

STATE CHILD CARE EXPENDITURES (CCDBG AND TANF COMBINED) AND AVERAGE NUMBER OF CHILDREN SERVED (CCDBG), 2004-2005¹

State	Total Child Care Spending (TANF and CCDBG) ² FY 2004	Total Child Care Spending (TANF and CCDBG) FY 2005	Dollar Change	Percent Change	Average Monthly Number of Children Served (CCDBG only) ³ FY 2004	Average Monthly Number of Children Served (CCDBG only) FY 2005 ⁴	Change in Number of Children Served
Alabama	\$110,953,514	\$106,392,469	(\$4,561,045)	-4%	29,200	27,100	(2,100)
Alaska	\$43,690,995	\$47,982,619	\$4,291,624	10%	4,400	4,700	300
Arizona	\$160,891,357	\$130,457,676	(\$30,433,681)	-19%	38,500	31,100	(7,400)
Arkansas	\$64,823,648	\$77,076,042	\$12,252,394	19%	14,700	10,300	(4,400)
California	\$2,366,609,716	\$2,057,946,824	(\$308,662,892) ⁵	-13%	160,100	162,600	2,500
Colorado	\$123,647,242	\$103,421,675	(\$20,225,567)	-16%	20,600	19,100	(1,500)
Connecticut	\$116,177,245	\$132,859,186	\$16,681,941	14%	10,300	9,600	(700)
Delaware	\$39,745,249	\$10,034,678	(\$29,710,571) ⁶	-75%	6,400	6,900	500
D.C.	\$74,101,249	\$69,109,186	(\$4,992,063)	-7%	4,500	3,800	(700)
Florida	\$660,883,477	\$678,834,933	\$17,951,456	3%	105,200	116,300	11,100
Georgia	\$212,271,147	\$215,974,318	\$3,703,171	2%	59,500	60,600	1,100
Hawaii	\$40,740,286	\$45,760,698	\$5,020,412	12%	10,000	8,900	(1,100)
Idaho	\$35,447,080	\$37,460,138	\$2,013,058	6%	10,300	10,600	300
Illinois	\$645,744,752	\$685,183,693	\$39,438,941	6%	85,800	84,000	(1,800)
Indiana	\$158,520,702	\$149,381,141	(\$9,139,561)	-6%	34,100	32,200	(1,900)
Iowa	\$86,769,695	\$96,464,323	\$9,694,628	11%	16,400	17,900	1,500
Kansas	\$80,587,709	\$84,481,356	\$3,893,647	5%	17,700	18,800	1,100

www.clasp.org • Center for Law and Social Policy • (202) 906-8000

1015 15th Street, NW, Suite 400, Washington, DC 20005

State	Total Child Care Spending (TANF and CCDBG) ² FY 2004	Total Child Care Spending (TANF and CCDBG) FY 2005	Dollar Change	Percent Change	Average Monthly Number of Children Served (CCDBG only) ³ FY 2004	Average Monthly Number of Children Served (CCDBG only) FY 2005 ⁴	Change in Number of Children Served
Kentucky	\$142,331,408	\$105,024,490	(\$37,306,918)	-26%	34,300	36,500	2,200
Louisiana	\$128,094,855	\$153,100,980	\$25,006,125	20%	51,800	51,800	0
Maine	\$44,122,157	\$43,558,347	(\$563,810)	-1%	4,000	4,600	600
Maryland	\$142,680,550	\$132,250,729	(\$10,429,821)	-7%	24,000	20,500	(3,500)
Massachusetts	\$402,652,205	\$392,563,547	(\$10,088,658)	-3%	35,300	34,800	(500)
Michigan	\$544,476,141	\$538,800,673	(\$5,675,468)	-1%	44,500	79,300	34,800
Minnesota	\$177,617,746	\$187,635,034	\$10,017,288	6%	22,100	25,500	3,400
Mississippi	\$86,487,195	\$70,709,173	(\$15,778,022)	-18%	25,100	33,300	8,200
Missouri	\$192,993,449	\$203,252,132	\$10,258,683	5%	38,700	36,300	(2,400)
Montana	\$22,045,445	\$24,999,903	\$2,954,458	13%	5,100	5,200	100
Nebraska	\$52,924,679	\$61,373,646	\$8,448,967	16%	13,400	13,400	0
Nevada	\$20,999,022	\$33,413,265	\$12,414,243	59%	4,300	4,600	300
New Hampshire	\$21,273,711	\$29,029,427	\$7,755,716	36%	6,600	7,100	500
New Jersey	\$234,053,555	\$184,708,960	(\$49,344,595)	-21%	38,300	37,800	(500)
New Mexico	\$78,097,004	\$81,443,092	\$3,346,088	4%	22,900	23,100	200
New York	\$1,032,626,731	\$1,024,216,803	(\$8,409,928)	-1%	140,100	127,600	(12,500)
North Carolina	\$392,013,213	\$413,189,527	\$21,176,314	5%	99,600	104,200	4,600
North Dakota	\$14,352,432	\$11,641,138	(\$2,711,294)	-19%	4,900	3,700	(1,200)
Ohio	\$417,548,118	\$459,271,095	\$41,722,977	10%	53,800	51,600	(2,200)
Oklahoma	\$180,635,957	\$174,885,561	(\$5,750,396)	-3%	21,800	19,700	(2,100)
Oregon	\$84,394,438	\$91,786,179	\$7,391,741	9%	21,200	21,300	100
Pennsylvania	\$483,313,704	\$514,448,457	\$31,134,753	6%	63,700	72,600	8,900
Rhode Island	\$65,779,168	\$81,255,010	\$15,475,842	24%	5,900	5,200	(700)
South Carolina	\$80,141,828	\$79,219,663	(\$922,165)	-1%	20,200	19,500	(700)
South Dakota	\$16,788,143	\$17,240,473	\$452,330	3%	4,600	4,700	100

