Jewish Out-Marriage: Mexico and Venezuela

Sergio DellaPergola

The Avraham Harman Institute of Contemporary Jewry
The Hebrew University of Jerusalem
and The Jewish People Policy Planning Institute

Background

This chapter deals with recent Jewish family developments in some Spanish speaking countries in the central areas of the American continent. While not the largest in size, during the second half of the 20th century these communities have represented remarkably successful examples of richly structured, attractive and resilient Jewish communities. The Latin American model of Jewish community organization developed in the context of relatively poor and highly polarized societies where social-class stratification often overlapped with differentials between the descendants of native civilizations and the descendants of settlers from Western European countries—primarily Spain. Throughout most of the 20th century the general political context of these societies was characterized by considerable concentrations of central presidential power within a state structure often formally organized in a federal format. Mexico and Venezuela featured a comparatively more stable political environment than other countries in Latin America.

Mexico and Venezuela, the main focus of this paper, provide examples of Jewish populations generated by initially small international migration during the first half of the 20th century, and subsequent growth through further immigration and natural increase. Around the year 2000, the Jewish population was estimated at about 40,000 in Mexico, mostly concentrated in Mexico City, and 15,000 to 18,000 in Venezuela, mostly in Caracas.

For many decades, Jews from Central and Eastern Europe constituted the preponderant element from the point of view of population size and internal power within these communities. Jews from Eastern and Central Europe included contingents from Poland, Romania, Russia, Germany, Hungary, and other countries, and consolidated into a unified Ashkenazi Jewish community organization (the Ashkenazi community in Mexico, and the Union Israelita Caracas in Venezuela—UIC). However, Jews from the Mediterranean area and the Middle East participated since the beginning in Jewish community formation, and since the 1970s tended to

become quantitatively equal and gradually predominant within the community framework. The presence has been particularly pronounced in Jews from Syria and Lebanon in Mexico (as well as in other Latin American communities). In Mexico, these immigrant streams did not coalesce into one homogenous Sephardi community but preferred to keep separate frameworks for Jews from Aleppo, Damascus, and Turkey and the Balkans. Jews from Morocco, including former Spanish Morocco, have been increasingly visible in Venezuela especially since the late 1960s. North African and Middle Eastern Jews in Caracas are organized under the roof of the Asociación Israelita de Venezuela (AIV).

Over time, the somewhat higher fertility rates of the Sephardi communities together with the differential rhythm of incoming and outgoing migrations, ended up with Mediterranean and Middle Eastern Jews taking over the majority in communities that previously had been mostly of Central and Eastern European origin.

In Mexico City in 1991, affiliation patterns varied from a 45% membership in the Ashkenazi community to a 36% combined membership in the three Sephardi communities among Jews aged 65 and over, to 19% and 47%, respectively, among younger Jewish adults aged 15-29. The percent balance included membership in non-geographically defined communities, such as sports centers and non-ethnic religious congregations, and the non-affiliated.

In Caracas in 1998/99, the respective percentages of self-reported Ashkenazim and Sephardim were 65% vs. 31% among Jews aged 65 and over, and turned out to be 34% vs. 44% among those aged 15-29. The remaining percentage points pertained to people of combined parentage, or of non-Jewish origin.

Jewish community organization was consistently influenced by sub-ethnic divisions, with separate representative bodies for different groups of Ashkenazi and Sephardi origins. In Mexico—as in several other Latin American countries—the Conservative movement has been an increasingly visible component of the organizational fabric of the total community. Jewish Community Centers, focusing mainly on sports, leisure, and cultural activities, constitute a cardinal point of reference in these communities. Jewish full-time education has generally ranged between well developed and absolutely predominant (as in the case of Mexico). The different Jewish community organizations and representative bodies are usually federally regrouped in a roof representative organization which deals with relations with the national political system and international Jewish organizations.

In general socioeconomic terms, Latin American societies are less developed in comparison with North American or Western European countries. At the beginning of the 2000s, Mexico was rated 53rd and Venezuela was 68th according to the UN Index of Human Development—a measure of public health, educational attainment, and income adjusted for purchasing power of the local currency. Latin American countries experienced a greater amount of political and economic instability than commonly known in other western countries. Jews were distinctly affected as well by such instability that resulted in periodical waves of emigration. Emigration was directed in part toward Israel, partly toward the United States, and often also toward other Latin American countries.

However, the comparatively wealthy niche carved by the Jewish population also meant that there were enough good reasons for staying and waiting for better times—which in fact often came after periods of crisis. Among the Latin American societies hosting major Jewish communities, Mexico, Venezuela, and Brazil in particular featured strong socioeconomic and ethnocultural inequalities. Jews have been mostly identified with middle to upper classes in countries with vast amounts of poverty. The sense of strong Jewish community identity which generally developed in these societies also reflected such social class polarization and the limited attractiveness of the non-Jewish environment.

