CENSUS SNAPSHOT




MISSISSIPPI

DECEMBER 2007

Adam P. Romero, *Public Policy Fellow*Amanda K. Baumle, *Public Policy Fellow*M.V. Lee Badgett, *Research Director*Gary J. Gates, *Senior Policy Fellow*

Using data from the U.S. Census Bureau, this report provides demographic and economic information about same-sex couples and same-sex couples raising children in Mississippi. We compare same-sex "unmarried partners," which the Census Bureau defines as an unmarried couple who "shares living quarters and has a close personal relationship," to different-sex married couples in Mississippi. 1



In many ways, the more than 4,700 same-sex couples living in Mississippi are similar to married couples. According to Census 2000, they live throughout the State, are racially and ethnically diverse, have partners that depend upon one another financially, and actively participate in Mississippi's economy. Census data also show that 20% of same-sex couples in Mississippi are raising children. However, same-sex couples, especially those with children, have fewer economic resources to provide for their families than do their married counterparts: they have lower household incomes and lower rates of home ownership.

SAME-SEX COUPLES AND THE LGBT POPULATION IN MISSISSIPPI

- In 2000, there were 4,774 same-sex couples living in Mississippi.²
- In 2005, there were almost 49,000 gay, lesbian, and bisexual people (single and coupled) living in Mississippi.³

INDIVIDUALS IN SAME-SEX COUPLES ARE DEMOGRAPHICALLY AND GEOGRAPHICALLY DIVERSE

- There are more female same-sex couples (53%) than male same-sex couples (47%) living in Mississippi.⁴
- Mississippi's same-sex couples are much more racially and ethnically diverse than their married counterparts: 50% of same-sex couples are nonwhite, compared to 23% of married couples.

- Individuals in same-sex couples are, on average,
 44 years old, and significantly younger than married individuals (47 years old) in Mississippi.
- Same-sex couples live in every county in Mississippi and constitute 0.8% of coupled households and 0.5% of all households in the State. Hinds County reported the most same-sex couples with 441 couples (0.48% of all households in the county), followed by Harrison County with 352 couples (0.49%), Rankin County with 204 couples (0.48%), and Jackson County with 183 couples (0.38%). The counties with the highest percentage of same-sex couples are Tallahatchie County (0.82% of all county households), Marshall County (0.74%), Hancock County (0.70%), and Issaquena County (0.69%).5

PEOPLE IN SAME-SEX COUPLES ARE ACTIVELY ENGAGED IN THE STATE ECONOMY

 Individuals in same-sex and married couples in Mississippi are about as likely to be employed: 57% of individuals in same-sex couples and 63% of married individuals are employed.

- Contrary to a popular stereotype, the annual earnings of men in same-sex couples are significantly lower than married men. On average, men in same-sex couples in Mississippi earn \$24,481 each year, significantly less than \$39,473 for married men. The median income of men in samesex couples in Mississippi is \$20,000, or 35% less than that of married men (\$30,700).
- Women in same-sex couples in Mississippi earn an average of \$21,781 per year (with a median of \$16,000), slightly more than married women, whose earnings average \$21,317 (with a median of \$18,000). Women in same-sex couples earn, on average, less than both married men and men in same-sex couples in Mississippi.



- Individuals in same-sex couples in Mississippi are more likely to work in the private sector: 79% of individuals in same-sex couples work in the private sector, compared to 69% of married individuals; 18% of individuals in same-sex couples work in the public sector, compared to 19% of married individuals; and 3% of individuals in same-sex couples are self-employed, compared to 12% of married individuals.
- Individuals in same-sex couples are less likely to have a college degree: 13% of individuals in samesex couples and 19% of married individuals have earned a college degree.
- Despite the military's historic policies of excluding gay men and lesbians from service, individuals in same-sex couples have served in the military: 12% of individuals in same-sex couples are veterans, compared to 16% of married individuals.


