



Working without a Net: The Health Care Safety Net Still Leaves Millions of Low-Income Workers Uninsured

Introduction

For millions of low-income Americans, the health care safety net is a myth. In 2001, Families USA reported that over 13 million low-income adults were uninsured and ineligible for public health insurance programs. Nearly three years later, the situation is no better. In fact, it has worsened in several states. In this update, analysis of Census Bureau data shows that nearly 14 million low-income adults do not qualify for public health insurance programs. Although two out of three work, they do not have health insurance through their jobs, usually because their employers do not offer coverage or because these workers cannot afford to pay the premiums. As a result, they are uninsured, gambling that they will not need health care that they cannot afford. Like a tightrope walker, they are working without a net.

Public programs like Medicaid and SCHIP (the State Children's Health Assistance Program) provide good quality, basic health insurance to millions of low-income children, seniors, people with disabilities, and to some parents. In fact, expansions of Medicaid and SCHIP for children since 1997 have been a major public policy success.

But for most low-income parents and adults without children—people with incomes below 200 percent of the federal poverty level (\$30,520 for a family of three in 2003)¹—there is little good news. In 36 states, parents with poverty level incomes (below \$15,260 for a family of three) cannot qualify for public health insurance. For adults without children, the prospects are even grimmer: In 42 states, unless they are severely disabled, they are ineligible for Medicaid regardless of their income. As a result, more than eight in 10 low-income, uninsured adults do not qualify for Medicaid or other public health coverage because their incomes are too high.

Medicaid Helps Millions, but Millions More Are Left Uncovered

Medicaid is the largest public health insurance program for low-income people in the nation. Established in 1965, Medicaid is a joint federal and state program that provides health insurance for 51 million people—more than one out of every six Americans. In reality, Medicaid actually consists of 56 programs—one for each state plus the District of Columbia and five territories. Each state operates its Medicaid program based on a set of general federal guidelines. States must pay a portion of Medicaid costs, and the federal government matches every \$1 of state expenditures with between \$1 and \$3.89 of federal funds.

The federal government has defined broad categories of people that states can cover in their Medicaid programs, but states have wide discretion in setting limits for eligibility. In general, states cover most low-income seniors and people with disabilities. Health insurance is also usually available for low-income children. However, for the parents of these children, especially those who work in low-wage jobs, health insurance coverage is often much more limited. Childless adults have the fewest options of all and are totally excluded from public health insurance coverage in most states.

Children

Medicaid's coverage of children has gradually expanded since the 1980s. In addition, SCHIP, enacted in 1997, offers federal matching funds to states that provide health insurance for low-income children with slightly higher family incomes (usually family incomes up to 200 percent of the federal poverty level). Together, Medicaid and SCHIP constitute a genuine safety net for most low-income children. Since the recession began in 2001, enrollment in these programs has increased, catching millions of children who would otherwise have become uninsured when their parents lost their jobs.²

Despite this progress, there are still 8.5 million uninsured children in America, and over half of them qualify for Medicaid and SCHIP.³ Burdensome requirements for faceto-face appointments and extensive documentation continue to create barriers to enrollment and renewal in some states. In addition, as state budgets have tightened, funding for outreach and enrollment has been greatly reduced, which has made it harder for parents to enroll their children. At least six states have taken the drastic step of freezing enrollment in their SCHIP programs, meaning that low-income children who would otherwise have qualified for health insurance are unable to obtain coverage. 4

Parents

Although federal Medicaid law permits states to cover parents, it is up to states to determine income eligibility levels for parents. Most states have set their income eligibility levels very low (see Table 3). A parent in a family of three working full time all year at minimum wage (\$5.15 an hour) would earn too much to qualify for Medicaid in half the states, even though the family's annual income would only be about \$10,700—well below the poverty level. A parent working full time and earning \$7.50 an hour would have income just above the poverty level, but she would be ineligible for Medicaid or other public health insurance in 36 states

As a result of these low eligibility levels, nearly seven out of 10 low-income, uninsured parents do not qualify for Medicaid (see Table 1).

Adults without Children

Adults without dependent children are almost completely unprotected by the safety net. Federal Medicaid only covers adults if they

are severely disabled. It is otherwise up to each state to decide whether to provide some sort of public coverage for these adults using only the state's own funds (although a few states have federal approval to use federal SCHIP funds to cover some childless adults). In 42 of 50 states, childless adults are ineligible for Medicaid and similar programs, even if they have no income at all (see Table 3). Four of these 42 states (Hawaii, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, and Washington) have closed existing programs to new enrollees. Of the remaining eight states (Arizona, Delaware, Maine, Massachusetts, Minnesota, New York, Oregon, and Vermont) plus the District of Columbia, which do provide some coverage for this population, over half limit coverage to adults with incomes at or below the federal poverty level of \$8,980 for a single person. Nationally, 9.3 million of the roughly 10 million lowincome uninsured adults without children have no access to Medicaid or Medicaid-like coverage (see Table 2).