Sources of Data

The data in this chapter derive from Jewish population surveys undertaken in Mexico City (Mexico) and Caracas (Venezuela) during the 1990s. In both countries, the vast majority of the Jewish population resides in the capital city. In each case, the surveys comprised a stratified representative sample of all Jewish households with at least one self-declared Jewish person. Given the very high rate of community affiliation, most of the initial efforts were devoted to creating a sampling framework comprising an unduplicated master list of all households whose membership was known to at least one of the major local Jewish community organizations. The surveys explored different demographic, socioeconomic and Jewish behavioral and attitudinal characteristics of Jewish households and of each individual member, as well as of their families of origin (parents and grandparents of heads of households and their spouses) and of independent households currently headed by adult children of the respondents.

Mexico

The survey was carried out in 1991 as a joint project of the Hebrew University and El Colegio de México, with the sponsorship of the Association of Mexican Friends of the Hebrew University of Jerusalem and with the active collaboration of the main Jewish community organizations in the Mexico City metropolitan area. An unduplicated masterlist of community members was obtained including 10,446 affiliated Jewish households stratified by community organization. Principles were determined on how to attribute the cases of duplication across the different communities. Overall, the survey was based on a net sample of 866 households with 2,896 individuals (of which 2,757 in affiliated households) – over 7% of the total estimated Jewish population.

A separate effort was made to create a further sub-sample of the relatively few non-affiliated Jewish households. These were especially concentrated among the foreign-born, recent immigrants, and occupational groups with higher levels of education. Various snow-ball procedures were employed to locate the unaffiliated, yielding a total of 400 households. Among these a net sample of 31 households was drawn, including 80 persons. While there is no way to guarantee that the proportional weight of unaffiliated households out of the total sample accurately reflects their weight among the total Jewish population, the method of selection ensures good representation of the characteristics of these households. Further included in the survey were 22 households with 59 persons, sampled at large from the total membership rostrum of all Jewish communities.

Data were collected through direct face-to-face interviewing. The questionnaire included twelve sections. Interviewing was meticulous and involved repeated contacts with the respondents; sometimes the time needed to complete one interview cumulated to over two hours. Such an accurate but time-consuming procedure and budget limitations eventually led to a final sample size somewhat smaller than had been initially intended.

Venezuela

The survey was conducted in 1998 and 1999 as a joint project of the Hebrew University of Jerusalem and the major Jewish community organizations in Caracas. Overall, the survey was based on a net sample of 697 households with 2,135 individuals – over 14% of the total estimated Jewish population.

After many consistency checks were undertaken on the original membership lists, an unduplicated master list was obtained including 4,821 households – of which 2,160 of the Asociación Israelita de Venezuela (Sephardi), 2,493 in the Union Israelita Caracas (Ashkenazi), 72 from the lists of several other minor organizations, and 96 unaffiliated. The latter were located by comparing the membership lists with telephone directories, and additionally drawing from households with typical Jewish names.

Data were collected through direct interviewing. A relatively low initial yield and elections in 1998 determined an interruption in data collection and a renewed effort with additional interviewers in 1999. The questionnaire included 11 sections with a total of 115 questions.

Out-marriage Patterns

Mexico

Patterns of social segregation and a clear demarcation of sub-ethnic Jewish sectors have long prevailed within the Jewish community of Mexico. Marriage across these rather thick sub-ethnic boundaries has long been socially quite unacceptable and therefore infrequent in these Jewish communities. Table 1, reconstructed through a representative Jewish population survey conducted in 1991, indicates that until recently a tendency to marry within one's own Jewish group of origin predominated among the Jews in Mexico. Among the Ashkenazi community, regrouping Jews from Eastern and Central Europe, until 1970 at least 90% married within the same community of origin. Among the aggregate of the three Sephardi communities, until 1991 over 80% did. Sub-ethnic homogamy was particularly preponderant among the two communities of Syrian origin—Maguen David (mostly from Aleppo), and Monte Sinai (mostly from Damascus). Aleppo-Damascus "intermarriages" continued to be quite the exception until well into the 1970s. Since the 1970s, greater integration gradually appeared between the various communities, although only to a variable extent. The most integrated across other Jewish communities tended to become the Sefaradi community (mostly for Turkey and the Balkans).

5

¹ S. DellaPergola and S. Lerner, *La población judía de México: perfil demográfico, social y cultural.* Mexico and Jerusalem, 1995. See also S. DellaPergola and S. Lerner, "Jewish Population, Community and Continuity in Mexico: The 1991 Sociodemographic Survey", in S. DellaPergola and J. Even (eds.) *Papers in Jewish Demography 1993 in Memory of U.O. Schmelz* (Jerusalem, 1997) 325-347.

