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After months of media coverage and speculation, I entered the screening of Mel Gibson’s The Passion of the Christ with two questions. First, is this a “good” movie, or not? That is, does it provide good entertainment, does it keep one thinking after the film is done, does it make one look at the world in a new way? Second, does it foster antisemitism? Here are my preliminary responses.

First: No, this is not a good movie. At least, I did not enjoy it. The endless violence left me stunned, as if I too had been clobbered senseless by one of the instruments of torture that the Romans use endlessly on the poor broken man who is Jesus. My numbness, which should have been wrought from compassion for Jesus, felt suspiciously like boredom; the 127 minutes of the film felt about as long as the twelve hours that it attempted to depict. The surfeit of visual and aural violence leaves little room for subtleties of plot and characterization, or for the nuance, mystery, and depth that make for a great film. I never lost myself in the film; the actors never disappeared into the parts that they were playing. Were it not for the highly charged topic and its relevance to my current research, this film would have disappeared from my consciousness the moment I left the theater.

Second: Does it foster antisemitism? To the question, Is the film itself antisemitic? I would answer no. I believe Mel Gibson when he says that he did not intend the film to stimulate hatred towards Jews. Does it have the potential to support the charge of deicide that has been at the center of Christian antisemitism?

Absolutely. The reason lies not so much in the portrayal of Jewish characters. One might regret that Gibson did not make the attempts evident in some other movies about Jesus (e.g., The Last Temptation of Christ [1988], Jesus of Montreal [1989], The Gospel of John [2003]) to soften the Gospels’ harsh portrayal of the Jewish authorities and the crowds who followed them. At the same time, Gibson is certainly not unique in portraying Caiaphas as a bloodthirsty, servant text in Isaiah 53: “He was despised and rejected by men, a man of sorrows, and familiar with suffering. Like one from whom men hide their faces he was despised, and we esteemed him not. Surely he took up our infirmities and carried our sorrows, yet we considered him stricken by God, smitten by him, and afflicted.” Even in the New Testament period, this text, which predates Jesus by several centuries, was read as a prophecy of Jesus’ own divinely mandated suffering on behalf of humanity. To this motif, Gibson adds another traditional element, hints of which can also be found in the Gospels. God and Satan are locked in a cosmic battle. The death of God’s son on the cross, an event that should have signaled God’s defeat, is God’s victory over Satan once and for all.

In the Gospels, this cosmic theme exists in an uneasy paradox with the very human story of a man who is preyed upon and wrongfully executed by the political powers of his day. The film intensifies this paradox by accentuating both the cosmic and physical sides of Jesus’ passion. The cosmic side is amplified by the periodic appearance of an androgynous figure with female features, shaved eyebrows, and a deep, masculine voice. The film points to the Jews as the instruments of Satan who initiate the events that will lead to Jesus’ death. Indeed, the Jews themselves become Satan at certain points. In one scene, Judas is approached by two young Jewish boys wearing skullcaps (Jesus and his male followers, though we know they must be Jewish, do not have their heads covered). But these boys soon turn into devil-children, disfigured, ugly, and vicious; they multiply in number as they pursue him to the outskirts of the city, where he eventually commits suicide. Later we see the Satan figure holding a young child, who then turns around and smiles wickedly at the camera, in a demonic perversion of the Madonna and child image. No doubt these images are meant to be symbolic; the transformation of Jewish children into demons is apparently a figment of Judas’ guilty and tortured mind. But how chilling that this
film, whether knowingly or not, plays upon the age-old trope of Jews as the children of the devil, a motif that has its source in John 8:44, in which Jesus declares that the Jews who do not believe in him have the devil as their father.

This is not to say that the film did not have some positive features. The cinematography is stunning, and the dramatic soundtrack is compelling. The most touching moments in the film involve Jesus and his mother. Their relationship is developed far beyond what is present in the sources, and beyond what any other filmmaker has done, with the important exception of Roberto Rossellini’s *The Messiah* (1975), an Italian film that was never commercially released in North America. The flashbacks to scenes in Jesus’ youth and ministry provide welcome, if brief, respite from the relentless violence that characterizes most of the film, though I suspect that viewers unfamiliar with the Gospels will have difficulty making sense of them.

Gibson’s “Passion” ultimately is a two-hour bloody marathon that adds a whole new set of images to the antisemitic repertoire that has been built up over the ages, readily available to those who choose to use it.

These features do not redeem the film for me. Gibson’s *Passion* ultimately is a two-hour bloody marathon that adds a whole new set of images to the antisemitic repertoire that has been built up over the ages, readily available to those who choose to use it.
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**CALL FOR PAPERS TO ALL JEWISH STUDIES SCHOLARS**

We are happy to invite you to send proposals for papers and sessions to be presented at the Fourteenth World Congress of Jewish Studies in Jerusalem July 31 – August 4, 2005.

The Organizing committees of the Congress Divisions wish to draw attention to the subjects listed below:

- **Division A**: The Bible and Its World
- **Division B**: History of the Jewish People
- **Division C**: Rabbinic Literature, Jewish Law, and Jewish Thought
- **Division D**: Literatures, Languages, and Arts
- **Division E**: Contemporary Jewish Society

The Congress will again incorporate special sessions organized by related associations and research bodies into the framework of the Congress deliberations. These include: Gender and Women’s Studies, the Study of Jewish Names (Onomastics), Mesorah Studies, Archival Studies, research on Latin American Jewry, Jewish Demography, and others.

Scholars wishing to lecture in one of the five divisions of the Congress are invited to submit two titles, accompanied by a descriptive outline of half a page for each proposal. Kindly send it on a computer diskette. The time allowed for each presentation is 20 minutes, with an additional 10 minutes devoted to discussion. Each panel will include four lectures. Those interested in proposing subjects for a session of four lectures should include the names of lecturers willing to participate in this panel and suggested lecture titles (along with the appropriate descriptions).

Proposals can be sent also by e-mail to jewishst@vms.huji.ac.il.

Proposals should be submitted to the Executive Secretary of the Congress no later than October 31, 2004.
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