SAME-SEX PARTNERS IN MISSISSIPPI DEPEND UPON ONE ANOTHER IN WAYS THAT ARE SIMILAR TO MARRIED COUPLES

Couples in which one partner does not work or earns significantly less than the other partner may indicate financial interdependence. Almost one in

- three same-sex couples (30%) have only one wage earner, compared to more than one in three (34%) married couples.
- 50% of same-sex couples in Mississippi have at least one partner who is disabled, compared to 37% of married couples.
- 13% of same-sex couples in Mississippi have at least one partner over the age of 65, compared to 18% of married couples.

SAME-SEX HOUSEHOLDS IN MISSISSIPPI HAVE FEWER ECONOMIC RESOURCES THAN MARRIED **HOUSEHOLDS**

The median income of same-sex coupled households in Mississippi is \$32,000, 31% less than that of married couples (\$46,500). The average household income of same-sex couples in Mississippi is \$43,481, significantly less than that of married couples (\$58,028).




Same-sex couples are significantly less likely than married couples to own their homes: 60% of samesex couples in Mississippi own their home, compared to 85% of married couples.

SAME-SEX COUPLES ARE RAISING CHILDREN IN MISSISSIPPI, YET WITH FAR FEWER ECONOMIC RESOURCES THAN MARRIED PARENTS

- 35% of same-sex couples in Mississippi are raising children under the age of 18.
- An estimated 2,839 of Mississippi's children are living in households headed by same-sex couples.⁶
- In Mississippi, married and same-sex couples with children under 18 in the home have, on average, 2 children.
- Almost 2% of Mississippi's adopted children (or 286 children) live with a lesbian or gay parent.⁷

- Same-sex parents have fewer financial resources to support their children than married parents in Mississippi. The median household income of same-sex couples with children is \$30,600, 36% lower than that of married parents (\$48,000). The average household income of same-sex couples with children is \$36,153, significantly less than \$58,033 for married parents.
- While 62% of same-sex couples with children own their home, a much larger percentage of married parents (82%) own their home.



CONCLUSION

Census data provide valuable information about gay and lesbian couples in Mississippi. While in many respects Mississippi's same-sex couples look like married couples, same-sex couples—especially those with children—have fewer economic resources than married couples to provide for their families and lower rates of home ownership.

Table One: Characteristics of individuals in couples		
	Same-Sex	Married
Race/Ethnicity ⁸		
White	49.9%	77.0%*
Black	46.2%	20.5%*
Hispanic	2.8%	1.0%^
Asian	<.1%	0.7%
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.5%	0.3%
Other	0.5%	0.5%
Average age	43.6	47.2*
Percent with a college degree or better	13.3%	19.4%^
Percent Employed	57.3%	63.2%
Employment ⁸		
Private employer	78.9%	69.0%*
Public employer	18.1%	18.6%
Self-employed	3.0%	12.0%*
Veteran Status	11.9%	15.8%
Average individual salary		
Men	\$24,481	\$39,473*
Woman	\$21,781	\$21,317
Median individual salary		
Men	\$20,000	\$30,700
Woman * Difference significant at the 5% level or beti	\$16,000	\$18,000

[^] Difference significant at the 10% level or better (two-tailed tests).

Table Two: Characteristics of couples			
	Same-Sex	Married	
At least one partner over 65	13.2%	18.2%	
Percent disabled	49.9%	36.5%*	
Average household income	\$43,481	\$58,028*	
Median household income	\$32,000	\$46,500	
Income gap between partners	\$13,157	\$22,725	
Single wage earner	29.0%	33.7%	
Homeownership	59.6%	85.4%*	
Percent with children under 18	34.8%	48.4%*	
* Difference significant at the 5% level or better (two-tailed tests).			

[^] Difference significant at the 10% level or better (two-tailed tests).

Table Three: Characteristics of couples with children			
	Same-Sex parents	Married parents	
Average number of children under 18 in the household	1.7	1.9	
Single wage earner (parents)	29.7%	35.3%	
Average household income (parents)	\$36,153	\$58,033*	
Median household income (parents)	\$30,600	\$48,000	
Homeownership	62.3%	81.5%	

^{*} Difference significant at the 5% level or better (two-tailed tests).

