500 | Oak Ridge | 240 | Church, Arlington |  |
| Punxsutawney | . 100 |  |  | county and urbanized |  |
| *Reading | . 2,800 | TEXAS |  | Fairfax county) 16,000 |  |
| Sayre | . 100 | Amarillo | . 245 | Arlington (incl. in |  |
| *Scranton | .4,190 | *Austin | . 2,000 | Alexandria) |  |
| Shamokin | . 145 | Baytown | . 300 | Danville | 140 |
| *Sharon | 470 | *Beaumont | . 450 | Fredericksburg | 140 |
| Shenandoah | . 230 | Brownsville | . 160 | *Hampton (incl. in |  |
| State College | 400 | *Corpus Christi | .1,020 | Newport News) |  |
| Stroudsburg | . 410 | Corsicana | . 200 | Harrisonburg | 160 |
| Sunbury | 160 | *Dallas | . 20,000 | Hopewell | 140 |
| *Uniontown | . 290 | De Witt county ${ }^{\text {bb }}$ | b $\quad .150$ | Lynchburg | 350 |
| Upper Beaver | . 500 | *El Paso | .4,500 | Martinsville | 135 |
| Washington | . 300 | *Ft. Worth | 2,850 | *Newport News (incl. |  |
| Wayne county | 210 | *Galveston | . 645 | Hampton) | 2,400 |
| West Chester | . 300 | *Houston | 26,000 | *Norfolk (incl. Virginia |  |
| *Wilkes-Barre | .4,300 | Kilgore | . 110 | Beach) . 11 | . 11,000 |
| Williamsport | . 770 | *Laredo | 420 | Petersburg | . 580 |
| *York | .1,750 | Longview | 160 |  |  |
|  |  | Lubbock | 230 | $\text { Suffolk) } \quad .1,165$ |  |
| RHODE ISLAND |  | McAllen | . 280 | *Richmond . 1 | 10,000 |
| *Providence (incl. state) | cl. rest of | North Texas |  | Roanoke | . 800 |
|  | . 22,000 | Zone ${ }^{\text {ce }}$ | . 175 | Williamsburg | . 120 |
|  |  | Odessa | 150 | Winchester | 110 |
| SOUTH Carolina |  | Port Arthur | . 260 |  |  |
| *Charleston | . 3,000 | *San Antonio | 6,500 | WASHINGTON |  |
| *Columbia | . 1,800 | Texarkana | . 100 | Bellingham | 120 |
| Florence | . 370 | Tyler | 480 | Bremerton (incl. in |  |
| Greenville | . 600 | *Waco | 700 | Seattle) |  |
| Orangeburg county | unty . 105 | Wharton | . 270 | *Seattle . 13 | 13,000 |
| Spartanburg | . 210 |  |  | Spokane | . 800 |
| Sumter | . 190 | UTAH |  | Tacoma | 700 |
|  |  | Ogden | . 100 |  |  |
| SOUTH Dakota |  | *Salt Lake City | . 1,950 | WEST VIRGINIA |  |
| *Sioux Falls | . 135 |  |  | Beckley | . 120 |
|  |  | VERMONT |  | Bluefield-Princeton | eton. 240 |
| tennessee |  | Bennington | . 120 | *Charleston | . 1,150 |
| *Chattanooga | . 2,250 | Burlington | . 1,225 | Clarksburg | . 225 |


| State and City | Jewish <br> Population | State and City | Jewish Population | State and City | Jewish <br> Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fairmont | . 100 | Beloit | . 105 | Oshkosh | 120 |
| *Huntington | . 350 | Eau Claire | . 120 | *Racine | 405 |
| Morgantown | . 125 | Fond du Lac | . 125 | *Sheboygan | 200 |
| Parkersburg | . 170 | Green Bay | 440 | Superior | 265 |
| Weirton | . 150 | *Kenosha | 250 | Waukes | 135 |
| *Wheeling | 650 | *Madison | 3,000 | Wausau | 265 |
|  |  | Manitowoc | 175 |  |  |
| WISCONSIN |  | Marinette | 170 | wroming |  |
| *Appleton | . 340 | *Milwaukee | .23,900 | Cheyenne | 280 |

*Denotes estimate submitted within three-year period.
${ }^{\circ}$ Florence, Sheffield, Tuscumbia.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Towns in Chicot, Desha, Drew counties.
${ }^{\text {'Centerbrook, Chester, Clinton, Deep River, Essex, Killingworth, Old Lyme, Old Saybrook, }}$ Seabrook, Westbrook.
${ }^{d}$ Ansonia, Derby-Shelton, Seymour.
${ }^{\text {'Greater Washington includes urbanized portions of Montgomery and Prince Georges }}$ counties, Maryland, Arlington County, Fairfax county (organized portion); Falls Church; Alexandria, Virginia.
'Rock Island, Moline (Illinois); Davenport, Bettendorf (Iowa).
${ }^{8}$ Towns in Alexander, Bond, Clay, Clinton, Crawford, Edwards, Effingham, Fayette, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jasper, Jefferson, Jersey, Johnson, Lawrence, Mascoupin, Madison, Marion, Massac, Montgomery, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, St. Clair, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson counties.
${ }^{\text {h}}$ Includes East Chicago, Hammond, Whiting.
'Towns in Caroline, Kent, Queen Annes, Talbot counties.
'Includes Bellingham, Franklin, Norfolk, Maynard.
${ }^{k}$ Allendale, Elmwood Park, Fair Lawn, Franklin Lakes, Oakland, Midland Park, Rochelle Park, Saddle Brook, Wykoff also included in North Jersey estimate.

