

Congressional Research Service Estimates of Participation Rates Under HR 4

| | CRS-Estimated Rate Under H.R. 4 | CRS-Required Increase to Reach 70% Rate or Adjusted Rate (H.R. 4)* | CRS-Required Increase to Reach 50% Rate (H.R. 4) |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|--|---|
| UNITED STATES | 32.2% | | 17.8% |
| ALABAMA | 39.2% | 30.8% | 10.8% |
| ALASKA | 43.2% | 26.8% | 6.8% |
| ARIZONA | 38.7% | 31.3% | 11.3% |
| ARKANSAS | 19.8% | 50.2% | 30.2% |
| CALIFORNIA | 34.2% | 35.8% | 15.8% |
| COLORADO | 32.8% | 25.2%* | 17.2% |
| CONNECTICUT | 27.1% | 42.9% | 22.9% |
| DELAWARE | 16.5% | 53.5% | 33.5% |
| DIST. OF COL. | 19.7% | 50.3% | 30.3% |
| FLORIDA | 29.7% | 26.3%* | 20.3% |
| GEORGIA | 7.2% | 58.8%* | 42.8% |
| HAWAII | 36.0% | 34.0% | 14.0% |
| IDAHO | 54.6% | 0.0%* | 0.0% |
| ILLINOIS | 53.6% | 2.4%* | 0.0% |
| INDIANA | 42.7% | 27.3% | 7.3% |
| IOWA | 52.7% | 17.3% | 0.0% |
| KANSAS | 47.9% | 22.2% | 2.1% |
| KENTUCKY | 27.3% | 42.7% | 22.7% |
| LOUISIANA | 29.7% | 32.3%* | 20.3% |
| MAINE | 51.2% | 18.8% | 0.0% |
| MARYLAND | 12.7% | 51.3%* | 37.3% |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 12.1% | 57.9% | 37.9% |
| MICHIGAN | 30.1% | 34.9%* | 19.9% |
| MINNESOTA | 33.4% | 36.6% | 16.6% |
| MISSISSIPPI | 20.5% | 39.5%* | 29.5% |
| MISSOURI | 25.2% | 44.8% | 24.8% |
| MONTANA | 69.8% | 0.2% | 0.0% |
| NEBRASKA | 21.5% | 48.5% | 28.5% |
| NEVADA | 43.5% | 26.5% | 6.5% |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 30.8% | 39.2% | 19.2% |
| NEW JERSEY | 30.9% | 39.1% | 19.1% |
| NEW MEXICO | 44.4% | 25.6% | 5.6% |
| NEW YORK | 37.2% | 32.8% | 12.8% |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 24.1% | 40.9%* | 25.9% |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 32.5% | 37.5% | 17.5% |
| OHIO | 49.9% | 17.1%* | 0.1% |
| OKLAHOMA | 28.1% | 32.9%* | 21.9% |
| OREGON | 26.4% | 43.6% | 23.6% |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 12.8% | 57.2% | 37.2% |
| RHODE ISLAND | 19.6% | 50.4% | 30.4% |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 25.8% | 42.2%* | 24.2% |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 34.9% | 35.1% | 15.1% |
| TENNESSEE | 32.6% | 37.4% | 17.4% |
| TEXAS | 17.2% | 52.8% | 32.8% |
| UTAH | 38.0% | 32.0% | 12.0% |
| VERMONT | NA | NA | NA |
| VIRGINIA | 28.7% | 41.3% | 21.3% |
| WASHINGTON | 59.4% | 10.6% | 0.0% |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 23.4% | 44.6%* | 26.6% |
| WISCONSIN | 46.6% | 7.4%* | 3.4% |
| WYOMING | 77.9% | 0.0%* | 0.0% |

*For "superachiever states" the effective rate is less than 70%

Source: Estimates prepared by Congressional Research Service, applying H.R. 4 rules to data from the FY 2001 National TANF Data Files. See Gene Falk and Shannon Harper, TANF Work Participation Requirements Proposed in Welfare Reform Legislation (April 21, 2003).

Congressional Research Service Estimates of Participation Rates Under H.R. 4, State Rankings