[^] Difference significant at the 10% level or better (two-tailed tests).

Appendix A: Counts and percent of same-sex couples by county

by county		
		Percent of same-
Country	Number of same-	sex couples out
County	sex couples	of all households
Adams	78	0.57%
Alcorn	54	0.38%
Amite	26	0.49%
Attala	41	0.54%
Benton	9	0.30%
Bolivar	78	0.57%
Calhoun	22	0.37%
Carroll	21	0.52%
Chickasaw	26	0.36%
Choctaw	17	0.46%
Claiborne	17	0.46%
Clarke	32	0.46%
Clay	34	0.42%
Coahoma	48	0.45%
Copiah	41	0.40%
Covington	30	0.42%
DeSoto	168	0.43%
Forrest	101	0.37%
Franklin	7	0.22%
George	19	0.28%
Greene	20	0.48%
Grenada	45	0.51%
Hancock	118	0.70%
Harrison	352	0.49%
Hinds	441	0.48%
Holmes	29	0.40%
Humphreys	14	0.37%
Issaguena	5	0.69%
Itawamba	37	0.42%
Jackson	183	0.38%
Jasper	32	0.48%
Jefferson	18	0.54%
Jefferson Davis	29	0.56%
Jones	109	0.45%
Kemper	17	0.43%
Lafayette	52	0.36%
Lamar	38	0.26%
Lauderdale	144	0.48%
Lawrence	23	0.46%
Leake	40	0.53%
Lee	133	0.46%
Leflore	49	0.38%
Lincoln	42	0.33%
	111	0.33%
Lowndes Madison		
	104	0.38%
Marion	39	0.42%
Marshall	90	0.74%
Monroe	46	0.32%