Includes Camden and Burlington counties.
${ }^{\text {m }}$ Includes western part of Hudson county; Hillside (part), Springfield, Summit in Union county. Also Chatham, Florham Park, Madison in Morris county.
"Includes Clayton, Paulsboro, Woodbury. Excludes Newfield, see Vineland.
${ }^{\circ}$ Includes Belmar, Deal, Long Branch, Neptune.
${ }^{\circ}$ Excludes Chatham, Florham Park, Madison which are included in Essex county.
${ }^{9}$ Includes Guttenberg, Hudson Heights, North Bergen, North Hudson, Secaucus, Union City, Weehawken, West New York, Woodcliff.
'Includes Paterson, Wayne, Hawthorne in Passaic county, and nine towns in Bergen county. See footnote (k).
${ }^{\text {s }}$ Includes Perth Amboy, Metuchen, Edison Township (part), Woodbridge.
'Includes in Middlesex county, Cranbury, Dunellen, East Brunswick, Edison Township (part), Jamesburg, Matawan, Middlesex, Monmouth Junction, Old Bridge, Parlin, Piscatawy,

South River, Spottswood; in Somerset county, Kendall Park, Somerset; in Mercer county, Hightstown.
"Excludes Kendall Park and Somerset which are included in Raritan Valley.
${ }^{`}$ Includes in Cumberland county, Norma, Rosenheim, Vineland; in Salem county, Elmer; in Gloucester county, Newfield; in Cape May county, Woodbine.
*Greensboro, High Point, Winston-Salem.
*Burgaw, Clinton, Dunn, Elizabethtown, Fairmont, Jacksonville, Lumberton, Tabor City, Wallace, Warsaw; and Dillon, Loris, Marion, Mullins, S.C.

「Towns in Alfalfa, Beckham, Cadelo, Canadian, Cleveland, Custer, Jackson, Kingfisher, Kiowa, Lincoln, Logan, Oklahoma, Payne, Roger Mills, Tillman, Washita counties.
${ }^{2}$ Bensalem Township, Bristol, Langhorne, Levittown, New Hope, Newtown, Penndel, Warington, Yardley.
"Includes Kingsport and Bristol (including the portion of Bristol in Virginia).
${ }^{\text {bo }}$ Includes communities also in Colorado, Fayette, Gonzales and La Vaca counties.
"Denison, Gainesville, Greenville, Paris, Sherman.

# Affiliation and Nonaffiliation in the United States Jewish Community: A Reconceptualization 

JUST WHO is to be considered "affiliated" with the Jewish community? While at first glance the answer may seem simple-"anyone who belongs"-in practice the issue is complex and, in the past, has defied neat statistical description. In view of the network of intertwined factors, such as professed religious or communal ideology, membership in a temple or synagogue, membership in one or more Jewish organizations, not to mention the many aspects of Jewish philanthropic giving, there is an urgent need to clarify the meanings of Jewish "affiliation" and 'nonaffiliation.'

Some statistics are, of course, available. The several congregational bodies report membership data. Similarly, many Jewish organizations, particularly the large and well-organized ones, furnish the relevant figures. ${ }^{1}$ However, such items of information (varying in reliability, particularly in earlier years) cannot provide the full story of affiliation with the Jewish community. While so-called master lists-notably as developed for purposes of fund raising by using various combinations of factors noted above-may aspire to relative completeness, they typically turn out to be selective. This often is so because they do not include doubtful fund-raising prospects, or simply fail to keep up with elusive population influx and outflow, and shifting memberships. Further, while master lists may provide a rough indication of affiliation in certain communities, especially the small and stable, in most instances the criteria, and their use in list preparation, are neither uniform nor consistently meaningful. Finally, since normally not all members of a household appear on a given list, there is no indication of the direction or quality of affiliating commitment of other persons residing in a specified household.

## Affliation Defined

The National Jewish Population Study (NJPS) of 1970 provides the basis for both a reconceptualization and a review of first-hand representative data relating to affiliation and nonaffiliation in the Jewish community. The following concept is proposed: A person is considered to be affiliated with the Jewish community if he/she explicitly describes himself/herself as identifying with a Jewish ideology (e.g., Orthodox, Conservative, Reform, etc.), and if, in addition, he/she belongs to one (or more)

[^1]Jewish congregation(s), OR if he/she belongs to one (or more) Jewish organization(s), or both of the latter. ${ }^{2}$ By way of shorthand, Jewish affiliation=Jewish ideology + one or both of the following: Jewish congregation membership, Jewish organization membership.

