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## Summary

The Senate and House have passed bills - S. 1 and H.R. 1 - to add prescription drug benefits and to make other changes to Medicare. A conference committee has announced an agreement that reconciles differences between the two bills, but the specific provisions are not yet known. All the bills provide subsidies to low-income beneficiaries for prescription drug coverage and use various poverty thresholds to determine eligibility. Using the most recent data, this report provides state-by-state estimates of the percentage and number of beneficiaries who fall below various lowincome thresholds, using the definition of income specified in the legislation. The asset (or "resource") requirements included in these proposals were not factored into the analysis. This report replaces CRS Report RL31736, Medicare Prescription Drug Proposals: Estimates of Aged Beneficiaries Who Fall Below Income Criteria, by State and will be updated to reflect legislative developments.

The Senate and House have passed bills - the Prescription Drug and Medicare Improvement Act of 2003 (S. 1) and the Medicare Prescription Drug and Modernization Act of 2003 (H.R. 1) - to add prescription drug benefits and to make other changes to Medicare. A conference committee has announced an agreement that reconciles differences between the two bills, but the specific provisions are not yet known. Both bills provide subsidies to low-income beneficiaries for prescription drug coverage and use various poverty thresholds to determine eligibility. For a full discussion of the prescription drug benefits for low-income beneficiaries and the income thresholds for which they apply under H.R. 1 and S. 1, see CRS Report RL31525, Medicare: Beneficiary Cost-Sharing Under Prescription Drug Legislation, as well as RS21583, Medicare Prescription Drug Legislation: Comparison of Actuarial Values. Table 1 shows estimates of the percentage and number of noninstitutionalized aged (age 65 or older) Medicare beneficiaries below various income thresholds, for each state and nationally. Table 2 shows the same estimates except it is for all noninstitutionalized beneficiaries, not just the aged.

Table 1. Average Percentage and Number of Aged ( 65 and Older), Noninstitutionalized Medicare Beneficiaries with Countable Incomes Below Specified Levels, by State, 2000-2002