County Number of same-sex couples out of all households Montgomery 26 0.55% Neshoba 40 0.37% Newton 25 0.30% Noxubee 16 0.36% Oktibbeha 52 0.33% Panola 79 0.65% Pearl River 105 0.58% Perry 18 0.41% Pike 58 0.39% Pontotoc 48 0.48% Prentiss 35 0.36% Quitman 23 0.65% Rankin 204 0.48% Scott 54 0.53% Sharkey 14 0.65% Simpson 53 0.53% Smith 21 0.35% Stone 22 0.46% Sunflower 53 0.55% Tate 43 0.49% Tippah 36 0.44% Tishomingo 35 0.36%			
Montgomery 26 0.55% Neshoba 40 0.37% Newton 25 0.30% Noxubee 16 0.36% Oktibbeha 52 0.33% Panola 79 0.65% Panola 79 0.65% Pearl River 105 0.58% Perry 18 0.41% Pike 58 0.39% Pontotoc 48 0.48% Prentiss 35 0.36% Quitman 23 0.65% Rankin 204 0.48% Scott 54 0.53% Sharkey 14 0.65% Simpson 53 0.53% Smith 21 0.35% Stone 22 0.46% Sunflower 53 0.55% Tallahatchie 43 0.82% Tate 43 0.49% Tippah 36 0.44% Tishomingo	County		sex couples out
Neshoba 40 0.37% Newton 25 0.30% Noxubee 16 0.36% Oktibbeha 52 0.33% Panola 79 0.65% Pearl River 105 0.58% Perry 18 0.41% Pike 58 0.39% Pontotoc 48 0.48% Prentiss 35 0.36% Quitman 23 0.65% Rankin 204 0.48% Scott 54 0.53% Sharkey 14 0.65% Simpson 53 0.53% Smith 21 0.35% Stone 22 0.46% Sunflower 53 0.55% Tallahatchie 43 0.82% Tate 43 0.49% Tippah 36 0.44% Tishomingo 35 0.36% Walthall 20 0.36% Walthall	,		
Newton 25 0.30% Noxubee 16 0.36% Oktibbeha 52 0.33% Panola 79 0.65% Pearl River 105 0.58% Perry 18 0.41% Pike 58 0.39% Pontotoc 48 0.48% Prentiss 35 0.36% Quitman 23 0.65% Rankin 204 0.48% Scott 54 0.53% Sharkey 14 0.65% Simpson 53 0.53% Smith 21 0.35% Stone 22 0.46% Sunflower 53 0.55% Tallahatchie 43 0.82% Tate 43 0.49% Tippah 36 0.44% Tishomingo 35 0.36% Walthall 20 0.36% Walthall 20 0.36% Washington			
Noxubee 16 0.36% Oktibbeha 52 0.33% Panola 79 0.65% Pearl River 105 0.58% Perry 18 0.41% Pike 58 0.39% Pontotoc 48 0.48% Prentiss 35 0.36% Quitman 23 0.65% Rankin 204 0.48% Scott 54 0.53% Sharkey 14 0.65% Simpson 53 0.53% Smith 21 0.35% Stone 22 0.46% Sunflower 53 0.55% Tallahatchie 43 0.82% Tate 43 0.49% Tippah 36 0.44% Tunica 15 0.46% Union 35 0.36% Walthall 20 0.36% Warren 73 0.39% Washington <		.0	0.0770
Oktibbeha 52 0.33% Panola 79 0.65% Pearl River 105 0.58% Perry 18 0.41% Pike 58 0.39% Pontotoc 48 0.48% Prentiss 35 0.36% Quitman 23 0.65% Rankin 204 0.48% Scott 54 0.53% Sharkey 14 0.65% Simpson 53 0.53% Smith 21 0.35% Stone 22 0.46% Sunflower 53 0.55% Tallahatchie 43 0.82% Tate 43 0.49% Tippah 36 0.44% Tishomingo 35 0.36% Walthall 20 0.36% Warren 73 0.39% Washington 116 0.52% Wayne 43 0.55% Webster			
Panola 79 0.65% Pearl River 105 0.58% Perry 18 0.41% Pike 58 0.39% Pontotoc 48 0.48% Prentiss 35 0.36% Quitman 23 0.65% Rankin 204 0.48% Scott 54 0.53% Sharkey 14 0.65% Simpson 53 0.53% Smith 21 0.35% Stone 22 0.46% Sunflower 53 0.55% Tallahatchie 43 0.82% Tate 43 0.49% Tippah 36 0.44% Tunica 15 0.46% Union 35 0.36% Walthall 20 0.36% Warren 73 0.39% Washington 116 0.52% Webster 23 0.59% Wilkinson 17 0.48% Winston 28 0.37%	110/10/200	. 0	
Pearl River 105 0.58% Perry 18 0.41% Pike 58 0.39% Pontotoc 48 0.48% Prentiss 35 0.36% Quitman 23 0.65% Rankin 204 0.48% Scott 54 0.53% Sharkey 14 0.65% Simpson 53 0.53% Smith 21 0.35% Stone 22 0.46% Sunflower 53 0.55% Tallahatchie 43 0.82% Tate 43 0.49% Tippah 36 0.44% Tunica 15 0.46% Union 35 0.36% Walthall 20 0.36% Warren 73 0.39% Washington 116 0.52% Webster 23 0.59% Wilkinson 17 0.48% Winston	O THI DO THE		0.0070