Table 2 shows very low rates of out-marriage with non-Jews. Less than 5% of the Jewish individuals who married during the 1980s did so with a partner that was not born Jewish. This represented about 7% of all the new couples formed. After allowing for conversion to Judaism of some of the non-Jewish spouses, less than 2% of the individuals involved in recent marriages and less than 4% of the new couples were out-marriages. Indeed, the majority of non-Jewish partners were converted to Judaism in one form or another. The time-series presented actually points to continuing increases in the frequency of out-marriage in Mexico, but at least until the early 1990s the levels observed were among the lowest in the Diaspora—both before and after accounting for the effects of conversions.

In order to better evaluate the different frequency of out-marriage—in a general context of low occurrence – we compared selected individual characteristics of the adults involved in out-married families in Mexico City (see Table 3). The data outline selected demographic, socioeconomic, and Jewish identificational aspects of the population surveyed. A simple odds ratio was computed comparing the percent distribution of characteristics of persons involved in an out-marriage with the respective distributions of the total Jewish population in Mexico City. Odds ratios above 1 indicate an over-representation of people with a certain characteristic among the out-married. Odds ratios below 1 indicate an under-representation of the same. Since the data relate to both the Jewish and the non-Jewish partners in out-marriage than an actual measure of out-marriage frequency.

Looking first at the religion at birth of the respondents, the incidence of those in outmarriages is quite obviously and by definition highest among the not many non-Jewish born members of Jewish households. Some of these persons – namely those without a reported religion – may be themselves the children of out-marriages in a previous generation. Regarding the different Jewish communities of affiliation, the incidence of out-marriage is relatively higher in the framework of the Conservative communities of Bet El and Bet Israel. The respective odds ratio is 3.8 times higher than the weight of these communities out of the total Jews in Mexico City. The latter communities also are the more active at performing conversions to Judaism of the spouses wishing to formally join the community. However, expectedly, by far the highest

6

-

² The population characteristics of Jews in Mexico City have been described at length elsewhere and do not need further elaboration here. See note 1 above.

incidence of out-marriage appears among non-affiliated Jews who constitute a rather small minority among the total Jewish population. It should be noted that by their by-laws, the Maguen David and Mount Sinai communities do not admit out-married persons among their membership. Therefore any out-marrying members have the choice between affiliation with another Jewish community or to disaffiliate altogether. As noted, most would probably re-affiliate with the Bet El or Bet Israel communities.

Out-marriage incidence is plausibly more often associated with persons displaying a somewhat weaker Jewish identification—whether as a cause or a consequence of its occurrence. This is the case with those who declare a predominance of a Mexican component over a Jewish component in their self evaluation of overall ethno-religious identity. Conversely, out-marriage is lowest among those who declare to be Jewish only at the exclusion of a Mexican identity component. Not unexpectedly, the incidence of out-marriage is also higher among persons who declare to be less interested or involved in Jewish cultural activities. Out-marriage is interestingly more frequent among people who recently felt personal discrimination on Jewish grounds, especially at work or in the course of their learning activities at school or in universities. It would thus appear that persons who might be considered less involved with Jewish affairs nevertheless continue to hold sensitive perceptions of their Jewishness vis-à-vis the rest of society.

Out-marriage is more frequent at the higher end of the social ladder, among persons with higher levels of education (post-graduate) and among those employed as professionals. Out-marriage is also comparatively more frequent among lower social strata, among the relatively few persons with low levels of education (incomplete primary) and lower occupational status (blue collar). Variation of the incidence of out-marriage across residential areas reflects this bi-modal pattern. Higher out-marriage frequencies appear in some of the older and more central areas of residence (Del Valle) but also in some of the more distant (Northwest) or a-typical (South) residential suburbs. The higher status and more densely Jewish residential areas display lower out-marriage odds ratios (Polanco, Lomas, and Tecamachalco).

As they are, these data reflect behaviors in the more or less recent past, but they can also be interpreted as predictors of the likelihood of out-marriage in the foreseeable future. Upward social mobility, on the one hand, and pauperization, on the other hand, seem to be factors associated with more frequent contacts between Jews and other Mexicans of similar status. With

the expected continuation of successful socioeconomic mobility and integration as the main thread, and periodical occurrences of socioeconomic recession and downward mobility at moments of economic crisis, the frequency of out-marriage can be expected to increase. However, given the general societal profile of Mexico's population, and the particularly intensive functioning of the Jewish community system, including the extensive reach of the Jewish day-school system, the pace of change affecting the frequency of out-marriage will probably continue to be slow among the Jews who choose to remain in Mexico.

Venezuela

In Venezuela, a survey conducted in 1998-1999 in Caracas³ unveiled predominantly endogamic family formation patterns similar to those of Mexico. Perhaps due to the smaller size of the Jewish community, the observed level of out-marriage was somewhat higher. The frequency of recent marriages (performed during the 1990s) was evaluated at 9% of new Jewish spouses, and 14% of new couples.