| State | Below 74\% of poverty |  |  | Below 100\% of poverty |  |  | Below 135\% of poverty |  |  | Below 150\% of poverty |  |  | Below 160\% of poverty |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Total avg } \\ \text { number of } \\ \text { beneficiaries } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage |  | Number | Percentage |  | Number | Percentage |  | Number | Percentage |  | Number | Percentage |  | Number |  |
| Alabama | 8\% $\pm 1.8 \%$ |  | 43,000 | $20.4 \% \pm 2.7 \%$ |  | 109,000 | $34.8 \% \pm 3.2 \%$ |  | 186,000 | $41.2 \% \pm 3.3 \%$ | ** | 221,000 | $45.4 \% \pm 3.3 \%$ | ** | 243,000 | 535,000 |
| Alaska | 6.8\% $\pm 2.3 \%$ |  | 3,000 | $14.2 \% \pm 3.2 \%$ |  | 6,000 | $25.3 \% \pm 3.9 \%$ |  | 10,000 | $31.7 \% \pm 4.2 \%$ |  | 12,000 | $35 \% \pm 4.3 \%$ |  | 14,000 | 39,000 |
| Arizona | 5.9\% $\pm 1.8 \%$ |  | 36,000 | $10.9 \% \pm 2.3 \%$ | * | 67,000 | $22.4 \% \pm 3.1 \%$ |  | 138,000 | $27.6 \% \pm 3.3 \%$ | * | 170,000 | $30.6 \% \pm 3.4 \%$ | * | 188,000 | 616,000 |
| Arkansas | 7.5\% $\pm 1.7 \%$ |  | 29,000 | $19.4 \% \pm 2.6 \%$ |  | 74,000 | $33.7 \% \pm 3.1 \%$ |  | 128,000 | $40.8 \% \pm 3.2 \%$ | ** | 155,000 | $43.8 \% \pm 3.3 \%$ | ** | 167,000 | 380,000 |
| California | $8 \% \pm 1 \%$ |  | 251,000 | $14.9 \% \pm 1.3 \%$ |  | 468,000 | $30.9 \% \pm 1.7 \%$ | ** | 971,000 | $37.4 \% \pm 1.8 \%$ | ** | 1,175,000 | $40.9 \% \pm 1.8 \%$ | ** | 1,285,000 | 3,139,000 |
| Colorado | 5.6\% $\pm 1.5 \%$ |  | 23,000 | $13.1 \% \pm 2.2 \%$ |  | 54,000 | $24.3 \% \pm 2.8 \%$ |  | 101,000 | $30 \% \pm 3 \%$ | * | 124,000 | $33 \% \pm 3.1 \%$ | * | 137,000 | 414,000 |
| Connecticut | $4.6 \% \pm 1.1 \%$ |  | 22,000 | $10.5 \% \pm 1.7 \%$ | * | 51,000 | $20.5 \% \pm 2.2 \%$ |  | 99,000 | $25.2 \% \pm 2.4 \%$ | * | 121,000 | $28.3 \% \pm 2.4 \%$ | * | 136,000 | 481,000 |
| Delawa | $4 \% \pm 1.2 \%$ |  | 4,000 | 10\% $\pm 1.9 \%$ |  | 10,000 | $22.8 \% \pm 2.6 \%$ |  | 22,000 | $27.1 \% \pm 2.8 \%$ | * | 26,000 | $30.7 \% \pm 2.9 \%$ |  | 29,000 | 95,000 |
| DC | $14.1 \% \pm 3 \%$ |  | 8,000 | $27.1 \% \pm 3.9 \%$ |  | 16,000 | $39.4 \% \pm 4.2 \%$ |  | 23,000 | $43.7 \% \pm 4.3 \%$ | * | 26,000 | $46 \% \pm 4.3 \%$ |  | 27,000 | 60,000 |
| Florida | $7 \% \pm 0.9 \%$ |  | 181,000 | $15.8 \% \pm 1.2 \%$ |  | 410,000 | $29.6 \% \pm 1.6 \%$ |  | 767,000 | $35.4 \% \pm 1.6 \%$ |  | 916,000 | $38.8 \% \pm 1.7 \%$ |  | 1,003,000 | 2,587,000 |
| Georgia | $7.5 \% \pm 2.1 \%$ |  | 54,000 | $20.3 \% \pm 3.1 \%$ | * | 144,000 | $35.1 \% \pm 3.7 \%$ |  | 249,000 | $42.2 \% \pm 3.9 \%$ | ** | 301,000 | $44.7 \% \pm 3.9 \%$ |  | 318,000 | 711,000 |
| Hawaii | $12.1 \% \pm 2.1 \%$ |  | 18,000 | $19.4 \% \pm 2.6 \%$ |  | 29,000 | $31.8 \% \pm 3.1 \%$ |  | 47,000 | $36 \% \pm 3.2 \%$ |  | 53,000 | $39 \% \pm 3.2 \%$ |  | 58,000 | 147,000 |
| Idaho | $2.9 \% \pm 1.2 \%$ |  | 4,000 | 9.6\% $\pm 2.2 \%$ | * | 14,000 | $22.4 \% \pm 3.1 \%$ |  | 32,000 | $29.2 \% \pm 3.4 \%$ | * | 42,000 | $31.1 \% \pm 3.5 \%$ | * | 44,000 | 143,000 |
| Illinois | $6.4 \% \pm 1.1 \%$ |  | 86,000 | $13.5 \% \pm 1.6 \%$ |  | 180,000 | $25.8 \% \pm 2 \%$ |  | 346,000 | $32.6 \% \pm 2.2 \%$ |  | 436,000 | $35.9 \% \pm 2.2 \%$ |  | 481,000 | 1,339,000 |