Perry 18 0.41% Pike 58 0.39% Pontotoc 48 0.48% Prentiss 35 0.36% Quitman 23 0.65% Rankin 204 0.48% Scott 54 0.53% Sharkey 14 0.65% Simpson 53 0.53% Smith 21 0.35% Stone 22 0.46% Sunflower 53 0.55% Tallahatchie 43 0.82% Tate 43 0.49% Tippah 36 0.44% Tishomingo 35 0.44% Tunica 15 0.46% Union 35 0.36% Walthall 20 0.36% Warren 73 0.39% Washington 116 0.52% Wayne 43 0.55% Webster 23 0.59% Wilkinson <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>			
Pike 58 0.39% Pontotoc 48 0.48% Prentiss 35 0.36% Quitman 23 0.65% Rankin 204 0.48% Scott 54 0.53% Sharkey 14 0.65% Simpson 53 0.53% Smith 21 0.35% Stone 22 0.46% Sunflower 53 0.55% Tallahatchie 43 0.82% Tate 43 0.49% Tippah 36 0.44% Tishomingo 35 0.36% Walthall 20 0.36% Walthall 20 0.36% Warren 73 0.39% Washington 116 0.52% Wayne 43 0.55% Webster 23 0.59% Wilkinson 17 0.48% Winston 28 0.37% Yalobusha			
Pontotoc 48 0.48% Prentiss 35 0.36% Quitman 23 0.65% Rankin 204 0.48% Scott 54 0.53% Sharkey 14 0.65% Simpson 53 0.53% Smith 21 0.35% Stone 22 0.46% Sunflower 53 0.55% Tallahatchie 43 0.82% Tate 43 0.49% Tippah 36 0.44% Tishomingo 35 0.44% Tunica 15 0.46% Union 35 0.36% Walthall 20 0.36% Warren 73 0.39% Washington 116 0.52% Wayne 43 0.55% Webster 23 0.59% Wilkinson 17 0.48% Winston 28 0.37% Yalobusha	-	.0	
Prentiss 35 0.36% Quitman 23 0.65% Rankin 204 0.48% Scott 54 0.53% Sharkey 14 0.65% Simpson 53 0.53% Smith 21 0.35% Stone 22 0.46% Sunflower 53 0.55% Tallahatchie 43 0.82% Tate 43 0.49% Tippah 36 0.44% Tishomingo 35 0.44% Tunica 15 0.46% Union 35 0.36% Walthall 20 0.36% Warren 73 0.39% Washington 116 0.52% Wayne 43 0.55% Webster 23 0.59% Wilkinson 17 0.48% Winston 28 0.37% Yalobusha 24 0.46%	1 1110	00	0.0770
Quitman 23 0.65% Rankin 204 0.48% Scott 54 0.53% Sharkey 14 0.65% Simpson 53 0.53% Smith 21 0.35% Stone 22 0.46% Sunflower 53 0.55% Tallahatchie 43 0.82% Tate 43 0.49% Tippah 36 0.44% Tishomingo 35 0.44% Tunica 15 0.46% Union 35 0.36% Walthall 20 0.36% Warren 73 0.39% Washington 116 0.52% Wayne 43 0.55% Webster 23 0.59% Wilkinson 17 0.48% Winston 28 0.37% Yalobusha 24 0.46%		.0	
Rankin 204 0.48% Scott 54 0.53% Sharkey 14 0.65% Simpson 53 0.53% Smith 21 0.35% Stone 22 0.46% Sunflower 53 0.55% Tallahatchie 43 0.82% Tate 43 0.49% Tippah 36 0.44% Tishomingo 35 0.44% Tunica 15 0.46% Union 35 0.36% Walthall 20 0.36% Warren 73 0.39% Washington 116 0.52% Wayne 43 0.55% Webster 23 0.59% Wilkinson 17 0.48% Winston 28 0.37% Yalobusha 24 0.46%	Ouitman	23	
Sharkey 14 0.65% Simpson 53 0.53% Smith 21 0.35% Stone 22 0.46% Sunflower 53 0.55% Tallahatchie 43 0.82% Tate 43 0.49% Tippah 36 0.44% Tishomingo 35 0.44% Tunica 15 0.46% Union 35 0.36% Walthall 20 0.36% Warren 73 0.39% Washington 116 0.52% Wayne 43 0.55% Webster 23 0.59% Wilkinson 17 0.48% Winston 28 0.37% Yalobusha 24 0.46%		204	
Simpson 53 0.53% Smith 21 0.35% Stone 22 0.46% Sunflower 53 0.55% Tallahatchie 43 0.82% Tate 43 0.49% Tippah 36 0.44% Tishomingo 35 0.46% Unica 15 0.46% Union 35 0.36% Walthall 20 0.36% Warren 73 0.39% Washington 116 0.52% Wayne 43 0.55% Webster 23 0.59% Wilkinson 17 0.48% Winston 28 0.37% Yalobusha 24 0.46%	Scott	54	0.53%