Table 4 describes selected characteristics of the Jewish population of Caracas by main age groups. In some respects the data indicate a slow diffusion of assimilation from the older to the younger age groups. Thus the proportion of household members who were non-Jewish at birth, while generally low at around 6% tends to increase over time and reaches 12% among those aged 30–44. Looking at current religion, one perceives an intensive process of joining the Jewish community on the part of these non-Jews so that only 2% remain non-Jewish (3% at age 30–44).

On the other hand, when comparing the Jewish practices of the current population with those of the respective families of origin, a higher proportion reports an increase than a decrease. The same trend of an increasing Jewish reach appears with regard to enrollment in Jewish day-schools, and to the proportion of all children who are Jewish. Synagogue attendance every Shabbat tends to increase among younger cohorts, although daily attendance tends to decrease. The growing effect of intensive Jewish schooling is shown by the social networks thus created not only among the youngest age group below 15 years, but also among older adults.

The transition from an exclusively Jewish to a more pronounced Venezuelan identity seemed well underway when comparing the 65+ and the 30–44 age groups, but the younger age

-

³ Work in progress by S. DellaPergola, S. Benzaquen and T. Becker.

groups tend to revert to a more predominantly Jewish identity. Attitudes toward out-marriage are overall rather stable across age groups, and definitely more on the negative than on the approving side. However the number of undecided seems to be growing together with a somewhat less isolationist stance.

Reflecting some ups and downs in the political and economic fortunes of the community, a significant portion of the younger adult generation appears to have moved to other countries. In this respect, emigration to the US was much more frequent than emigration to Israel. Feelings of proximity to Israel and propensities to move there in case of further crises also appear to be weakening among the younger generations

Table 4 also provides some background demographic and socioeconomic information on the Jews in Caracas. The community has absorbed immigration from a variety of countries of origin, a significant portion of which arrived since the 1960s. The generally higher than average socioeconomic status emerges along with a growing propensity toward higher education and professional occupations. Upward social mobility in turn affected the Jewish population's residential distribution and gradually brought quite a few to move to locations more distant from the main centers of Jewish life represented by synagogues, Jewish schools and recreational facilities.

Table 5 illustrates selected characteristics of the Jewish population across different urban sections of the Caracas metropolitan area. A significant gradient can be observed in most of these characteristics when moving from the relatively small Jewish population of the city's center, through the main bulk of the veteran organized community, to the growing number of younger Jewish households located in outer residential areas and more distant suburbs.

Caracas's city center includes few family often of a lower than average socioeconomic status. Most of the other residential areas can be visualized as a linear sequence moving from earlier neighborhoods in the North-Western parts of Caracas to newer neighborhoods in the capital's North-Eastern parts. Suburban areas are more dispersed. The San Bernardino area includes the older core of the Jewish population of Ashkenazi background. The La Florida area includes the more significant concentration of Jews of Sephardi origin. The La Castellana and Sebucan areas include a more integrated presence of both origin and especially the younger and upwardly mobile generations born locally.

The proportion of out-marriages tended to increase with sub-urbanization related to higher socioeconomic status and more prolonged stay in Venezuela. On the other hand, the highest incidence was observed in the small Jewish population residing in the central downtown parts, related to a lower social status. A bi-polar, or U shaped, distribution of out-marriage in relation to socioeconomic status is confirmed by these data.

The proportion of non-Jewish born, roughly equivalent to the incidence of out-marriage among all households and regardless of age was overall 5.5%. It was lowest in La Castellana (3%) and highest in Suburban areas (9%) and City center (13%). Reflecting the tendency to incorporate through formal conversion or otherwise most of the non-Jewish spouses, the proportion of currently non-Jewish individuals was reduced to 2%, ranging from 1% in La Castellana to 8% in city center. The gradual expansion of out-marriage appeared through the proportion of non-Jewish children, amounting overall at 13%. This ranged between a minimum of 3% in La Florida, and a maximum of 22% in Sebucan, and 50% among the few households in the city center.

Most parents would disagree or reject their children's out-marriage (62%) while a minority would agree or support one (23%). The proportion of those who would agree or support ranged between a low of 17% in San Bernardino, and a high of 37%—still a minority—in the Suburban areas.

The gradual weakening of the community's pre-existing marital segregation from the environment is confirmed by the attitudes expressed by the children of the respondents about their own possible future choices. Overall, 28% currently or previously had a non-Jewish dating partner, with lowest frequencies in Sebucan (11%) where due to the younger age composition of the households the number of teenage or adult children was still small and highest frequencies in La Castellana (44%) and the city center (57%). Requested whether they would marry a non-Jewish spouse, 17% of the younger adults replied affirmatively, again with a substantial range of variation between 67% in the city center and 39% in La Castellana, and only 3% in Sebucan.