Center for Law and Social Policy

State	Total Child Care Spending (TANF and CCDBG) ² FY 2004	Total Child Care Spending (TANF and CCDBG) FY 2005	Dollar Change	Percent Change	Average Monthly Number of Children Served (CCDBG only) ³ FY 2004	Average Monthly Number of Children Served (CCDBG only) FY 2005 ⁴	Change in Number of Children Served
Tennessee	\$205,755,444	\$210,672,618	\$4,917,174	2%	47,600	43,200	(4,400)
Texas	\$505,348,142	\$607,036,841	\$101,688,699	20%	119,000	123,400	4,400
Utah	\$50,622,606	\$52,362,930	\$1,740,324	3%	9,000	11,100	2,100
Vermont	\$32,866,107	\$29,718,132	(\$3,147,975)	-10%	3,300	4,100	800
Virginia	\$177,759,772	\$218,622,883	\$40,863,111	23%	27,200	29,300	2,100
Washington	\$306,338,622	\$298,996,092	(\$7,342,530)	-2%	54,900	53,900	(1,000)
West Virginia	\$55,191,787	\$54,204,415	(\$987,372)	-2%	10,000	10,000	0
Wisconsin	\$357,640,902	\$346,441,642	(\$11,199,260)	-3%	27,600	28,700	1,100
Wyoming	\$16,188,084	\$17,700,107	\$1,512,023	9%	4,500	4,600	100
U.S. Total ⁷	\$11,854,438,487	\$11,719,192,291	(\$135,246,196)	-1%	1,738,400	1,782,000	43,600

Sources: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. CCDBG expenditure and participation data are available at http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/ccb/data/. TANF financial data are available at http://www.acf.dhhs.gov/programs/ofs/data. Note: CCDBG expenditures include all funds spent during federal FY 2004 or federal FY 2005, including funds that were appropriated in that year and funds appropriated in prior years that were liquidated in that year. Analysis of expenditure data based on state fiscal years may differ from the analysis presented here.

¹ For state-by-state pages analyzing child care spending and participation, go to <u>http://www.clasp.org/publications/childcareearlyedmap.htm</u>.

² To calculate total child care spending in a federal fiscal year, we sum state and federal CCDBG funds (including liquidated TANF transfers to CCDBG and

CCDBG funds appropriated in prior years but liquidated in the given year); TANF funds spent directly on child care; and additional state TANF MOE.

³ Average monthly number of children served through CCDBG funds (including TANF transfers to CCDBG). State-by-state participation data for children served in TANF-funded child care are not available.

⁴ FY 2005 data are preliminary estimates.

⁵ According to the California Department of Education, the decline in spending that is shown in federal reporting reflects delays in the execution of several contracts and the timing of expenditures, rather than a decline in actual spending. The data shown here do not capture outstanding expenditures and/or pending contracts, which are expected to be fully expended. The California Child Care Budget shows a slight increase in expenditures from FFY 2004 to FFY 2005. ⁶ In previous years, Delaware reported spending above its CCDBG MOE requirement. In 2005, Delaware made downward accounting "adjustments" to CCDBG MOE expenditures reported in prior years, which resulted in Delaware reporting a net negative \$8.5 million in CCDBG expenditures in FY 2005 and contributed to a total decline in spending of 75 percent. It is unclear the extent to which this decline reflects an actual decline in expenditures, as opposed to simple

accounting modifications.

⁷ Total spending figures include U.S. territories and therefore do not equal the sum of state expenditures shown here.

Center for Law and Social Policy