## Socio-ideological Types

In the National Jewish Population Study, this definition made it possible to distinguish the following categories, or socio-ideological types (also simply denoted as "types"): Orthodox affiliated, Orthodox nonaffiliated, Conservative affiliated, Conservative nonaffiliated, Reform affiliated, Reform nonaffiliated, agnostic-atheist Jews, the "just Jewish," "ex-Jews"-persons who have converted out of Judaismand non-Jews-non-Jewish partners in intermarriage and some of their children.' The "miscellaneous" type, as used here, is a heterogeneous group cumulating various "splinters" and numerically small subgroups in the Jewish population.

Given recent high rates of intermarriage, particularly for the period 1965-70 and apparently continuing at fairly even but substantial levels thereafter, the non-Jew as a member of a "Jewish household" constitutes a sociological fact, warranting specific inclusion in the study of Jewish populations. Also included in the typology, though they may be readily eliminated from Jewish population estimates, are converts who broke formal association with Judaism but acknowledge their Jewish roots.

The agnostic-atheist Jews, rather than formally renouncing Jewishness and explicitly embracing some other faith, maintain a kind of ideological "dual citizenship," regarding themselves as Jewish in some generic sense, but avowing an ideology (beyond absence of identification with one of the major ideological-religious orientations) that directly questions, or fully denies, the existence of a God or other important aspects of their Jewish heritage.
The "just Jewish,' for the most part not members of congregations or Jewish organizations, may be described in terms of the various colloquial categories of "cardiac Jews" ("I just feel it right here-in my heart."). These affirm Jewishness in a broad sense, but choose no particular socio-ideological orientation within

[^2]Judaism, nor do they actively affiliate. (At times, of course, it is difficult to distinguish between agnostic Jews and "just Jews.")

The proposed categorizations thus consider both ideological orientation, as indicated by a person's self-description (or the description provided by a qualified respondent in a household for other members of this household), and reported specific behavior manifested by "doing something" about asserted Jewishness, as by association with a temple or a synagogue and/or by joining a Jewish organization. ${ }^{4}$

With the types defined, we now examine the profile of the affiliated and nonaffiliated in accordance with NJPS data.

## Jewish Affiliation and Nonaffiliation

As shown in Table 1, no single socio-ideological type holds a majority position, for household heads or individuals ages 13 and up, ${ }^{5}$ in the United States Jewish population. The largest plurality is attained by the Conservative affiliated: 29.7 per cent of the total (household heads and individuals). Next in proportion are the Reform affiliated: 17.7 per cent of household heads, 19.3 per cent of individuals. Thus, were it a matter of democratic representation in some hypothetical kehillah, even these two types combined would still fall short of a majority position. In third place are: for household heads, the "just Jewish," and, for individuals, the Conservative nonaffiliated, respectively with 12.2 and 10.8 per cent of the total. In an overall profile, however, three groups contest fairly equally for this third position: the Conservative nonaffiliated, the Reform nonaffliated, and the "just Jewish,'" with percentages ranging from about 10 to 12 of the total. It is only after these types that the Orthodox make an appearance: 8.4 per cent of the household heads and 7.3 per cent of individuals are characterized as Orthodox affiliated.

Just under 3 per cent of household heads are non-Jews, reflecting intermarriages with Jewish partners; for individuals the corresponding figure is significantly larger, 5.5 per cent. The reason for this is the presence of a higher proportion of non-Jewish spouses (individuals who are not household heads) and their non-Jewish children. Atheist-agnostic Jews and persons who have converted out of Judaism and whom one may not wish to regard as members of the Jewish community each constitute close to 1 per cent of the totals.

[^3]
## Total Affiliation Proportions

The proportion of affiliated individuals in the total United States adult Jewish population varies according to the criteria employed: whether the focus is on individuals or household heads, and the choice of the base population with

TABLE 1. distribution by socio-ideological types
of individuals (age 13 and Up) in Jewish HOUSEHOLDS AND HOUSEHOLD HEADS

|  | Individuals |  | Household Heads |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | Per Cent | Rank Order | Per Cent | Rank Order |
| CA | 29.7 | 1 | 29.7 | 1 |
| RA | 19.3 | 2 | 17.7 | 2 |
| CNA | 10.8 | 3 | 10.6 | 5 |
| RNA | 10.0 | 4 | 11.1 | 4 |
| JJ | 9.9 | 5 | 12.2 | 3 |
| OA | 7.3 | 6 | 8.4 | 6 |
| NJ | 5.5 | 7 | 2.7 | 8 |
| MISC | 3.5 | 8 | 2.0 | 9 |
| ONA | 2.4 | 9 | 2.9 | 7 |
| XJ | 0.9 | 10 | 1.2 | 10 |
| AAJ | 0.8 | 11 | 1.0 | 11 |
| Total | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  |
| $(n)^{*}(30,431)$ |  |  | $(12,393)$ |  |

Note: Abbreviations used in this and all following tables are in alphabetical order, AAJ: agnostic-atheist Jews; CA: Conservative affiliated; CNA: Conservative nonaffiliated; JJ: just Jews; MISC: miscellaneous; NJ: non-Jews; OA: Orthodox affiliated; ONA: Orthodox nonaffiliated; RA: Reform affiliated, RNA: Reform nonaffiliated.
*Numbers in parentheses in this and following tables indicate ( n ): weighted number of cases, NJPS.