| Indiana | $4.9 \% \pm 1.2 \%$ |  | 37,000 | $11.4 \% \pm 1.8 \%$ | * | 87,000 | $28.4 \% \pm 2.5 \%$ |  | 216,000 | $34 \% \pm 2.7 \%$ |  | 258,000 | $37.7 \% \pm 2.7 \%$ |  | 286,000 | 759,000 |
| Iowa | $5.2 \% \pm 1.3 \%$ |  | 20,000 | $11.2 \% \pm 1.8 \%$ | * | 43,000 | $23.1 \% \pm 2.5 \%$ | * | 89,000 | 28\% $\pm 2.6 \%$ | * | 109,000 | $31.8 \% \pm 2.7 \%$ | * | 123,000 | 388,000 |
| Kansas | $3.5 \% \pm 1.1 \%$ |  | 13,000 | $11.3 \% \pm 1.9 \%$ | * | 41,000 | 27.3\% $\pm 2.6 \%$ |  | 99,000 | $32.6 \% \pm 2.8 \%$ |  | 119,000 | $35.7 \% \pm 2.8 \%$ |  | 130,000 | 364,000 |
| Kentucky | 9.2\% $\pm 2 \%$ |  | 45,000 | $17.7 \% \pm 2.6 \%$ |  | 85,000 | $30.8 \% \pm 3.1 \%$ |  | 149,000 | $38 \% \pm 3.2 \%$ | ** | 183,000 | $41.1 \% \pm 3.3 \%$ |  | 198,000 | 482,000 |
| Louisiana | 9.2\% $\pm 2.2 \%$ |  | 44,000 | 20.2\% $\pm 3 \%$ |  | 97,000 | $37.7 \% \pm 3.6 \%$ |  | 180,000 | $42.7 \% \pm 3.7 \%$ | ** | 204,000 | $46.4 \% \pm 3.7 \%$ |  | 222,000 | 478,000 |
| Maine | $5.4 \% \pm 1.2 \%$ |  | 11,000 | $14.7 \% \pm 1.9 \%$ |  | 29,000 | $32 \% \pm 2.4 \%$ |  | 62,000 | $39.5 \% \pm 2.6 \%$ |  | 77,000 | $44.9 \% \pm 2.6 \%$ |  | 87,000 | 194,000 |
| Maryland | $6.8 \% \pm 1.6 \%$ |  | 41,000 | $15.1 \% \pm 2.2 \%$ |  | 91,000 | $26.8 \% \pm 2.8 \%$ |  | 162,000 | $31 \% \pm 2.9 \%$ | * | 187,000 | $34 \% \pm 3 \%$ | * | 206,000 | 605,000 |
| Massachusetts | $7.9 \% \pm 1.5 \%$ |  | 62,000 | $16.5 \% \pm 2 \%$ |  | 130,000 | $28.9 \% \pm 2.5 \%$ |  | 227,000 | $35.7 \% \pm 2.7 \%$ |  | 280,000 | $40.5 \% \pm 2.7 \%$ |  | 318,000 | 784,000 |
| Michigan | $5.2 \% \pm 1.1 \%$ |  | 57,000 | $12.6 \% \pm 1.6 \%$ | * | 140,000 | $23.2 \% \pm 2.1 \%$ | * | 257,000 | $29.3 \% \pm 2.2 \%$ | * | 324,000 | $33.7 \% \pm 2.3 \%$ | * | 373,000 | 1,105,000 |
| Minnesota | 5.4\% $\pm 1.5 \%$ |  | 26,000 | $11.6 \% \pm 2.2 \%$ | * | 55,000 | $23.1 \% \pm 2.9 \%$ | * | 108,000 | $27.6 \% \pm 3.1 \%$ | * | 130,000 | $32.2 \% \pm 3.2 \%$ | * | 151,000 | 469,000 |
| Mississippi | 10\% $\pm 2.3 \%$ |  | 31,000 | $27.2 \% \pm 3.4 \%$ |  | 85,000 | $42.2 \% \pm 3.8 \%$ |  | 131,000 | $47.3 \% \pm 3.8 \%$ | ** | 147,000 | $50.5 \% \pm 3.8 \%$ |  | 157,000 | 311,000 |
| Missouri | $3.6 \% \pm 1.2 \%$ |  | 23,000 | $10.8 \% \pm 2 \%$ | * | 69,000 | $22 \% \pm 2.6 \%$ | * | 141,000 | $27.9 \% \pm 2.8 \%$ | * | 178,000 | $32.4 \% \pm 2.9 \%$ | * | 207,000 | 639,000 |
| Montana | $4.5 \% \pm 1.4 \%$ |  | 6,000 | $12.7 \% \pm 2.3 \%$ | * | 16,000 | $25.8 \% \pm 3.1 \%$ |  | 32,000 | $33 \% \pm 3.3 \%$ |  | 41,000 | $35.3 \% \pm 3.3 \%$ |  | 44,000 | 124,000 |
| Nebraska | 6.4\% $\pm 1.6 \%$ |  | 13,000 | $13.4 \% \pm 2.3 \%$ |  | 27,000 | $24.9 \% \pm 2.9 \%$ | * | 49,000 | $32.2 \% \pm 3.2 \%$ |  | 64,000 | $36.2 \% \pm 3.2 \%$ |  | 71,000 | 198,000 |
| Nevada | 6\% $\pm 1.6 \%$ |  | 13,000 | $12.5 \% \pm 2.2 \%$ | * | 28,000 | $24.5 \% \pm 2.9 \%$ | * | 55,000 | $30.6 \% \pm 3.1 \%$ | * | 68,000 | $34.5 \% \pm 3.1 \%$ | * | 77,000 | 223,000 |
| New Hampshire | $4.7 \% \pm 1.2 \%$ | , | 8,000 | 9.9\% $\pm 1.7 \%$ | * | 16,000 | $21 \% \pm 2.4 \%$ |  | 34,000 | $27.8 \% \pm 2.6 \%$ | * | 44,000 | $32.1 \% \pm 2.7 \%$ | * | 51,000 | 160,000 |