| | CRS Estimates of Participation Rates Under H.R. 4 |
|----------------------|--|
| UNITED STATES | 32.2% |
| WYOMING | 77.9% |
| MONTANA | 69.8% |
| WASHINGTON | 59.4% |
| IDAHO | 54.6% |
| ILLINOIS | 53.6% |
| IOWA | 52.7% |
| MAINE | 51.2% |
| OHIO | 49.9% |
| KANSAS | 47.9% |
| WISCONSIN | 46.6% |
| NEW MEXICO | 44.4% |
| NEVADA | 43.5% |
| ALASKA | 43.2% |
| INDIANA | 42.7% |
| ALABAMA | 39.2% |
| ARIZONA | 38.7% |
| UTAH | 38.0% |
| NEW YORK | 37.2% |
| HAWAII | 36.0% |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 34.9% |
| CALIFORNIA | 34.2% |
| MINNESOTA | 33.4% |
| COLORADO | 32.8% |
| TENNESSEE | 32.6% |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 32.5% |
| NEW JERSEY | 30.9% |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 30.8% |
| MICHIGAN | 30.1% |
| FLORIDA | 29.7% |
| LOUISIANA | 29.7% |
| VIRGINIA | 28.7% |
| OKLAHOMA | 28.1% |
| KENTUCKY | 27.3% |
| CONNECTICUT | 27.1% |
| OREGON | 26.4% |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 25.8% |
| MISSOURI | 25.2% |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 24.1% |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 23.4% |
| NEBRASKA | 21.5% |
| MISSISSIPPI | 20.5% |
| ARKANSAS | 19.8% |
| DIST. OF COL. | 19.7% |
| RHODE ISLAND | 19.6% |
| TEXAS | 17.2% |
| DELAWARE | 16.5% |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 12.8% |
| MARYLAND | 12.7% |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 12.1% |
| GEORGIA | 7.2% |

| | CRS-Required Increase to Reach 70% Rate or Adjusted rate (H.R. 4)* |
|----------------|--|
| IDAHO | 0.0%* |
| WYOMING | 0.0%* |
| MONTANA | 0.2% |
| ILLINOIS | 2.4%* |
| WISCONSIN | 7.4%* |
| WASHINGTON | 10.6% |
| OHIO | 17.1%* |
| IOWA | 17.3% |
| MAINE | 18.8% |
| KANSAS | 22.2% |
| COLORADO | 25.2%* |
| NEW MEXICO | 25.6% |
| FLORIDA | 26.3%* |
| NEVADA | 26.5% |
| ALASKA | 26.8% |
| INDIANA | 27.3% |
| ALABAMA | 30.8% |
| ARIZONA | 31.3% |
| UTAH | 32.0% |
| LOUISIANA | 32.3%* |
| NEW YORK | 32.8% |
| OKLAHOMA | 32.9%* |
| HAWAII | 34.0% |
| MICHIGAN | 34.9%* |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 35.1% |
| CALIFORNIA | 35.8% |
| MINNESOTA | 36.6% |
| TENNESSEE | 37.4% |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 37.5% |
| NEW JERSEY | 39.1% |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 39.2% |
| MISSISSIPPI | 39.5%* |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 40.9%* |
| VIRGINIA | 41.3% |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 42.2%* |
| KENTUCKY | 42.7% |
| CONNECTICUT | 42.9% |
| OREGON | 43.6% |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 44.6%* |
| MISSOURI | 44.8% |
| NEBRASKA | 48.5% |
| ARKANSAS | 50.2% |
| DIST. OF COL. | 50.3% |
| RHODE ISLAND | 50.4% |
| MARYLAND | 51.3%* |
| TEXAS | 52.8% |
| DELAWARE | 53.5% |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 57.2% |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 57.9% |
| GEORGIA | 58.8%* |

| | CRS-Required Increase to Reach 50% Rate (H.R. 4) |
|----------------------|---|
| UNITED STATES | 17.8% |
| IDAHO | 0.0% |
| ILLINOIS | 0.0% |
| IOWA | 0.0% |
| MAINE | 0.0% |
| MONTANA | 0.0% |
| WASHINGTON | 0.0% |
| WYOMING | 0.0% |
| OHIO | 0.1% |
| KANSAS | 2.1% |
| WISCONSIN | 3.4% |
| NEW MEXICO | 5.6% |
| NEVADA | 6.5% |
| ALASKA | 6.8% |
| INDIANA | 7.3% |
| ALABAMA | 10.8% |
| ARIZONA | 11.3% |
| UTAH | 12.0% |
| NEW YORK | 12.8% |
| HAWAII | 14.0% |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 15.1% |
| CALIFORNIA | 15.8% |
| MINNESOTA | 16.6% |
| COLORADO | 17.2% |
| TENNESSEE | 17.4% |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 17.5% |
| NEW JERSEY | 19.1% |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 19.2% |
| MICHIGAN | 19.9% |
| FLORIDA | 20.3% |
| LOUISIANA | 20.3% |
| VIRGINIA | 21.3% |
| OKLAHOMA | 21.9% |
| KENTUCKY | 22.7% |
| CONNECTICUT | 22.9% |
| OREGON | 23.6% |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 24.2% |
| MISSOURI | 24.8% |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 25.9% |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 26.6% |
| NEBRASKA | 28.5% |
| MISSISSIPPI | 29.5% |
| ARKANSAS | 30.2% |
| DIST. OF COL. | 30.3% |
| RHODE ISLAND | 30.4% |
| TEXAS | 32.8% |
| DELAWARE | 33.5% |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 37.2% |
| MARYLAND | 37.3% |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 37.9% |
| GEORGIA | 42.8% |

*For "superachiever states" the effective rate is less than 70%

Source: Estimates prepared by Congressional Research Service, applying H.R. 4 rules to data from the FY 2001 National TANF Data Files; CLASP rankings using CRS-reported data.