Conclusion

Millions of low-income Americans depend on Medicaid and SCHIP for basic, good quality health insurance. For many low-income children, seniors, and people with disabilities, the programs are a safety net that ensures that they will have health insurance even if their health or income changes. But in most states, low-income adults—especially adults without children—have little or no health care security.

Methodology

Estimates in this report are based on two-year averages of data collected in the March Supplement to the Current Population Survey (CPS) for 2002 and 2003. The CPS is a monthly survey of a sample of the civilian noninstitutionalized population conducted by the Census Bureau. Questions asked about income and health insurance coverage in the March supplement reflect experience during the preceding calendar year. Thus, the estimates in this report are for calendar years 2001 and 2002. Two-year averages were used in order to improve the reliability of state-level estimates.

Income is the annual total income for CPS family units, which may be a single person living alone or two or more persons residing together and related by marriage, birth, or adoption. Some analyses reconstruct families into "insurance units," consisting of family members who could be grouped together under private insurance rules (generally, spouses and their minor children). This method has not been adopted here because the definition of families under state Medicaid "deeming" rules does not necessarily conform to that definition used by private insurers. To establish the population below 200 percent of poverty, income was compared to the federal poverty guidelines (FPGs) established by HHS for each year. These guidelines are not identical to the poverty thresholds used by the Census Bureau, and therefore the estimates here differ slightly from published CPS figures.

To determine Medicaid eligibility, state income limits were established for each year as follows:

- Income limits for 2003 for parents in three-person families and for single nonparents are derived from survey data compiled by Families USA.
- These above income limits were converted to income limits for other family sizes on the assumption that state income limits would vary by family size in proportion to the variation in 2003 FPGs by family size. Except in the states that actually use a percentage of the FPG as the income limit, it is not certain that actual state limits vary in this way; there may be states in which limits do not vary at all by family size. Still, in the absence of state-by-state data on limits by family size, this arbitrary conversion seemed preferable to the alternative of using one limit for all families. The likely result is an overstatement of the proportion of parents who are eligible for Medicaid.

The 2003 income limits were deflated for 2001 and 2002 in proportion to the difference between the FPGs for those years and those for 2003. It is not necessarily the case that state income limits have been growing at the same rate as the FPGs, and there may be states now covering classes of parents and nonparents who were not covered at all in the earlier years. However, the purpose of this analysis is to estimate the low-income population currently ineligible for Medicaid. The method adopted in effect simulates the proportion of the population who would have been ineligible in the earlier years if state policy in those years had been comparable to that policy in effect today.

Parents are defined as parents of children under age 19 who are living in the same household as the child. The CPS identifies only one parent for each child; if this parent has a spouse present, that person is also treated as a parent. Unmarried partners of identified parents are not treated as parents. Note that all parents with income below the eligibility limits are treated as eligible, even though some states continue to use categorical restrictions that may exclude some groups (such as parents in two-parent families). Moreover, families meeting income limits may not meet resource (asset) limits in states that still use them. Again, the likely result is that this analysis overstates the proportion of people eligible for Medicaid.

"Full-time" workers are full-time, full-year workers, defined by the Census Bureau as those working at least 35 hours per week for at least 50 weeks during the year. "Part-time" workers worked fewer hours, fewer weeks, or both.

¹ All calculations in this report are based on 2003 federal poverty levels. For 2004, 200 percent of the federal poverty level increased to \$31,340 for a family of three.

² Robert J. Mills and Shailesh Bhandari, *Health Insurance Coverage in the United States: 2002* (Washington: U.S. Census Bureau, September 2003)

³ Genevieve Kenney, Jennifer Haley, and Alexandra Tebay, *Children's Insurance Coverage and Service Use Improve* (Washington: Urban Institute, July 2003).

⁴ Alabama, Colorado, Florida, Maryland, Montana, and Utah have currently frozen enrollment for all or part of their SCHIP programs. Donna Cohen Ross and Laura Cox, *Out in the Cold: Enrollment Freezes in Six State Children's Health Insurance Programs Withhold Coverage from Eligible Children* (Washington: Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured, December 2003).