CRS-3

| State | Below 74\% of poverty |  |  | Below 100\% of poverty |  |  | Below 135\% of poverty |  |  | Below 150\% of poverty |  |  | Below 160\% of poverty |  |  | Total avgnumber ofbeneficiaries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage |  | Number | Percentage |  | Number | Percentage |  | Number | Percentage |  | Number | Percentage |  | Number |  |
| New Jersey | $6.1 \% \pm 1.1 \%$ |  | 69,000 | $13 \% \pm 1.6 \%$ | * | 146,000 | $24.3 \% \pm 2 \%$ | * | 273,000 | $30.1 \% \pm 2.1 \%$ | * | 338,000 | $33.2 \% \pm 2.2 \%$ | * | 373,000 | 1,122,000 |
| New Mexico | $10.9 \% \pm 2.4 \%$ |  | 25,000 | $19.3 \% \pm 3.1 \%$ |  | 43,000 | $33 \% \pm 3.7 \%$ | ** | 74,000 | $38.2 \% \pm 3.8 \%$ |  | 86,000 | $41.7 \% \pm 3.9 \%$ |  | 94,000 | 225,000 |
| New York | 8.5\% $\pm 1 \%$ |  | 195,000 | $18.8 \% \pm 1.3 \%$ |  | 431,000 | $31.2 \% \pm 1.6 \%$ | ** | 715,000 | $37.7 \% \pm 1.7 \%$ | * | 864,000 | $40.9 \% \pm 1.7 \%$ | ** | 938,000 | 2,293,000 |
| North Carolina | $9.1 \% \pm 1.6 \%$ |  | 85,000 | $19.8 \% \pm 2.2 \%$ |  | 186,000 | $35.4 \% \pm 2.7 \%$ |  | 332,000 | $39.7 \% \pm 2.7 \%$ | ** | 373,000 | $42.8 \% \pm 2.7 \%$ | ** | 402,000 | 940,000 |
| North Dakota | $7 \% \pm 1.6 \%$ |  | 6,000 | $15.8 \% \pm 2.2 \%$ |  | 13,000 | $29.8 \% \pm 2.8 \%$ |  | 25,000 | $36.2 \% \pm 2.9 \%$ |  | 31,000 | 42.6\% $\pm 3 \%$ | ** | 36,000 | 85,000 |
| Ohio | $5.9 \% \pm 1.1 \%$ |  | 81,000 | $12.6 \% \pm 1.5 \%$ | * | 173,000 | $25.7 \% \pm 2 \%$ | * | 351,000 | $30.9 \% \pm 2.1 \%$ | * | 422,000 | $33.8 \% \pm 2.2 \%$ | * | 462,000 | 1,367,000 |
| Oklahoma | 6.9\% $\pm 1.6 \%$ |  | 30,000 | $14.4 \% \pm 2.3 \%$ |  | 63,000 | $28 \% \pm 2.9 \%$ |  | 121,000 | $32.5 \% \pm 3 \%$ |  | 141,000 | $36.1 \% \pm 3.1 \%$ |  | 157,000 | 434,000 |
| Oregon | $3.9 \% \pm 1.3 \%$ |  | 15,000 | $9.2 \% \pm 2 \%$ | * | 35,000 | $21.6 \% \pm 2.8 \%$ | * | 82,000 | $26.2 \% \pm 3 \%$ | * | 100,000 | $29.7 \% \pm 3.1 \%$ | * | 113,000 | 380,000 |
| Pennsylvania | $5.1 \% \pm 0.9 \%$ |  | 85,000 | $12.3 \% \pm 1.3 \%$ | * | 204,000 | $25.4 \% \pm 1.7 \%$ | * | 422,000 | $31.5 \% \pm 1.9 \%$ | * | 523,000 | $34.9 \% \pm 1.9 \%$ | * | 580,000 | 1,663,000 |
| Rhode Island | $5.6 \% \pm 1.2 \%$ |  | 9,000 | $16 \% \pm 1.9 \%$ |  | 25,000 | $31.1 \% \pm 2.4 \%$ | ** | 48,000 | $37.9 \% \pm 2.5 \%$ |  | 58,000 | $40.5 \% \pm 2.6 \%$ |  | 62,000 | 153,000 |
| South Carolina | $9.1 \% \pm 1.8 \%$ | ** | 45,000 | 20.4\% $\pm 2.6 \%$ | ** | 101,000 | $35.8 \% \pm 3.1 \%$ | ** | 178,000 | 40.7\% $\pm 3.2 \%$ | ** | 202,000 | $44.2 \% \pm 3.2 \%$ | ** | 220,000 | 497,000 |
| South Dakota | $5.1 \% \pm 1.3 \%$ | * | 5,000 | $12.2 \% \pm 1.9 \%$ | * | 12,000 | 28.9\% $\pm 2.6 \%$ |  | 29,000 | $34.2 \% \pm 2.8 \%$ |  | 35,000 | $38 \% \pm 2.8 \%$ |  | 39,000 | 102,000 |
| Tennessee | 9.6\% $\pm 2.2 \%$ |  | 58,000 | $21.2 \% \pm 3 \%$ | ** | 128,000 | $37.7 \% \pm 3.6 \%$ | ** | 227,000 | 43.9\% $\pm 3.7 \%$ | ** | 265,000 | $49.2 \% \pm 3.7 \%$ | ** | 297,000 | 603,000 |
| Texas | 9.6\% $\pm 1.3 \%$ |  | 190,000 | $20 \% \pm 1.8 \%$ |  | 399,000 | $34.2 \% \pm 2.1 \%$ | ** | 682,000 | $40 \% \pm 2.2 \%$ | ** | 798,000 | $43.2 \% \pm 2.2 \%$ | * | 862,000 | 1,994,000 |
| Utah | 6.5\% $\pm 2.1 \%$ |  | 11,000 | $14.5 \% \pm 3 \%$ |  | 24,000 | $24.5 \% \pm 3.7 \%$ | * | 41,000 | $28.5 \% \pm 3.8 \%$ | * | 48,000 | $31.6 \% \pm 3.9 \%$ | * | 53,000 | 168,000 |
| Vermont | 5.9\% $\pm 1.5 \%$ |  | 4,000 | $15.3 \% \pm 2.3 \%$ |  | 11,000 | $31.7 \% \pm 3 \%$ | ** | 23,000 | $38.4 \% \pm 3.1 \%$ | ** | 27,000 | 41.7\% $\pm 3.1 \%$ |  | 30,000 | 71,000 |
| Virginia | 6.6\% $\pm 1.7 \%$ |  | 51,000 | $14.8 \% \pm 2.4 \%$ |  | 114,000 | 28.1\% $\pm 3 \%$ |  | 216,000 | $35.1 \% \pm 3.2 \%$ |  | 270,000 | $38.3 \% \pm 3.2 \%$ |  | 295,000 | 770,000 |
| Washington | 5.9\% $\pm 1.6 \%$ |  | 38,000 | $10.9 \% \pm 2.1 \%$ | * | 70,000 | $19.6 \% \pm 2.7 \%$ | * | 126,000 | $24.3 \% \pm 3 \%$ | * | 156,000 | $27.6 \% \pm 3.1 \%$ | * | 178,000 | 643,000 |
| West Virginia | 6.2\% $\pm 1.4 \%$ |  | 17,000 | 16.3\% $\pm 2.1 \%$ |  | 44,000 | 30.1\% $\pm 2.6 \%$ |  | 82,000 | 35.9\% $\pm 2.7 \%$ |  | 98,000 | 40.7\% $\pm 2.8 \%$ |  | 111,000 | 272,000 |
| Wisconsin | $3.7 \% \pm 1.1 \%$ |  | 24,000 | 9.8\% $\pm 1.7 \%$ | * | 64,000 | 18.9\% $\pm 2.3 \%$ | * | 123,000 | $24.9 \% \pm 2.5 \%$ | * | 162,000 | $29.4 \% \pm 2.6 \%$ |  | 191,000 | 650,000 |
| Wyoming | 5\% $\pm 1.5 \%$ |  | 3,000 | $11.6 \% \pm 2.2 \%$ |  | 7,000 | $26.4 \% \pm 3 \%$ |  | 15,000 | $33.9 \% \pm 3.2 \%$ |  | 19,000 | $39 \% \pm 3.3 \%$ |  | 22,000 | 57,000 |
| United States | 6.9\% $\pm 0.2 \%$ |  | $\mathbf{2 , 2 5 5 , 0 0 0}$ | 15.3\% $\pm 0.3 \%$ |  | 4,961,000 | 28.6\% $\pm 0.4 \%$ |  | 9,298,000 | $\mathbf{3 4 . 5 \%} \pm 0.4 \%$ |  | 11,206,000 | $\mathbf{3 8 \%} \pm \mathbf{0 . 4 \%}$ |  | 12,345,000 | 32,459,000 |