Simpson 53 0.53% Smith 21 0.35% Stone 22 0.46% Sunflower 53 0.55% Tallahatchie 43 0.82% Tate 43 0.49% Tippah 36 0.44% Tishomingo 35 0.46% Union 35 0.36% Walthall 20 0.36% Warren 73 0.39% Washington 116 0.52% Wayne 43 0.55% Webster 23 0.59% Wilkinson 17 0.48% Winston 28 0.37% Yalobusha 24 0.46%	Sharkey	14	0.65%
Stone 22 0.46% Sunflower 53 0.55% Tallahatchie 43 0.82% Tate 43 0.49% Tippah 36 0.44% Tishomingo 35 0.44% Tunica 15 0.46% Union 35 0.36% Walthall 20 0.36% Warren 73 0.39% Washington 116 0.52% Wayne 43 0.55% Webster 23 0.59% Wilkinson 17 0.48% Winston 28 0.37% Yalobusha 24 0.46%		53	0.53%
Sunflower 53 0.55% Tallahatchie 43 0.82% Tate 43 0.49% Tippah 36 0.44% Tishomingo 35 0.46% Unica 15 0.46% Union 35 0.36% Walthall 20 0.36% Warren 73 0.39% Washington 116 0.52% Wayne 43 0.55% Webster 23 0.59% Wilkinson 17 0.48% Winston 28 0.37% Yalobusha 24 0.46%		21	0.35%
Tallahatchie 43 0.82% Tate 43 0.49% Tippah 36 0.44% Tishomingo 35 0.46% Union 35 0.36% Walthall 20 0.36% Warren 73 0.39% Washington 116 0.52% Wayne 43 0.55% Webster 23 0.59% Wilkinson 17 0.48% Winston 28 0.37% Yalobusha 24 0.46%	Stone	22	0.46%
Tate 43 0.49% Tippah 36 0.44% Tishomingo 35 0.46% Tunica 15 0.46% Union 35 0.36% Walthall 20 0.36% Warren 73 0.39% Washington 116 0.52% Wayne 43 0.55% Webster 23 0.59% Wilkinson 17 0.48% Winston 28 0.37% Yalobusha 24 0.46%	Sunflower	53	0.55%
Tippah 36 0.44% Tishomingo 35 0.44% Tunica 15 0.46% Union 35 0.36% Walthall 20 0.36% Warren 73 0.39% Washington 116 0.52% Wayne 43 0.55% Webster 23 0.59% Wilkinson 17 0.48% Winston 28 0.37% Yalobusha 24 0.46%	Tallahatchie	43	0.82%
Tishomingo 35 0.44% Tunica 15 0.46% Union 35 0.36% Walthall 20 0.36% Warren 73 0.39% Washington 116 0.52% Wayne 43 0.55% Webster 23 0.59% Wilkinson 17 0.48% Winston 28 0.37% Yalobusha 24 0.46%	Tate	43	0.49%
Tunica 15 0.46% Union 35 0.36% Walthall 20 0.36% Warren 73 0.39% Washington 116 0.52% Wayne 43 0.55% Webster 23 0.59% Wilkinson 17 0.48% Winston 28 0.37% Yalobusha 24 0.46%	Tippah	36	0.44%
Union 35 0.36% Walthall 20 0.36% Warren 73 0.39% Washington 116 0.52% Wayne 43 0.55% Webster 23 0.59% Wilkinson 17 0.48% Winston 28 0.37% Yalobusha 24 0.46%	Tishomingo	35	0.44%
Walthall 20 0.36% Warren 73 0.39% Washington 116 0.52% Wayne 43 0.55% Webster 23 0.59% Wilkinson 17 0.48% Winston 28 0.37% Yalobusha 24 0.46%	Tunica	15	0.46%
Warren 73 0.39% Washington 116 0.52% Wayne 43 0.55% Webster 23 0.59% Wilkinson 17 0.48% Winston 28 0.37% Yalobusha 24 0.46%	Union	35	0.36%
Washington 116 0.52% Wayne 43 0.55% Webster 23 0.59% Wilkinson 17 0.48% Winston 28 0.37% Yalobusha 24 0.46%	Walthall	20	0.36%
Wayne 43 0.55% Webster 23 0.59% Wilkinson 17 0.48% Winston 28 0.37% Yalobusha 24 0.46%	Warren	73	0.39%
Webster 23 0.59% Wilkinson 17 0.48% Winston 28 0.37% Yalobusha 24 0.46%	Washington	116	0.52%
Wilkinson 17 0.48% Winston 28 0.37% Yalobusha 24 0.46%	Wayne	43	0.55%
Winston 28 0.37% Yalobusha 24 0.46%	Webster	23	0.59%
Yalobusha 24 0.46%	Wilkinson	17	0.48%
2. 0.1070	Winston	28	0.37%
Yazoo 48 0.52%	Yalobusha	24	0.46%
	Yazoo	48	0.52%