## TABLE 2. Proportion of affiliated ${ }^{\text {a }}$ IN JEWISh POPULATION

Group Per Cent

Ideologically-identified Jewish Individuals ${ }^{\text {b }} \quad 70.8$
Ideologically-identified Jewish Household Heads ${ }^{\text {b }} 69.5$
All Jewish Individuals ${ }^{\text {c }} 62.0$
All Jewish Household Heads ${ }^{\text {c }} 59.2$

[^4]reference to which the question is to be answered (see Table 2).
If only those who identify ideologically as Orthodox, Conservative, or Reform are considered, about seven in 10 (the figures are very similar for individuals and household heads) are affiliated. However, if the view of the base population is broadened to include others with some Jewish orientation, including the just Jewish type and excluding only the ex-Jews and the non-Jews, the affiliation proportion declines considerably, to about 59 per cent ${ }^{6}$ for household heads and 62 per cent for individuals. Given this perspective, the conclusion is that between six and ten are affiliated with the Jewish community.

In total numbers, in line with earlier figures,' an estimated $1,125,000$ Jewish household heads of a total of $1,900,000$, and $2,850,000$ Jewish persons 13 years old and up, of a total of $4,600,000$ in this age group are affiliated with the Jewish community. It must, of course, be recalled that if the proportion of non-Jews in Jewish households remains at high levels or increases, as by intermarriage, total numbers of Jewish affiliated are likely to decline, unless at some future time higher Jewish birthrates and offsetting increased affiliation ratios counteract the current trend.

## Jewish Ideology and Affiliation

A consistent, though modest, relationship appears between ideology and affiliation: by narrow margins, the Orthodox are more likely to be affiliated than the Conservative, who, in turn, are typically more likely to be affiliated than the Reform (see Table 3). The percentages range from highs of close to 75 per cent affiliation for the Orthodox, to lows in the mid-to-low 60 per cent range for the Reform. This suggests that Reform religious and organizational institutions have available a relatively large pool of currently unaffiliated who, under some circumstances, may be inclined to seek Jewish institutional affiliation. (In absolute terms, however, the number of Conservative unaffiliated exceeds the corresponding number for Reform).

From a somewhat different vantage point one may consider as the base total all individuals who are affiliated with one of the major ideological divisions. This subgroup of the affiliated is distributed as shown in Table 4. Here, slightly more than half are Conservative-affiliated, approximately one-third are Reform-affiliated, and about one in seven or eight is Orthodox-affiliated. Accordingly, if one views those who are directly associated with the Orthodox, Conservative, or Reform as "mainstream" in the organized Jewish community, one finds that the Conservative position holds a slight majority. In numbers, Reform and Orthodox follow at considerable distance. Movements of some dramatic visibility, e.g., young Hasidism, affiliates

[^5]TABLE 3. PROPORTION OF AFFILIATED AND UNAFFILIATED, BY IDEOLOGY Per Cent

| Orthodox | Conservative | Reform | Any Major Jewish Ideology |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75.4 | 73.4 | 65.8 | 70.8 |
| 74.3 | 73.8 | 61.6 | 69.5 |
| 24.6 | 26.6 | 34.2 | 29.2 |
| 25.7 | 26.2 | 38.4 | 30.5 |
| $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ |
| $(2,936)$ | $(12,322)$ | $(8,931)$ | $(24,189)$ |
| $(1,406)$ | $(4,992)$ | $(3,569)$ | $(9,967)$ |


| Group |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Affiliated | Individuals |
|  | Household Heads <br>  <br> Unaffiliated <br>  <br>  <br> Total <br>  <br>  <br> (n): Individuals <br> (n): Household Heads |
|  |  |

# TABLE 4. DISTRIBUTION OF AFFILIATED, BY IDEOLOGIES Per Cent 

Ideology Individuals Household Heads

| Orthodox | 12.9 | 15.1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Conservative | 52.8 | 53.2 |
| Reform | 34.3 | 31.7 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| (n) | $(17,131)$ | $(6,925)$ |

of Habad houses, etc., whatever their ideological or symbolic significance, constitute but very small segments of the total Jewish population.

## Age Level and Socio-ideological Type

As a basis for projecting the future of affiliation and nonaffiliation (though, of course, conditions found at the time of the NJPS cannot be presumed to continue indefinitely), it is useful to examine the variations in the distribution of socioideological type by specific age levels. For example, one may inquire whether the types most prevalent at middle age are equally prevalent among the young; or whether the patterns characteristic of the elderly resemble those at middle age. Both common sense observation and NJPS data suggest that noteworthy distinctions prevail. (See Table 5.)

At the bar/bat-mitzvah age of 13 , more than 40 per cent are Conservativeaffiliated, by far the highest percentage among all types at this age level, and indeed the high point for Conservative affiliation. This circumstance may in part be a by-product of Jewish education practices, since high proportions of parents (and thus their children) affiliate with Conservative congregations as the children approach bar/bat-mitzvah age. ${ }^{8}$

While at this age level the Conservative orientation in affiliation considerably exceeds that of Reform, by nearly two to one, in the middle and late teens the picture changes: while still most prevalent, its relative proportion declines substantially, to 32 per cent, with Reform affiliation rising to about 24 per cent. Thus, though Conservative affiliation consistently exceeds Reform affiliation at all ages, the gap narrows after age 13.