Table 1
Low-Income Parents Uninsured and Ineligible for Medicaid

| Chala | Uninsured Parents | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| State | Number under 200% of Poverty | Number Ineligible for Medicaid | Percent Ineligible for Medicaid | | |
| Alabama | 110,000 | 100,300 | 91.2% | | |
| Alaska ¹ | 14,500 | 9,700 | 66.9% | | |
| Arizona | 168,500 | 0 | 0.0% | | |
| Arkansas | 100,600 | 91,000 | 90.4% | | |
| California ² | 1,046,800 | 568,200 | 54.3% | | |
| Colorado | 89,900 | 79,200 | 88.1% | | |
| Connecticut | 34,400 | 18,100 | 52.5% | | |
| Delaware | 8,100 | 4,300 | 53.2% | | |
| District of Columbia | 6,100 | 0 | 0.0% | | |
| Florida | 424,400 | 335,700 | 79.1% | | |
| Georgia | 217,500 | 173,200 | 79.6% | | |
| Hawaii ³ | 12,500 | 5,800 | 46.4% | | |
| ldaho | 40,100 | 37,600 | 93.7% | | |
| Illinois ⁴ | 223,100 | 114,500 | 51.3% | | |
| ndiana | 114,000 | 106,200 | 93.2% | | |
| owa | 44,300 | 31,000 | 69.9% | | |
| Kansas | 48,900 | 44,200 | 90.5% | | |
| Kentucky | 112,100 | 79,000 | 70.5% | | |
| Louisiana | 159,100 | 137,100 | 86.2% | | |
| Maine ⁵ | 16,600 | 4,300 | 26.0% | | |
| Maryland | 51,700 | 47,400 | 91.8% | | |
| Massachusetts ⁶ | 42,500 | 23,400 | 55.0% | | |
| Michigan ⁷ | 154,300 | 127,500 | 82.6% | | |
| Minnesota 8 | 46,200 | 0 | 0.0% | | |
| Mississippi | 99,700 | 83,200 | 83.4% | | |
| Missouri ⁹ | 73,600 | 53,100 | 72.1% | | |
| Montana | 21,800 | 17,900 | 82.3% | | |
| Nebraska | 25,500 | 19,700 | 77.5% | | |
| Nevada | 57,200 | 42,500 | 74.3% | | |
| New Hampshire | 13,000 | 11,600 | 89.5% | | |
| New Jersey 10 | 141,700 | 123,700 | 87.3% | | |
| New Mexico | 76,900 | 54,600 | 71.0% | | |
| New York ¹¹ | 403,800 | 97,000 | 24.0% | | |
| North Carolina | 201,100 | 155,900 | 77.6% | | |
| North Dakota | 9,400 | 5,500 | 57.8% | | |
| Ohio 12 | 157,600 | 89,400 | 56.8% | | |
| Oklahoma | 121,900 | 95,900 | 78.7% | | |
| Oregon | 70,500 | 43,100 | 61.2% | | |
| Pennsylvania 13 | 162,700 | 125,900 | 77.4% | | |
| Rhode Island | 8,300 | 100 | 1.6% | | |
| South Carolina | 75,600 | 41,900 | 55.5% | | |
| South Dakota | 9,400 | 7,300 | 77.8% | | |
| Tennessee 14 | 102,800 | 61,600 | 59.9% | | |
| Texas 15 | 1,062,800 | 970,500 | 91.3% | | |
| Utah ¹⁶ | 54,000 | 48,100 | 89.1% | | |
| Vermont | 6,100 | 70,000 | 9.7% | | |
| Virginia | 97,800 | 70,800 | 72.4% | | |
| Washington 17 | 107,700 | 66,300 | 61.6% | | |
| West Virginia | 50,000 | 43,500 | 87.0% | | |
| Wisconsin 18 | 42,900 | 2,500 | 5.9% | | |
| Wyoming | 14,200 | 10,900 | 76.5% | | |
| JS total | 6,554,100 | 4,480,800 | 68.4% | | |

Notes: Numbers in *bold italics* have a relative standard error greater than 0.3.

Numbers smaller than 75,000 should be read with caution, as they are subject to reporting, data collection, estimation, and other errors.