About the Authors

Adam P. Romero is Public Policy Fellow at the Williams Institute, UCLA School of Law. J.D. Yale Law School; A.B., summa cum laude, Cornell University. His current research examines the significance of family in society and law, especially as relevant to disabled adults without family.

Amanda K. Baumle is Public Policy Fellow at the Williams Institute, UCLA School of Law as well as Assistant Professor of Sociology at the University of Houston. Ph.D Texas A&M; J.D. University of Texas. She specializes in demography, social inequality, and the sociology of law.

M.V. Lee Badgett is Research Director at The Williams Institute, UCLA School of Law, and Director of the Center for Public Policy and Administration at the University of Massachusetts Amherst, where she is also on the faculty of the Department of Economics. She studies family policy and employment discrimination related to sexual orientation.

Gary J. Gates is Senior Research Fellow at The Williams Institute, UCLA School of Law. He studies the demographic and economic characteristics of the lesbian and gay population.

For more information, contact: The Williams Institute UCLA School of Law Box 951476 Los Angeles, CA 90095-1476 T (310)267-4382 F (310)825-7270 williamsinstitute@law.ucla.edu www.law.ucla.edu/williamsinstitute

¹ Unless otherwise noted, we calculate the demographic characteristics from the Census 2000 Public Use Microdata Sample (5% file) available from the U.S. Census Bureau. For a detailed discussion of the Census 2000 methodology used in this report, see Census Snapshot: Methods Note, available at http://www.law.ucla.edu/williamsinstitute/ publications/MethodologicalDetailsForCensusSnapshots.pdf. In estimating numbers of same-sex couples and children raised by same-sex couples, however, we use the total number of same-sex couples from 2005 and the proportion of couples with children from 2000 in order to provide a more up-to-date estimate.

Tavia Simmons & Martin O'Connell, U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Census Bureau, Married-Couple and Unmarried-Partner Households, Census 2000 Special Reports, CENSR-5, p. 4, tab. 2 (Feb. 2003).

³ Gary J. Gates, The Williams Institute, Same-sex Couples and the Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual Population: New Estimates from the American Community Survey, p. 11, apx. 1, available at http://www.law.ucla.edu/williamsinstitute/publicat ions/SameSexCouplesandGLBpopACS.pdf. Sample sizes for individual states in 2005 are not sufficiently large for the analyses presented in this report, we therefore use data from Census 2000 where samples are on average five times larger than 2005.

⁴ Simmons & O'Connell, *supra* note 2.

⁵ U.S. Census Bureau, *Unmarried Partner Households by Sex of Partners*, PCT14. Percentages of total households computed by dividing data in PCT14 by data in P15 (total households).

⁶ Computed by multiplying the number of same-sex couples times the percent of same-sex couples with children times the average number of children under 18 in the household.

Gary J. Gates, M.V. Lee Badgett, Kate Chambers, Jennifer Macomber, The Williams Institute & The Urban Institute, Adoption and Foster Care by Gay and Lesbian Parents in the United States (2007), available at http://www.law.ucla.edu/Williamsinstitute/publications/Policy-Adoption-index.html.

⁸ Due to rounding, percent may not add to 100.