Both Conservative and Reform affiliations continue to decline at ages 20 to 24 and 25 to 29 , reaching low points in the latter age group. For this a combination of several factors may be responsible: (a) heightened concerns with personal and

[^6]TABLE 5. SOCIO-IDEOLOGICAL TYPES, BY AGE CATEGORIES

| AAJ* | $X J$ | $N J$ | $O A$ | $O N A$ | $C A$ | $C N A$ | $R A$ | $R N A$ | $J J$ | MISC | Total | $(n)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 6.9 | 4.2 | 1.0 | 40.2 | 5.1 | 20.8 | 10.7 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 100.0 | $(692)$ |
| 0.7 | 0.5 | 6.8 | 4.7 | 1.4 | 31.6 | 11.4 | 23.6 | 7.3 | 9.4 | 2.6 | 100.0 | $(4,323)$ |
| 1.1 | 1.8 | 5.8 | 4.7 | 1.8 | 20.6 | 14.3 | 15.0 | 15.4 | 11.5 | 8.0 | 100.0 | $(3,211)$ |
| 0.5 | 1.9 | 16.0 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 18.4 | 11.3 | 10.4 | 15.9 | 18.1 | 3.5 | 100.0 | $(2,113)$ |
| 1.7 | 0.6 | 8.4 | 3.5 | 0.7 | 29.6 | 8.4 | 18.9 | 16.2 | 9.2 | 2.7 | 100.0 | $(3,826)$ |
| 0.6 | 0.9 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 2.1 | 30.6 | 11.4 | 25.8 | 6.7 | 9.5 | 2.4 | 100.0 | $(4,968)$ |
| 0.9 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 8.1 | 2.2 | 35.0 | 10.6 | 18.9 | 8.3 | 10.7 | 1.7 | 100.0 | $(4,892)$ |
| 0.6 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 11.2 | 2.3 | 34.2 | 10.5 | 22.6 | 7.4 | 7.2 | 2.1 | 100.0 | $(1,843)$ |
| 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 16.5 | 24.5 | 31.0 | 10.6 | 14.6 | 6.7 | 7.1 | 5.4 | 100.0 | $(2,792)$ |
| 0.4 | 0 | 0.4 | 20.3 | 6.9 | 28.8 | 8.2 | 16.9 | 8.8 | 7.1 | 2.0 | 100.0 | $(1,311)$ |
| 0.6 | 0 | 3.8 | 9.4 | 5.6 | 28.8 | 15.6 | 10.3 | 9.4 | 12.6 | 3.8 | 100.0 | $(340)$ |
| 0.8 | 0.9 | 5.3 | 7.3 | 2.4 | 29.8 | 10.8 | 19.3 | 10.1 | 9.9 | 3.4 | 100.0 | $(30,311)$ |

*Abbreviations used in this and all the following tables are in alphabetical order, AAJ: agnostic-atheist Jews; CA: Conservative affiliated; CNA: Consevative nonaffiliated; JJ: just Jews; MISC: miscellaneous; NJ:
non-Jews; OA: Orthodox affiliated; ONA: Orthodox nonaffiliated; RA: Reform affiliated, RNA: Reform nonaffiliated.
occupational identity, associated with college, postgraduate, and early employment years, may channel energies away from Jewish affiliative opportunities, which apparently are not fully responsive to the prevailing human concerns at this time of life, and (b) the incidence of intermarriage diffuses specifically Jewish socio-ideological patterns, reducing the proportions of affiliated.

Further evidence of nonaffiliative tendencies appearing for those between 25 and 29 years of age is furnished by consideration of the "just Jewish." Rising persistently from the 13 -year-old bracket, their proportion reaches a high of more than 18 per cent at ages 25 to 29 .

In the middle years of life, generally from 30 to 59 , somewhat more even patterns appear. The proportion of Conservative affiliated tends to constitute about 30 per cent of the total, and the corresponding figure for the Reform affiliated varies from about 19 to 26 per cent. The "just Jewish" proportion stabilizes at around 9 to 10 per cent. However, with the previously lower incidence of intermarriage, proportions of the non-Jewish persistently decline with increasing age, from the adult-level peak of 16 per cent at ages 25 to 29 to lows of nearly 2 per cent in mature adulthood.

It is above age 60 that the proportion of Orthodox affiliated increases, far above the levels found among the young. These figures, considering exclusively the affiliated rather than all who describe themselves as Orthodox, are consistent with previously reported findings on Orthodox ideological self-description. ${ }^{4}$

A revealing view of the relationship between affiliation and age appears in Table 6. Noting any affiliation regardless of socio-ideological orientation, the peak, 84 per cent, is reported for the 13 -year-olds, a kind of watershed in Jewish education and in the promulgation of Jewish norms. However, almost immediately beyond this point, e.g., in the 14 -to-19-year age category, as Jewish education is completed, the affiliation figure drops significantly, to near 60 per cent. The decline continues to age 20 to 24 , with some 40 per cent affiliated, and slides further at ages 25 to 29 , to the eventual nadir of near 32 per cent. It is only at age 30 and later that a recovery of sorts appears in the percentage of affiliated: a rise above 50 per cent in the thirties age bracket, and subsequent further increases to the 60 per cent range, which then continues into old age.