Source: Table prepared by the Congressional Research Service based on data from the March supplement of the Current Population Survey, $2001-2003$.
Note: Income is determined based on the definition of countable income for Supplemental Security Income, not by total family income. The Department of Health and Human Services' federal poverty guidelines are used rather than the Census Bureau's federal poverty threshold. The range given for the percentages reflect the $90 \%$ confidence interval. These demonstrate that, because the estimates are based on a portion of the entire aged Medicare population, the estimates are subject to variability. The size of the confidence intervals depends primarily on sample size. A $90 \%$ confidence interval means that if all possible samples were surveyed under the same sample design and general conditions, the estimated percentage in each income category would lie within the confidence interval 9 out of 10 times. The number of beneficiaries is based on the corresponding percentage point estimate. The number of beneficiaries is subject to the same kind of variability as the percentage, but the $90 \%$ confidence interval is not provided because of space constraints.

[^0]Table 2. Average Percentage and Number of Noninstitutionalized Medicare Beneficiaries (Aged and Disabled) with
Countable Incomes Below Specified Levels, by State, 2000-2002

| State | Below 74\% of poverty |  |  | Below 100\% of poverty |  |  | Below 135\% of poverty |  |  | Below 150\% of poverty |  |  | Below 160\% of poverty |  |  | Total avg number of beneficiaries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage |  | Number | Percentage |  | Number | Percentage |  | Number | Percentage |  | Number | Percentage |  | Number |  |
| Alabama | 11.5\% $\pm 1.9 \%$ |  | 77,000 | $25.4 \% \pm 2.6 \%$ |  | 172,000 | 41\% $\pm 2.9 \%$ | ** | 277,000 | $47.4 \% \pm 3 \%$ | ** | 320,000 | 51\% $\pm 3 \%$ |  | 345,000 | 676,000 |
| Alaska | 11.2\% $\pm 2.5 \%$ |  | 6,000 | $20.4 \% \pm 3.2 \%$ |  | 10,000 | $33.9 \% \pm 3.8 \%$ |  | 17,000 | $40.5 \% \pm 3.9 \%$ |  | 20,000 | $43.7 \% \pm 4 \%$ |  | 22,000 | 50,000 |
| Arizona | $7.5 \% \pm 1.8 \%$ | * | 53,000 | $13.5 \% \pm 2.4 \%$ |  | 95,000 | $25.8 \% \pm 3 \%$ |  | 182,000 | $30.8 \% \pm 3.2 \%$ | * | 217,000 | $33.6 \% \pm 3.2 \%$ | * | 237,000 | 705,000 |
| Arkansas | $13 \% \pm 2 \%$ |  | 63,000 | $26.9 \% \pm 2.6 \%$ |  | 130,000 | $40.4 \% \pm 2.9 \%$ |  | 195,000 | $47 \% \pm 2.9 \%$ | ** | 227,000 | $50 \% \pm 2.9 \%$ | ** | 242,000 | 484,000 |
| California | 9.9\% $\pm 1 \%$ |  | 361,000 | $17.8 \% \pm 1.3 \%$ |  | 649,000 | $34.3 \% \pm 1.6 \%$ |  | 1,251,000 | $40.6 \% \pm 1.7 \%$ | ** | 1,481,000 | $44 \% \pm 1.7 \%$ |  | 1,603,000 | 3,646,000 |
| Colorado | $9.8 \% \pm 1.8 \%$ |  | 47,000 | $17.6 \% \pm 2.3 \%$ |  | 85,000 | 29.3\% $\pm 2.8 \%$ | * | 142,000 | $34.8 \% \pm 2.9 \%$ | * | 168,000 | $37.6 \% \pm 3 \%$ | * | 182,000 | 483,000 |
| Connecticut | 5.7\% $\pm 1.2 \%$ |  | 30,000 | $13 \% \pm 1.7 \%$ |  | 69,000 | $23.4 \% \pm 2.2 \%$ |  | 125,000 | 28.1\% $\pm 2.3 \%$ | * | 150,000 | $30.9 \% \pm 2.4 \%$ |  | 165,000 | 535,000 |
| Delawa | 7.6\% $\pm 1.5 \%$ |  | 9,000 | $14.7 \% \pm 2 \%$ |  | 17,000 | $27.1 \% \pm 2.5 \%$ |  | 31,000 | $31.9 \% \pm 2.6 \%$ |  | 37,000 | $35.6 \% \pm 2.7 \%$ |  | 41,000 | 114,000 |
| DC | $19.4 \% \pm 3.1 \%$ |  | 14,000 | $32.3 \% \pm 3.7 \%$ |  | 23,000 | $44.9 \% \pm 3.9 \%$ | ** | 32,000 | $49.3 \% \pm 3.9 \%$ | ** | 35,000 | 51.5\% $\pm 3.9 \%$ |  | 37,000 | 72,000 |
| Florida | 9.1\% $\pm 0.9 \%$ |  | 268,000 | $18.7 \% \pm 1.3 \%$ |  | 548,000 | $32.4 \% \pm 1.5 \%$ |  | 953,000 | $38.4 \% \pm 1.6 \%$ |  | 1,128,000 | $41.6 \% \pm 1.6 \%$ |  | 1,220,000 | 2,936,000 |
| Georgia | $10.9 \% \pm 2.2 \%$ |  | 98,000 | $24.3 \% \pm 3 \%$ |  | 218,000 | $39.2 \% \pm 3.4 \%$ |  | 352,000 | $45.9 \% \pm 3.5 \%$ | ** | 412,000 | $48.1 \% \pm 3.5 \%$ |  | 432,000 | 898,000 |