Several other indicators of Jewish identification consistently show a high level of stability, while also pointing to some weakening in the more affluent suburban areas versus the older areas of Jewish settlement. This is exemplified in the transition of ethno-religious identity from Jewish to Venezuelan Jew to Jewish Venezuelan, as well by the level of self-reported

religiosity, the feeling of closeness to Israel, or the preferred country of emigration were a hypothetical political crisis to affect the Jewish community.

Selected sociodemographic indicators confirm the younger age composition of suburban Jewish households, their being more predominantly born in Venezuela, and their higher socioeconomic status. All of these provide further insights on the spread of out-marriage frequencies and propensities.

Conclusions

Sharp socioeconomic differentials as against the total population, and comparatively strong and cohesive links within the Jewish community, have characterized the experience of the Jewish populations of Mexico and Venezuela. Similar situations can be described in several further Central American contexts.⁴

The data reported in this chapter indicate overall stability in the Jewish community context that stands behind the prevailing low frequencies of out-marriage. The Jewish community system has been able to create and maintain a viable framework of educational and leisure facilities, which has not only preserved Jewish identification but has ostensibly strengthened it among the younger and mostly native age groups in comparison with the older immigrant cohorts. On the other hand, a tendency toward greater integration within compatible social strata of general society has been observed in more recent years, along with greater visibility of Jewish individuals in general political and cultural activities in these Latin American countries. Rapid upward social mobility and residential movement toward more peripheral suburban residential locations tends to weaken the intensity of social interaction within the community and is accompanied by more frequent contacts with non-Jewish neighbors of similarly high social status. A symmetric process is at work among the relatively small sections of the community that do not have the resources to face the rising costs of Jewish community affiliation. Another significant fact is that as a consequence of emigration, the quantitative reduction of the main age groups among which family formation usually occurs may facilitate the diffusion of out-marriage in these communities.

.

⁴ See, e.g., C.A. Tapiero, La Comunidad Judia de Guatemala: perfil sociodemográfico e identidad cultural y religiosa (Ciudad de Guatemala, Comunidad Judia Guatemalteca, 2001).

The main concern, however, remains that of societal stability. In Venezuela in particular the late 1990s and the beginning of the 2000s marked a period of great unrest which prompted a visible portion of the young Jewish adults to leave the country. Similar occurrences could be observed in Mexico during the mid-1980s and again during the mid 1990s. The emerging dilemma is that the conditions which have allowed for a quasi-ideal Jewish community model—typified by high levels of community affiliation and low levels of out-marriage—are associated with a general environment prone to moments of instability. This may create some concern for the continuity of organized Jewish life in spite of the sound foundations of the intergenerational transmission of Jewish identification in Mexico and Venezuela.

Acknowledgments

Research on the Jewish community in Mexico was supported by the Association of Friends of the Hebrew University in Mexico City. Field-work was directed by Susana Lerner of Colegio de Mexico. Research on the Jewish community of Venezuela was supported by the Association of Friends of the Hebrew University of Jerusalem in Caracas, by the Sylvia and Marcel Apeloig Family Foundation, by the Confederación de Asociaciones Israelitas de Venezuela (CAIV) chaired by Mr. Daniel Slimak. Field-work was directed by Toni Beker and Salomon Benzaquén. Data processing was supported by the Mirelman Fund at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem. Benjamin Anderman ably assisted with data processing.

TABLE 1. PERCENTAGES OF MARRIAGES WITHIN JEWISH ETHNO-RELIGIOUS COMMUNITIES, BY YEAR OF MARRIAGE AND COMMUNITY OF ORIGIN – MEXICO CITY, 1991

Year of	% Marry sa	ame origin	% Marry sam	ephardi	
marriage	Ashkenazi	Sephardi	Maguen David ^a	Mount Sinai ^b	Sefaradi ^c
Up to 1950	92	94	92	100	92
1951-1960	90	90	83	83	73
1961-1970	90	85	82	69	57
1971-1980	69	81	67	71	32
1981-1991	74	82	70	65	36

a Mostly Aleppo Syrian. b Mostly Damascus Syrian.

Source: adapted from DellaPergola and Lerner (1995).

TABLE 2. OUT-MARRIAGE PERCENTAGES BY YEAR OF MARRIAGE, RELIGION AT BIRTH AND CURRENT RELIGION - MEXICO CITY, 1991

Year of	Religion a	t birth	Current r	eligion
marriage	Individuals	Couples	Individuals	Couples
Up to 1950	2.3	3.4	1.1	2.2
1951-1960	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.5
1961-1970	2.1	2.7	0.5	2.7
1971-1980	4.7	6.3	1.7	3.4
1981-1991	4.3	7.2	1.6	3.6

Source: adapted from DellaPergola and Lerner (1995).

c Mostly Turkish, Balkans.