From this rollercoaster-like pattern one may surmise that the affiliative forces converging on the Jewish child in early adolescence rapidly dissipate, perhaps under the impact of a multitude of psychological dynamics associated with personal and professional identity search, advanced secular education, early occupational development, and family formation. These dynamics appear to transcend salient concern with Jewish affiliations.

In examining these results it must be recalled that they take account of various "age slices" one at a time, and that individuals within these slices vary in their

[^7]
# TABLE 6. AFFILIATED INDIVIDUALS IN JEWISH HOUSEHOLDS, BY AGE CATEGORIES* <br> Per Cent 

| Age Category | Affiliated |
| :--- | :---: |
| 13 | 83.6 |
| $14-19$ | 59.8 |
| $20-24$ | 40.3 |
| $25-29$ | 31.6 |
| $30-39$ | 52.0 |
| $40-49$ | 61.7 |
| $50-59$ | 62.1 |
| $60-64$ | 68.1 |
| $65-74$ | 62.2 |
| 75, up | 66.1 |

[^8]typical childhood experiences, Jewish family backgrounds, and the like. Clearly, the middle-aged or older individual may have affiliated for different reasons than the person in the early thirties who, motivated by interest in his/her children's Jewish education or by reemerging personal ideological considerations, joins a Jewish congregation or a Jewish organization.

One cannot assume that the higher proportions of affiliation currently found among those about 30 years of age necessarily forecast a later "return to Judaism" among the now younger persons. Only a study following the same individuals through their life cycles would throw light on this continuously developing process underlying affiliative or nonaffiliative behavior. And these so-called longitudinal analyses, too, would need to be repeated from time to time to reflect changing trends in the culture of the United States Jewish community.

## Household Characteristics of Socio-ideological Types

Having established that the socio-ideological types constitute widely differing proportions of the total Jewish population, we now examine income (Table 7), occupations (Table 8), secular education (Table 9), and age characteristics (Table 10).

In terms of the extremes of the reported distribution (excluding nonresponse), household incomes below $\$ 8,000$ and above $\$ 40,000$ (1969) are highlighted. A massive proportion of low incomes is found for the Orthodox nonaffiliated, with 60 per cent of this group in the under $\$ 8,000$ bracket. The next most deprived type is the Orthodox affiliated, of whom about 51 per cent appear at this lowest level. Indeed, one-half of the Orthodox nonaffiliated is not in the labor force.

TABLE 7. household income (extremes of income distribution, 1969), BY SOCIO-IDEOLOGICAL TYPES

Per Cent

| Income | $A A J^{*}$ | $X J$ | $N J$ | $O A$ | $O N A$ | $C A$ | $C N A$ | $R A$ | $R N A$ | $J J$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under $\$ 4000$ | 6.7 | 0.7 | 8.6 | 31.8 | 47.9 | 12.4 | 15.5 | 3.0 | 13.1 | 21.0 |
| $\$ 4000-7,999$ | $\frac{3.8}{10.5}$ | $\frac{38.9}{39.6}$ | $\frac{2.1}{10.7}$ | $\frac{19.0}{50.8}$ | $\frac{12.1}{60.0}$ | $\frac{12.5}{24.9}$ | $\frac{11.9}{27.4}$ | $\frac{6.4}{9.4}$ | $\frac{5.6}{18.7}$ | $\frac{16.3}{37.3}$ |
| Total "low" |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 40,000-49,999$ | 1.9 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 0 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 5.1 | 1.2 | 1.5 |
| $\$ 50,000$, and over | 7.7 | 1.5 | 0.7 | $\frac{2.1}{3}$ | -0 | $\frac{5.1}{1.2}$ | $\frac{1.0}{7.0}$ | 2.1 | 5.6 |  |
| Total "highest" | 9.6 | 4.6 | 2.8 | 3.9 | 0 | 7.1 | 2.1 | 12.1 | 3.3 | 7.1 |

[^9]Two other types with substantial proportions of marginal income households are the "just Jewish" and the ex-Jewish; about 37 to 47 per cent of these report incomes under $\$ 8,000$, though the very lowest category, income under $\$ 4,000$, is more prevalent among the Orthodox and the "just Jewish" than among the ex-Jewish.

At the highest income levels, $\$ 40,000$ and up, the Reform affiliated and the agnostic-atheist Jews rank at the top, with some 12 and 10 per cent of household heads, respectively, reporting such incomes. The affluent economic level is corroborated by the high proportions of professional and technical occupations among household heads: 44 per cent and 36 per cent, respectively.
In turn, such substantial representation in the more remunerative professionaltechnical occupations is supported by high education levels. Among the agnosticatheist Jews, some 66 per cent reported education attainment of four years of college or more, while the corresponding percentage for the Reform affiliated is about 54 per cent. The proportion of college or advanced education reported for the Conservative affiliated is about 38 per cent, but close to 20 per cent for the Orthodox affiliated. It is lowest, about 13 per cent, for the Orthodox unaffiliated.