| Hawaii | $15.8 \% \pm 2.2 \%$ * |  | 26,000 | $23.4 \% \pm 2.6 \%$ |  | 39,000 | $35.7 \% \pm 3 \%$ |  | 60,000 | $39.5 \% \pm 3.1 \%$ |  | 66,000 | $42.7 \% \pm 3.1 \%$ |  | 71,000 | 167,000 |
| Idaho | 5.1\% $\pm 1.5 \%$ |  | 8,000 | $12.7 \% \pm 2.3 \%$ |  | 21,000 | $25.5 \% \pm 3 \%$ |  | 42,000 | $32.2 \% \pm 3.3 \%$ | * | 52,000 | $34.5 \% \pm 3.3 \%$ | * | 56,000 | 163,000 |
| Illinois | 9.7\% $\pm 1.3 \%$ |  | 155,000 | 17.9\% $\pm 1.6 \%$ |  | 285,000 | $31.4 \% \pm 2 \%$ |  | 501,000 | $37.6 \% \pm 2.1 \%$ |  | 599,000 | $40.8 \% \pm 2.1 \%$ |  | 650,000 | 1,592,000 |
| Indiana | 8.2\% $\pm 1.4 \%$ | * | 72,000 | $15.6 \% \pm 1.9 \%$ | * | 137,000 | $31.9 \% \pm 2.4 \%$ |  | 281,000 | $37.6 \% \pm 2.5 \%$ |  | 331,000 | $41.4 \% \pm 2.6 \%$ |  | 364,000 | 880,000 |
| Iowa | 7.5\% $\pm 1.5 \%$ | * | 33,000 | $14.9 \% \pm 2 \%$ | * | 65,000 | $26.7 \% \pm 2.4 \%$ | * | 117,000 | $31.3 \% \pm 2.6 \%$ | * | 137,000 | $35.3 \% \pm 2.6 \%$ | * | 155,000 | 439,000 |
| Kansas | 5.6\% $\pm 1.3 \%$ | * | 23,000 | $14.8 \% \pm 2 \%$ |  | 61,000 | $30.6 \% \pm 2.6 \%$ |  | 125,000 | $36 \% \pm 2.7 \%$ |  | 148,000 | $39 \% \pm 2.7 \%$ |  | 160,000 | 409,000 |
| Kentucky | $11.2 \% \pm 1.9 \%$ |  | 68,000 | $21.5 \% \pm 2.4 \%$ |  | 130,000 | $35.6 \% \pm 2.8 \%$ |  | 216,000 | $42.4 \% \pm 2.9 \%$ | ** | 257,000 | $46.2 \% \pm 3 \%$ | ** | 279,000 | 605,000 |
| Louisiana | $12.7 \% \pm 2.2 \%$ |  | 76,000 | $24.8 \% \pm 2.9 \%$ |  | 148,000 | $42.1 \% \pm 3.3 \%$ |  | 251,000 | $47.1 \% \pm 3.3 \%$ | ** | 281,000 | $51 \% \pm 3.3 \%$ | * | 304,000 | 596,000 |
| Maine | 9.4\% $\pm 1.4 \%$ |  | 22,000 | $20.7 \% \pm 1.9 \%$ |  | 48,000 | $37.3 \% \pm 2.3 \%$ |  | 86,000 | $44.3 \% \pm 2.4 \%$ |  | 102,000 | $49.2 \% \pm 2.4 \%$ |  | 114,000 | 231,000 |
| Maryland | 9.4\% $\pm 1.7 \%$ |  | 64,000 | $18.2 \% \pm 2.3 \%$ |  | 124,000 | $29.6 \% \pm 2.7 \%$ |  | 201,000 | $33.6 \% \pm 2.8 \%$ | * | 229,000 | $36.6 \% \pm 2.8 \%$ | * | 249,000 | 681,000 |
| Massachusetts | 8.6\% $\pm 1.5 \%$ |  | 76,000 | $18.5 \% \pm 2 \%$ |  | 164,000 | $31.6 \% \pm 2.4 \%$ |  | 279,000 | $38.2 \% \pm 2.5 \%$ |  | 337,000 | $42.9 \% \pm 2.6 \%$ |  | 379,000 | 883,000 |
| Michigan | 8.1\% $\pm 1.2 \%$ | * | 108,000 | $17.7 \% \pm 1.7 \%$ |  | 237,000 | $29.1 \% \pm 2 \%$ | * | 391,000 | $35 \% \pm 2.1 \%$ | * | 469,000 | $39.1 \% \pm 2.2 \%$ | * | 524,000 | 1,341,000 |
| Minnesota | 7.3\% $\pm 1.7 \%$ | * | 39,000 | $14.3 \% \pm 2.2 \%$ | * | 76,000 | $26.4 \% \pm 2.8 \%$ | * | 140,000 | $30.5 \% \pm 2.9 \%$ | * | 163,000 | $34.8 \% \pm 3 \%$ | * | 186,000 | 533,000 |
| Mississippi | $12.5 \% \pm 2.3 \%$ |  | 49,000 | $31.3 \% \pm 3.2 \%$ |  | 122,000 | $45.5 \% \pm 3.4 \%$ |  | 178,000 | 51.2\% $\pm 3.4 \%$ |  | 200,000 | $54.2 \% \pm 3.4 \%$ |  | 212,000 | 390,000 |
| Missouri | $5.8 \% \pm 1.4 \%$ | * | 43,000 | $15.1 \% \pm 2.1 \%$ | * | 111,000 | $26.1 \% \pm 2.6 \%$ | * | 193,000 | $31.7 \% \pm 2.7 \%$ | * | 233,000 | $35.8 \% \pm 2.8 \%$ | * | 264,000 | 737,000 |
| Montana | $5.6 \% \pm 1.4 \%$ |  | 8,000 | $15.4 \% \pm 2.3 \%$ | * | 22,000 | $28.5 \% \pm 2.9 \%$ | * | 42,000 | $36.3 \% \pm 3.1 \%$ |  | 53,000 | $38.6 \% \pm 3.1 \%$ | * | 56,000 | 146,000 |
| Nebraska | 8.6\% $\pm 1.8 \%$ |  | 19,000 | $16.6 \% \pm 2.4 \%$ | * | 37,000 | $28.5 \% \pm 2.9 \%$ | * | 64,000 | $35.8 \% \pm 3 \%$ |  | 80,000 | $39.7 \% \pm 3.1 \%$ |  | 89,000 | 224,000 |
| Nevada | $7.1 \% \pm 1.6 \%$ | * | 18,000 | $15.2 \% \pm 2.2 \%$ | * | 39,000 | $27.7 \% \pm 2.8 \%$ | * | 71,000 | $33.5 \% \pm 2.9 \%$ | * | 85,000 | $37.4 \% \pm 3 \%$ | * | 95,000 | 255,000 |
| New Hampshire | $6 \% \pm 1.3 \%$ | , | 11,000 | $12.7 \% \pm 1.9 \%$ | * | 23,000 | $25 \% \pm 2.4 \%$ | * | 45,000 | $32.2 \% \pm 2.6 \%$ | * | 58,000 | $36.3 \% \pm 2.7 \%$ | * | 65,000 | 179,000 |