TABLE 3. SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS IN OUT-MARRIAGES – MEXICO CITY, 1991

Characteristics	Men		Wor	nen	Total in	Total	Odds
	Jewish	Non-	Jewish	Non-	mixed	Jewish	Ratio ^b
		Jewish		Jewish	couples	population ^a	
Sample size = n	40	19	21	48	128	2896	(4.4%)
Religion at birth	100	100	100	100	100	100	1.00
Jewish	100	-	100	-	48	97	0.49
Jewish and other	-	5	-	-	1	0	-
Catholic	-	63	-	79	39	2	16.95
Other	-	5	-	4	2	0	22.42
None	-	26	-	17	10	1	14.62
Community affiliated	100	100	100	100	100	100	1.00
Ashkenazi	8	5	5	15	10	22	0.44
Bet El, Bet Israel	30	26	38	25	29	8	3.80
Sefaradi	17	11	10	12	13	11	1.22
Maguen David	-	-	-	-	0	16	0.00
Mount Sinai	-	-	-	-	0	17	0.00
Jewish Sports Center only	30	32	33	21	27	22	1.26
None	15	26	14	27	21	3	7.49
Ethno-religious identity	100	100	100	100	100	100	1.00
Jewish	-	17	-	-	3	16	0.16
Mexican Jew	26	17	20	25	23	40	0.59
Undecided	30	17	70	58	45	12	3.65
Jewish Mexican	35	17	-	7	16	29	0.56
Mexican	8	33	10	10	13	3	4.26
Jewish cultural activity	100	100	100	100	100	100	1.00
Participates	70	83	80	58	69	76	0.91
Does not participate	30	17	20	42	31	24	1.29
Ever felt discrimination	100	100	100	100	100	100	1.00
No	65	79	81	92	80	89	0.90
At work	7	-	9	4	5	2	2.58
At school	15	5	5	4	8	5	1.55
At university	13	16	5	-	7	5	1.45
Residential area	100	100	100	100	100	100	1.00
Northwest	10	11	-	13	10	1	7.41
Lomas, Tecamachalco	22	26	48	13	23	51	0.46
Polanco	28	11	14	27	23	32	0.71
Hipodromo	5	21	19	8	11	5	2.12
Centro	2	10	-	8	5	3	1.70
Del Valle	18	16	9	19	17	2	8.74
South	15	5	5	20	14	3	4.58
Other	-	-	5	2	2	2	0.79
Education	100	100	100	100	100	100	1.00
Incomplete primary	-	5	5	2	2	2	1.16
Primary	15	-	9	8	9	9	0.99
Secondary	12	10	24	15	15	22	0.66
Undergraduate	20	32	43	52	38	36	1.04
Graduate	25	42	14	17	23	23	1.01
Post-graduate	28	11	5	6	13	8	1.77
Occupation Description	100	100	100	100	100	100	1.00
Professional	36	46	50	47	44	27	1.64
Managerial	55	38	25	12	31	53	0.60
Clerical	3	8	13	12	9	11	0.78
Sales	3	-	=.	17	7	5	1.41

International Roundtable on Internarriage – Brandeis University, December 18, 2003

Blue collar 3 8 12 12
a Source: adapted from DellaPergola and, Lerner (1995).
b Ratio of percent distributions in two previous columns: In mixed couples/Total population. 2.00

TABLE 4. SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS OF JEWISH POPULATION, BY AGE GROUPS PERCENTAGES – CARACAS, 1998-1999

Characteristics ^a	0-14	15-29	30-44	45-64	65+	Total
Sample size = n	507	401	444	528	232	2112
Percentage distribution	24%	19%	21%	25%	11%	100%
Jewish identity profile	,,	25 70			/ 0	20070
Religion at birth	100	100	100	100	100	100
Jewish	96	97	88	94	99	94
Other	4	3	12	6	1	6
Current religion	100	100	100	100	100	100
Jewish	98	98	97	98	99	98
Christian	1		2	2	1	1
Other, none and not reported	1	2	$\overline{1}$			1
(If born non-Jewish) Converted	100	100	100	100	100	100
Yes	28	36	70	61	-	56
No	39	50	23	36	100	33
No but feels Jewish	28	7	6	3	-	8
Does not know	6	7	2	-	-	3
(If converted) Where	100	100	100	100	100	100
In Venezuela	62	63	64	80	67	66
In the US	25	28	26	16	33	25
In Israel	3	-	1	2	-	2
Other and unknown	10	9	9	2	-	7
Children's religion	100	100	100	100	100	100
Jewish	92	83	93	75	83	86
Christian	1	2		_1		1
Other	4	7	3	12		6
None and not reported	4	8	4	12	17	7
Male children's circumcision		100	100	100	100	100
Yes, Jewish ritual		100	97	98	97	97
Yes, medical			3	1	3	2
No and not reported			1	1	-	1
Children had Bar-Mitzvah		100	100	100	100	100
Yes all			88	94	90	93
Yes, some			2	2	5	2
No			10	4	5	5
Childhood family background		100	100	100	100	100
Very religious		1	2	5	17	6
Religious		16	10	13	28	15
Traditional		57	58	56	40	54
Little religious		16	13	13	10	12
Not religious		2	6	7	3	6
Not Jewish, other and not reported		8	11	6	2	7
Current family background		100	100	100	100	100
Very religious		1	1	5	5	3
Religious		18	19	15	17	17
Traditional		66	67	63	66	65
Little religious		6	8	9	9	9
Not religious		6	4	5 2	1	4
Not Jewish, other and not reported	100	2	1 100		2	100
Comparing practices with parents	32	100 32	39	100 35	100	100
More practicing Same	54	50 50	33	35 31	16 31	33 37
Less practicing	5 4 11	30 14	33 19	30	52	25
Does not know	3	4	9	4	1	5
DOCS HOLKHOW	3	4	フ	4	1	3