It is further noted that the nonaffiliated and affiliated among the Orthodox constitute the oldest among the several types examined: about 37 per cent and 33 per cent, respectively, are 65 years of age and over, compared, for example, with about 14 and 12 per cent, respectively, of the Conservative affiliated and nonaffiliated. The Reform are still younger: only some 11 per cent of the affiliated and 10 per cent of the nonaffiliated are aged 65 or older." The "youngest" age distributions appear for

[^10]TABLE 8. hOUSEHOLD HEADS' OCCUPATION, BY SOCIO-IDEOLOGICAL TYPES Per Cent

| Occupation | $A A J^{*}$ | XJ | NJ | $O A$ | ONA | CA | CNA | $R A$ | RNA | JJ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Not in labor force | 17.7 | 1.3 | 3.8 | 38.2 | 50.0 | 20.5 | 18.4 | 14.0 | 15.3 | 26.7 |
| Professional, technical | 44.4 | 15.4 | 34.6 | 13.3 | 8.3 | 21.0 | 15.8 | 36.0 | 24.1 | 26.5 |
| Managerial administrative | 13.7 | 16.1 | 38.8 | 22.0 | 9.9 | 39.5 | 24.3 | 32.1 | 32.6 | 22.1 |
| Clerical, sales | 20.9 | 32.2 | 17.2 | 13.1 | 21.3 | 11.9 | 20.2 | 14.2 | 19.8 | 11.4 |
| Crafts, etc. | 3.2 | 29.5 | 2.1 | 10.8 | 5.8 | 5.3 | 17.6 | 2.5 | 5.0 | 7.6 |
| Service, labor | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 3.6 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 2.5 | 1.6 |

*For meaning of abbreviations see Table 7.
Note: see Note Table 7.

TABLE 9. SECULAR EDUCATION (EXTREMES OF DISTRIBUTION) OF HOUSEHOLD HEADS Per Cent

| Level of Education | AAJ* | XJ | $N J$ | OA | ONA | CA | CNA | $R A$ | RNA | JJ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 9th grade or less | 5.6 | 4.0 | 0.9 | 26.7 | 40.4 | 11.6 | 14.6 | 3.2 | 9.2 | 7.7 |
| 12th grade | 12.1 | 27.5 | 42.1 | 25.9 | 25.2 | 21.0 | 31.8 | 21.3 | 21.2 | 14.5 |
| Total "low" | 17.7 | 31.5 | 43.0 | 52.6 | 65.6 | 32.6 | 46.4 | 24.5 | 30.4 | 22.2 |
| 4 years college | 39.5 | 10.1 | 10.4 | 7.5 | 5.0 | 13.3 | 8.5 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 16.3 |
| Postgrads-M.A./Ph.D. | 25.5 | 12.9 | 20.4 | 6.5 | 3.6 | 11.8 | 11.3 | 20.5 | 15.0 | 18.6 |
| Professional degree | 0.5 | 0.4 | 2.7 | 5.9 | 4.2 | 12.4 | 6.0 | 15.2 | 7.6 | 16.6 |
| Total "highest" | 65.5 | 23.4 | 33.5 | 19.9 | 12.8 | 37.5 | 25.8 | 53.7 | 40.6 | 51.5 |

[^11]Note: See Note, Table 7.
the non-Jews in Jewish households and for the Reform nonaffiliated, suggestingbarring later ideology change-potentially significant future impact of these less identified groups on Jewish life in years to come.

With no major exceptions, the affiliated, regardless of ideology, appear to be better off economically than the corresponding group of unaffiliated. Thus income of the Reform affiliated exceeds that of the Reform nonaffiliated; that of the Conservative affiliated exceeds the corresponding level of the Conservative nonaffiliated, etc. This raises some question as to the kinds of economic accomodations that may be required by Jewish community institutions to bring about possible higher affiliation rates. There is, of course, the further possibility that an unaffiliated person now mildly ideologically identifying with, let us say, Reform may later choose to become

TABLE 10. selected age characteristics and household size, by socio-ideological types

Per Cent

| Age Cate | AAJ* | XJ | $N J$ | OA | ONA | CA | CNA | RA | RNA | JJ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13-19 | 13.1 | 8.5 | 21.1 | 10.4 | 9.3 | 18.1 | 16.1 | 19.7 | 12.8 | 14. |
| 20-29 | 18.4 | 37.1 | 32.5 | 9.6 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 21.3 | 11.9 | 27.2 | 24. |
| 30-39 | 27.4 | 8.5 | 19.9 | 6.0 | 3.9 | 12.5 | 9.8 | 12.3 | 20.3 | 11. |
| Total "younger" | 58.9 | 54.1 | 73.5 | 26.0 | 24. | 42.2 | 47.2 | 43.9 | 60 |  |


| 65 | 1 | 4.1 | 1.7 | 20.9 | 24.5 | 9.6 | 9.0 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 6.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75 and up | 2.0 | 0 | 0.4 | 12.1 | 12.6 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.1 |
| otal "oldest" | 6.1 | 4.1 | 2.1 | 33.0 | 37.1 | 13 | 12.3 | 7 | 9.9 |  |


| Household Size | 2.6 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*For meaning of abbreviations, see Table 7.
Note: See Note, Table 7.
congregationally or organizationally associated with a Conservative institution, if this should prove more feasible from an economic standpoint.