CRS-5

| State | Below 74\% of poverty |  | Below 100\% of poverty |  |  | Below 135\% of poverty |  | Below 150\% of poverty |  |  | Below 160\% of poverty |  |  | Total avg <br> number of <br> beneficiaries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage | Number | Percentage |  | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage |  | Number | Percentage |  | Number |  |
| New Jersey | 9.1\% $\pm 1.2 \%$ | 117,000 | 17.5\% $\pm 1.6 \%$ |  | 224,000 | 29.3\% $\pm 2 \%$ | 376,000 | $34.7 \% \pm 2.1 \%$ | * | 446,000 | $37.8 \% \pm 2.1 \%$ | * | 486,000 | 1,283,000 |
| New Mexico | 15.5\% $\pm 2.5 \%$ | 43,000 | $25.3 \% \pm 3.1 \%^{\text {\% }}$ |  | 70,000 | $39 \% \pm 3.5 \%$ ** | 108,000 | $43.6 \% \pm 3.5 \%$ | ** | 121,000 | $47.1 \% \pm 3.5 \%$ | ** | 130,000 | 277,000 |
| New York | 10.6\% $\pm 1 \%$ | 282,000 | $22.5 \% \pm 1.3 \%$ |  | 597,000 | $35.1 \% \pm 1.5 \%$ ** | 930,000 | $41.3 \% \pm 1.6 \%$ |  | 1,095,000 | $44.4 \% \pm 1.6 \%$ | ** | 1,178,000 | 2,650,000 |
| North Carolina | $14.4 \% \pm 1.7 \%$ ** | * 169,000 | $26.5 \% \pm 2.2 \%$ | ** | 311,000 | $41.3 \% \pm 2.4 \%$ ** | 484,000 | $45.5 \% \pm 2.5 \%$ | * | 533,000 | 48.6\% $\pm 2.5 \%$ | ** | 569,000 | 1,171,000 |
| North Dakota | $7.4 \% \pm 1.5 \%$ | * 7,000 | $16.9 \% \pm 2.2 \%$ | * | 16,000 | $31.1 \% \pm 2.7 \%$ | 29,000 | $37.3 \% \pm 2.8 \%$ |  | 35,000 | $43.4 \% \pm 2.9 \%$ |  | 40,000 | 93,000 |
| Ohio | 8.2\% $\pm 1.2 \%$ | 130,000 | $15.7 \% \pm 1.5 \%$ |  | 248,000 | $29.1 \% \pm 1.9 \%$ | 459,000 | $34.6 \% \pm 2 \%$ | * | 545,000 | $37.3 \% \pm 2.1 \%$ | * | 589,000 | 1,577,000 |
| Oklahom | 8.8\% $\pm 1.7 \%$ | 44,000 | 19.3\% $\pm 2.4 \%$ |  | 97,000 | $33.4 \% \pm 2.9 \%$ | 167,000 | $37.8 \% \pm 2.9 \%$ |  | 189,000 | 41.3\% $\pm 3 \%$ |  | 207,000 | 501,000 |
| Oregon | 6.8\% $\pm 1.5 \%$ | * 31,000 | $15.2 \% \pm 2.2 \%$ |  | 70,000 | $28.5 \% \pm 2.8 \%$ | 131,000 | $33.2 \% \pm 2.9 \%$ | * | 152,000 | $36.5 \% \pm 3 \%$ | * | 168,000 | 459,000 |
| Pennsylvania | $7.1 \% \pm 0.9 \%$ | * 134,000 | 15.9\% $\pm 1.4 \%$ |  | 299,000 | $29 \% \pm 1.7 \%$ | 547,000 | $34.7 \% \pm 1.8 \%$ |  | 656,000 | $38.3 \% \pm 1.8 \%$ | * | 723,000 | 1,888,000 |
| Rhode Island | 6.8\% $\pm 1.2 \%$ | 12,000 | 18.9\% $\pm 1.9 \%$ |  | 33,000 | $33.9 \% \pm 2.3 \%$ | 59,000 | $40.7 \% \pm 2.4 \%$ |  | 71,000 | $43.2 \% \pm 2.4 \%$ |  | 75,000 | 174,000 |
| South Carolina | $15.9 \% \pm 2.1 \%$ ** | * 103,000 | $27.8 \% \pm 2.5 \%$ | ** | 179,000 | $42.2 \% \pm 2.8 \%^{* *}$ | 273,000 | $47 \% \pm 2.8 \%$ | ** | 304,000 | $50.2 \% \pm 2.8 \%$ | ** | 325,000 | 646,000 |
| South Dakota | 7.3\% $\pm 1.4 \%$ | * 8,000 | 15.6\% $\pm 2 \%$ | * | 18,000 | $32.5 \% \pm 2.6 \%$ | 37,000 | $37.7 \% \pm 2.7 \%$ |  | 43,000 | 41.2\% $\pm 2.7 \%$ |  | 47,000 | 113,000 |
| Tennessee | $12.7 \% \pm 2.2 \%$ ** | ** 98,000 | $25.9 \% \pm 2.9 \%$ * |  | 199,000 | $43 \% \pm 3.3 \%$ ** | 331,000 | $48.8 \% \pm 3.3 \%$ |  | 375,000 | $53.4 \% \pm 3.3 \%$ |  | 411,000 | 769,000 |
| Texas | $11.9 \% \pm 1.3 \%$ ** | ** 274,000 | $24.1 \% \pm 1.8 \%$ |  | 557,000 | $38.5 \% \pm 2 \%^{* *}$ | 888,000 | $43.9 \% \pm 2.1 \%$ |  | 1,015,000 | $47.1 \% \pm 2.1 \%$ |  | 1,088,000 | 2,310,000 |
| Utah | 8.5\% $\pm 2.2 \%$ | 16,000 | $17.4 \% \pm 3 \%$ |  | 33,000 | $27.1 \% \pm 3.5 \%$ | 52,000 | $31.4 \% \pm 3.7 \%$ |  | 60,000 | $34.7 \% \pm 3.8 \%$ | * | 67,000 | 192,000 |
| Vermont | 9.5\% $\pm 1.7 \%$ | 8,000 | 20\% $\pm 2.3 \%$ |  | 17,000 | $35.9 \% \pm 2.8 \%$ ** | 30,000 | $42.6 \% \pm 2.9 \%$ | ** | 36,000 | $45.7 \% \pm 2.9 \%$ |  | 39,000 | 85,000 |
| Virginia | 9.4\% $\pm 1.8 \%$ | 86,000 | 19.4\% $\pm 2.4 \%$ |  | 178,000 | $32.5 \% \pm 2.9 \%$ | 298,000 | $39.5 \% \pm 3 \%$ |  | 362,000 | $43.3 \% \pm 3 \%$ |  | 397,000 | 917,000 |
| Washington | 8.8\% $\pm 1.8 \%$ | 66,000 | $15.5 \% \pm 2.3 \%$ | * | 116,000 | $24.5 \% \pm 2.8 \%$ | 184,000 | $29.5 \% \pm 2.9 \%$ | * | 220,000 | $32.7 \% \pm 3 \%$ | * | 244,000 | 748,000 |
| West Virginia | 9.9\% $\pm 1.5 \%$ | 34,000 | $21 \% \pm 2 \%$ |  | 73,000 | $35.6 \% \pm 2.4 \%$ ** | 123,000 | $41.3 \% \pm 2.4 \%$ |  | 143,000 | $45.8 \% \pm 2.5 \%$ |  | 159,000 | 346,000 |
| Wisconsin | 7.9\% $\pm 1.4 \%$ | 60,000 | $15.1 \% \pm 1.9 \%$ | * | 116,000 | $24.7 \% \pm 2.3 \%$ * | 189,000 | $30.6 \% \pm 2.5 \%$ |  | 234,000 | $35.1 \% \pm 2.6 \%$ | * | 269,000 | 766,000 |
| Wyoming | 8.6\% $\pm 1.7 \%$ | 6,000 | $16 \% \pm 2.3 \%$ |  | 10,000 | $30.1 \% \pm 2.9 \%$ | 19,000 | $37.3 \% \pm 3.1 \%$ |  | 24,000 | $41.9 \% \pm 3.2 \%$ |  | 27,000 | 65,000 |
| United States | $\mathbf{9 . 6 \%} \pm 0.2 \%$ | 3,672,000 | 19.3\% $\pm 0.3 \%$ |  | 7,366,000 | $\mathbf{3 3 \%} \pm \mathbf{0 . 4 \%}$ | 12,551,000 | $\mathbf{3 8 . 7 \%} \pm \mathbf{0 . 4 \%}$ |  | 14,735,000 | $\mathbf{4 2 . 1 \%} \pm \mathbf{0 . 4 \%}$ |  | 16,032,000 | 38,077,000 |