Characteristics ^a	0-14	15-29	30-44	45-64	65+	Total
Synagogue attendance	V 14	100	100	100	100	100
Every day		11	18	19	24	19
Every Shabbat		23	17	18	22	19
Main Holydays		46	42	33	28	36
Yom Kippur/Rosh Hashana		15	16	18	17	17
On special occasions		1	5	7	4	5
Never		4	2	4	5	3
Edah (Community sector)		100	100	100	$10\overline{0}$	100
Ashkenazi		34	40	52	65	49
Sephardi		44	43	37	31	39
Both		15	7	4	2	6
None and not reported		7	9	7	2	6
Ethno-religious identity	100	100	100	100	100	100
Jewish	10	6	7	8	_5	7
Venezuelan Jew	61	56	55	60	72	60
Undecided	3	5	2	2	2	3
Jewish Venezuelan	25	30	34	28	19	29
Venezuelan	1	3	2	2	1	2
Went to Jewish day-school	100	100	100	100	100	100
Yes	82	93	80	60	46	74
No	18	7	20	40	54	26
Among best friends	100	100	100	100	100	100
Majority Jewish	89	65	57	55	64	61
Majority non-Jewish	6	6	6	_5	2	5
Both	5	29	37	40	33	34
Among fellows at work/study	100	100	100	100	100	100
Majority Jewish	86	31	19	16	22	28
Majority non-Jewish	11	47	49	60	52	48
Both	3	22	32	24	26	24
Children's out-marriage		100	100	100	100	100
Would support		9	11	12	7	10
Would accept		12	19	12	6	13
Indifferent, does not know		22	10	15	22	15
Would disapprove		39	45	44	48	45
Would reject		18	15	17	17	17
Children's marriage patterns			1.5	21	40	20
Has/had non-Jewish date		-	15 7	31	42	28
Would marry non-Jew		6		19	17	17
Where do the children live			100	100	100 76	100
In Venezuela			63 25	60 27		67
In the US In Israel			12		20	24 7
Elsewhere			12	10	2 2	2
In case of a crisis in Venezuela		100	100	100	100	100
Would go to Israel		11	12	16	13	14
Would go to the US		21	19	11	11	14
Would go to other country		12	11	10	4	9
Feeling about Israel	100	100	100	100	100	100
Very close	22	26	21	30	53	29
Close	52	55	54	56	41	53
Indifferent	13	8	6	4	2	6
Distant or very distant	13	11	19	10	5	12
Distant of very distant	13	11	1)	10	3	12

Characteristics	0-14	15-29	30-44	45-64	65+	Total
Background characteristics						
Sex	100	100	100	100	100	100
Male	53	52	48	47	54	50
Female	47	48	52	53	46	50
Marital status		100	100	$1\overline{00}$	100	100
Single		78	7	3	1	41
Married		21	86	82	68	50
Divorced, separated		1	7	7	_5	4
Widowed				8	26	5
Country of birth	100	100	100	100	100	100
Venezuela	97	90	66	36	9	65
Other in Latin America		2	10	17	9	8
US	2	3	2	2	2	2
Spain	-	2	2	8	_6	3
Other in Europe	-	-	2	14	53	9
Arab countries	-	2	13	19	19	10
Israel	1	2	4	4	1	3
Other	-	-			1	
(If abroad) Year of immigration	100	100	100	100	100	100
Up to 1948	-	-	-	10	43	16
1949-1958	-	-	8	27	28	21
1959-1968	-	_2	35	23	17	22
1969-1978	-	44	38	35	11	29
1979-1988	19	30	11	3	=-	6
1989-1999	81	21	8	2	1	5
Venezuelan citizenship	84	85	81	78	84	82
Educational attainment		100	100	100	100	100
Primary		1		3	14	4
Lower secondary		1	1	6	20	6
Secondary		21	14	31	35	25
Technical		22	11	11	5	11
Undergraduate		45	51	32	19	3
Graduate, Ph.D.		10	23	17	7	16
Occupation Occupation		100	100	100	100	100
Owner, manager		37	55	55	69	54
Self employed		14	17	13	8	14
Clerical		39 10	24	25	20	26
Other and temporary			100	7 100	100	6 100
Occupational status Professional		100	100	100	100	100
		14 4	20	15 7	9 10	17 7
Employer 50+		14	8 23	21	24	21
Employer 5-50 Employer -5		12	18	25	31	21
		51	29	23 29		
Employee Other		4	29	3	18	31
		100	100	100	7 100	100
Economic branch Manufacturing		100 6	18	15	25	160
Construction		7	8	5	3	
Commerce		42	32	36	40	6 36
Finances		42	52	36 4	5	30 4
Services		39	32	34	21	33
Other		5	52	34 7	6	33 6
Officer			to rounding	_ loss the	0 50/	0