## U.S. Jewish Community: "Internal Pluralism" Summarized

This paper has proposed a series of ten major socio-ideological types in the United States Jewish population. It is evident, in accordance with NJPS data, that significant internal differences are discernible, and that these differences are expressed systematically in variations of age, income, occupation, and general (secular) educational attainment.

Findings reported elsewhere ${ }^{12}$ indicate that these differentiations also are significantly reflected in other areas of theoretical and practical interest as, for example, in attitudes toward Jewish education, avowed support of Israel, views of intermarriage, and the like. It is suggested that a thorough understanding of the United States Jewish population and the application of this understanding to planning for Jewish survival will be enhanced by a systematic consideration of the distinct varieties of Jewishness currently found in the United States, and that such understanding may well replace previous reliance on untested assumption or wishful projection regarding the Jewish future.

Fred Massarik

[^12]
[^0]:    *Represents the number of individuals in households in which one or more Jews reside, and therefore includes non-Jews living in such households as a result of intermarriage, etc. For a discussion of this, see AJYB, 1974-75 (Vol. 75), pp. 296-97.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Although membership figures are not compiled annually, relevant lists of organizations normally capable of providing such data can be found in the American Jewish Year Book: see directory of "National Jewish Organizations" in this volume.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ While this reconceptualization may prove to be broadly useful, three caveats are noted. 1. Analyses reported in this paper are based on people's reports of their characteristics and behavior, not on data, such as authenticated membership rosters or dues-paying records. 2. Affiliation is focused on specific reported behavior (e.g. "joining"), extending beyond religious ideology, and is not synonymous with Jewish identity. The latter typically takes account of a broad variety of attitudes and forms of Jewish expression. Affiliation is a starting point, but not a detailed measure, of qualitative Jewish commitment. 3. Fund raising, viz. contributing to Jewish campaigns, is not used as a criterion because apparent variability in assurance of response and the extent to which very small gifts of a dollar or two would constitute possibly misleading positive responses make its reliability as an index of affiliation questionable.
    ${ }^{3}$ For the sake of limiting the number of types to be reported here, no breakdown of sub-types, or their analysis, will be attempted in this paper for the "miscellaneous" category.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ In a rather small number of instances, some question may be raised as to just what constitutes a Jewish organization. There is, of course, no doubt about B'nai B'rith, Hadassah, etc. However, some problems of classification may arise with regard to smaller or less well known organizations that may or may not be primarily oriented toward Jewish social, religious, or institutional objectives. In the overwhelming majority of cases, the classification involves no particular difficulty. A complete list of Jewish organizations, as defined for this purpose, appears in the NJPS computer code book; list available from author.
    ${ }^{5}$ Excluded are young children who, particularly in the case of intermarriage, may still be of undefined socio-ideological status, or may typically reflect the orientation of their parents.

[^4]:    ${ }^{2}$ In this and subsequent Tables, the term "affiliated" is to be interpreted as having Jewish affiliation.
    ${ }^{6}$ Orthodox, Conservative, Reform.
    "Exclusive of ex-Jews and non-Jews; one-half of "miscellaneous" estimated to be affiliated.

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ See American Jewish Year Book, Vol. 74 (1973), p. 282. Variation in percentage is to be ascribed to broader definition employed here.
    ' Estimated in accordance with data appearing in American Jewish Year Book, Vol. 75 (1974-1975), p. 296 ff., and Vol. 74 (1973), p. 271.

[^6]:    - As noted, NJPS did not question all members of the family. Affiliation patterns for young people, as those at bar/bat-mitzvah age, are derived from the statements of a responsible adult respondent in the household. These statements, while largely reliable, do not necessarily measure the depth of emotional commitment to a given ideology by the young persons whose affiliations are reported.

[^7]:    ${ }^{9}$ For related analyses, see Fred Massarik, Jewish Identity—Facts for Planning (New York: Council of Jewish Federations and Welfare Funds, 1974), esp. p. 2; also his "Trends in U.S. Jewish Education," American Jewish Year Book, Vol. 77, (1977), pp. 240-50.

[^8]:    *Each age category $=100$ per cent; unaffiliated per cent is difference between figure shown at each age level and 100 per cent. Miscellaneous are not included.

[^9]:    *Abbreviations used in this and all following tables are in alphabetical order, AAJ: agnosticatheist Jews; CA: Conservative affliated; CNA: Conservative nonaffiliated; JJ: just Jews; NJ: non-Jews; OA: Orthodox affiliated; ONA: Orthodox nonaffiliated; RA: Reform affiliated; RNA: Reform nonaffiliated. "Miscellaneous" type is not considered.

    Note: All households (or individuals) for a given type $=100$ per cent. Percentages do not add to 100 because only part of distribution is reported to highlight salient findings.

[^10]:    ${ }^{11}$ It must be recalled that the analyses relate only to persons 13 years of age and older, and thus are not comparable to total age distributions that include children under 13.

[^11]:    *For meaning of abbreviations, see Table 7.

[^12]:    ${ }^{12}$ Fred Massarik, "The Anatomy of Ideology: Toward Systematic Socio-ideological Differentiation of the U.S. Jewish Population," to appear in Proceedings, Seventh World Congress of Jewish Studies (Jewish Demography), Jerusalem, August 1977.