Source: Table prepared by the Congressional Research Service based on data from the March supplement of the Current Population Survey, 2001 -2003.
Note: Income is determined based on the definition of countable income for Supplemental Security Income, not by total family income. The Department of Health and Human Services' federal poverty guidelines are used rather than the Census Bureau's federal poverty threshold. The range given for the percentages reflect the $90 \%$ confidence interval. These demonstrate that, because the estimates are based on a portion of the entire Medicare population, the estimates are subject to variability. The size of the confidence intervals depends primarily on sample size. A $90 \%$ confidence interval means that if all possible samples were surveyed under the same sample design and general conditions, the estimated percentage in each income category would lie within the confidence interval 9 out of 10 times. The number of beneficiaries is based on the corresponding percentage point estimate. The number of beneficiaries is subject to the same kind of variability as the percentage, but the $90 \%$ confidence interval is not provided because of space constraints.

* Indicates percentage of beneficiaries is statistically lower than the national rate, at the $90 \%$ confidence level.
** Indicates the percentage of beneficiaries is statistically higher than the national rate, at the $90 \%$ confidence level.

Source of Data. The state-by-state percentages presented in this report were calculated using the March supplement of the Current Population Survey (CPS). The CPS March supplement is a survey of approximately 99,000 households selected to be demographically representative of the civilian noninstitutionalized population of the United States. The analyses in this report were based on data from the CPS for 2000, 2001 and 2002. The sample sizes available for many states are small, especially when examining a subset of the sample like Medicare beneficiaries over the age of 65. Small sample sizes increase the likelihood that the characteristics of the survey participants differ from the characteristics of the population they are meant to represent, which is known as sampling error. To increase the reliability of state-level estimates, multipleyear estimates were calculated.

Income Defined. In H.R. 1 and S. 1, income is counted according to Supplemental Security Income (SSI) eligibility guidelines. As a result, appropriately estimating the number of individuals who may be eligible for assistance under these bills requires a more in-depth analysis than simply looking at individuals' or families' reported total income. The estimates of income used in this report were obtained by taking respondents' total income as reported in the CPS and subtracting from it the amounts and types of income specified according to SSI eligibility rules as follows.

Income was divided into two categories - earned income, which consists of the CPS variables for wages and self-employment earnings, and unearned income. The CPS gathers information on 17 types of unearned income. Two of these are not considered income for SSI purposes: education assistance and child support payments. ${ }^{1}$ The amount of countable unearned income was reduced by up to $\$ 240$ per year ( $\$ 20$ per month). If that maximum amount was not reached (that is, if unearned income was less than \$240 for the year), then the remainder of the $\$ 240$ disregard was subtracted from earned income. ${ }^{2}$ Countable earned income was reduced by an additional $\$ 780$ per year ( $\$ 65$ per month). Once the remaining countable earned income was calculated, if there was any left, half of it was subtracted, and the amount that remained was counted as earned income. The couple's or individual's countable earned and unearned incomes were then added together and compared to the federal poverty guidelines.

Poverty Defined. The poverty guidelines are published by the Department of Health and Human Services and are used primarily for determining eligibility for many federal programs, which is why the guidelines are used in this analysis. The poverty thresholds, although more commonly used for poverty-related analyses, are a different measure of poverty updated by the Census Bureau, used primarily for statistical purposes. The 2003 poverty guidelines for an individual living alone in the 48 contiguous states are $\$ 8,980$; for a family of two, the guideline is $\$ 12,120$. In Alaska, the guidelines are $\$ 11,210$ for an individual living alone and $\$ 15,140$ for a family of two. In Hawaii, the guidelines are $\$ 10,330$ for an individual living alone and $\$ 13,940$ for a family of two.

[^1]
[^0]:    * Indicates percentage of beneficiaries is statistically lower than the national rate, at the $90 \%$ confidence level.
    ** Indicates the percentage of beneficiaries is statistically higher than the national rate, at the $90 \%$ confidence level.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Child support payments only count as income under SSI when determining eligibility for a child for whom those payments are received.
    ${ }^{2}$ SSI, public assistance welfare, disability payments and financial assistance payments are considered unearned income when calculating total income. However, they are excluded when calculating how much of the $\$ 240$ disregard a beneficiary will receive. The CPS variable for "financial assistance payments" is assumed to be state or nonprofit assistance.