a Highest value in each line highlighted. Minor percentage discrepancies due to rounding. .. = less than 0.5%. Source: S. DellaPergola, S. Benzaquén, T. Beker, work in progress.

International Roundtable on Internarriage – Brandeis University, December 18, 2003

TABLE 5. SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS OF JEWISH POPULATION, BY URBAN AREAS PERCENTAGES – CARACAS, 1998-1999

Characteristics ^a	Center	San	La Florida	La	Sebucan	Suburban	Total
		Bernardino		Castellana			Caracas
$\underline{\mathbf{Sample \ size} = \mathbf{n}}$	47		441	299	519	459	2112
Percentage distribution	2%	16%	21%	14%	25%	22%	100%
Jewish identity profile							
Religion				_			
Jewish at birth	87			97	96	91	95
Jewish currently	92		97	99	98	96	98
Jewish children	50			84	78	86	87
Children's out-marriage	100			100	100	100	100
Would support	10		9	9	6	20	10
Accept if converted to Judaism	14		10		16	17	13
Indifferent	7		1	2	3	3	2
Would disagree	41		36	51	52	44	45
Would reject	7	22	25	12	15	9	17
Children's marriage patterns	_						
Has/had non-Jewish date	57	35	20	44	11	33	28
Would marry non-Jew	67		11	39	3	13	17
Attended Jewish school	70			68	78	69	74
Religiosity	100		100	100	100	100	100
Very religious	7			4	1	1	4
Religious	31			17	13	10	17
Traditional	38		59	59	73	71	65
Not so religious	10		5	13	8	10	9
Not religious	7	3	2	6	4	7	4
Not Jewish	7	-	1	1	1	1	1
Edah (Community sector)				_			
Ashkenazi	31		34	65	50	48	49
Sephardi	48		59	29	36	34	39
Ethno-religious identity	100		100	100	100	100	100
Jewish	7		8	5	3	8	7
Venezuelan Jew	56			66	62	46	60
Jewish Venezuelan	29	29		28	30	38	29
Venezuelan	7	3	1	-	2	3	2
In case of crisis						4.0	
Would go to Israel	17		18	8	8	10	14
Would go to the US	10			26	15	13	14
Would go to other country	10		9	7	14	11	9
Feeling about Israel	100	100			100	100	100
Very close	39	33			22	25	29
Close	32	48			61	52	53
Indifferent	15				12	14	11
Distant or very distant	10	5	4	6	3	7	5
Felt discrimination as Jew	-		4	4			
Frequently	2 23	1	1	1	-	1	1
Occasionally	23	16	15	14	14	14	15
Background characteristics	400	400	400	400	400	100	100
Age	100				100	100	100
0-14	26				31	21	24
15-29	17				17	22	19
30-44	21		20		27	20	21
45-64	23				20	28	25
65+	13	18	13	20	5	8	11

Characteristics ^a	Center	San	La Florida	La	Sebucan	Suburban	Total
		Bernardino		Castellana			Caracas
Country of birth	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Venezuela	57	59	62	63	72	69	65
Other in Latin America	-	78	3	6	9	11	8
US	-	1		6	3	3	2
Spain	9	2	9	1	1	2	3
Other in Europe	4	17	8	15	5	6	9
Arab countries and Israel	30	13	18	9	10	9	13
Other	-	1	-		-		
Venezuelan citizen	77	86	79	80	82	85	82
Occupational status	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Professional	10	12	11	20	26	14	17
Employer 50+	-	3	5	15	8	7	7
Employer 5-50	10	9	18	24	29	21	21
Employer -5	25	27	26	20	13	21	21
Employee	55	44	37	19	20	34	31
Other	-	4	3	2	4	3	3
Socioeconomic status	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
High/Very high	-	4	8	30	22	14	15
Higher medium	29	35	38	48	53	40	42
Medium	53	44	46	21	21	40	35
Lower/Lower medium	18	17	8	1	4	6	8

a Highest value in each line highlighted. Minor percentage discrepancies due to rounding. .. = less than 0.5%. Source: S. DellaPergola, S. Benzaquén, T. Becker, work in progress.