Table 2 Low-Income Non-Parent Adults Uninsured and Ineligible for Medicaid

| | Uninsured Non-Parents | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| State | Number under 200% of Poverty | Number Ineligible for Medicaid | Percent Ineligible for Medicaid | | |
| Alabama | 152,600 | 152,600 | 100.0% | | |
| Alaska ¹ | 24,500 | 24,500 | 100.0% | | |
| Arizona | 203,800 | 118,600 | 58.2% | | |
| Arkansas | 102,700 | 102,700 | 100.0% | | |
| California ² | 1,505,900 | 1,505,900 | 100.0% | | |
| Colorado | 161,700 | 161,700 | 100.0% | | |
| Connecticut | 77,200 | 77,200 | 100.0% | | |
| Delaware | 15,300 | 9,400 | 61.4% | | |
| District of Columbia | 25,600 | 0 | 0.0% | | |
| Florida | 696,900 | 696,900 | 100.0% | | |
| Georgia | 274,400 | 274,400 | 100.0% | | |
| Hawaii ³ | 31,400 | 31,400 | 100.0% | | |
| daho | 52,600 | 52,600 | 100.0% | | |
| Ilinois ⁴ | 414,100 | 414,100 | 100.0% | | |
| ndiana | 159,400 | 159,400 | 100.0% | | |
| owa | 63,500 | 63,500 | 100.0% | | |
| Kansas | 77,100 | 77,100 | 100.0% | | |
| Kentucky | 113,300 | 113,300 | 100.0% | | |
| ouisiana | 227,600 | 227,600 | 100.0% | | |
| Maine ⁵ | 41,400 | 23,200 | 56.0% | | |
| Maryland | 152,200 | 152,200 | 100.0% | | |
| Massachusetts ⁶ | 138,400 | 44,900 | 32.4% | | |
| Michigan ⁷ | 282,600 | 282,600 | 100.0% | | |
| Minnesota 8 | 93,800 | 11,200 | 11.9% | | |
| Vississippi | 136,700 | 136,700 | 100.0% | | |
| Missouri ⁹ | 159,600 | 159,600 | 100.0% | | |
| Montana | 32,900 | 32,900 | 100.0% | | |
| Nebraska | 39,000 | 39,000 | 100.0% | | |
| Nevada | 72,400 | 72,400 | 100.0% | | |
| New Hampshire | 24,500 | 24,500 | 100.0% | | |
| New Jersey 10 | 248,800 | 248,800 | 100.0% | | |
| New Mexico | 91,300 | 91,300 | 100.0% | | |
| New York ¹¹ | 747,700 | 508,800 | 68.0% | | |
| North Carolina | 340,400 | 340,400 | 100.0% | | |
| North Dakota | 19,400 | 19,400 | 100.0% | | |
| Ohio 12 | 349,400 | 349,400 | 100.0% | | |
| Oklahoma | 130,700 | 130,700 | 100.0% | | |
| Oregon | 129,500 | 77,500 | 59.9% | | |
| Pennsylvania 13 | 263,400 | 263,400 | 100.0% | | |
| Rhode Island | 26,200 | 26,200 | 100.0% | | |
| South Carolina | 123,300 | 123,300 | 100.0% | | |
| South Dakota | 22,700 | 22,700 | 100.0% | | |
| Tennessee 14 | 160,000 | 160,000 | 100.0% | | |
| Texas 15 | 1,090,400 | 1,090,400 | 100.0% | | |
| Jtah ¹⁶ | 64,100 | 64,100 | 100.0% | | |
| Vermont | 14,600 | 4,100 | 28.2% | | |
| Virginia | 180,200 | 180,200 | 100.0% | | |
| Washington 17 | 185,600 | 185,600 | 100.0% | | |
| West Virginia | 68,100 | 68,100 | 100.0% | | |
| Wisconsin 18 | 123,400 | 123,400 | 100.0% | | |
| Nyoming | 17,200 | 17,200 | 100.0% | | |
| JS total | 9,949,400 | 9,337,000 | 93.8% | | |

Notes: Numbers in *bold italics* have a relative standard error greater than 0.3.

Numbers smaller than 75,000 should be read with caution, as they are subject to reporting, data collection, estimation, and other errors.

Table 3 2003 Annual Eligibility Levels for Adults: Medicaid or Medicaid-like State Programs

| State | Parents (Far | nily of Three) | Non-Par | Non-Parent Adults | |
|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------|------------|-------------------|--|
| | In Dollars | % of Poverty | In Dollars | % of Povert | |
| Alabama | \$3,048 | 20% | \$0 | 0% | |
| Alaska ¹ | \$15,504 | 81% | \$0 | 0% | |
| Arizona | \$30,528 | 200% | \$8,980 | 100% | |
| Arkansas | \$ 3,060 | 20% | \$0 | 0% | |
| California ² | \$ 16,344 | 107% | \$0 | 0% | |
| Colorado | \$ 6,132 | 40% | \$0 | 0% | |
| Connecticut | \$16,344 | 107% | \$0 | 0% | |
| Delaware | \$18,336 | 120% | \$8,980 | 100% | |
| District of Columbia | \$30,528 | 200% | \$ 17,960 | 200% | |
| Florida | \$ 9,672 | 63% | \$0 | 0% | |
| Georgia | \$ 9,034 | 59% | \$0 | 0% | |
| Hawaii ³ | \$17,556 | 100% | \$0 \$0 | 0% | |
| Idaho | \$4,884 | 32% | \$0 | 0% | |
| Illinois ⁴ | \$14,820 | 97% | \$0 \$0 | 0% | |
| Indiana | \$ 4,536 | 30% | \$0 \$0 | 0% | |
| | | | \$0 \$0 | 0% | |
| lowa | \$12,780 | 84% | | | |
| Kansas | \$ 5,916 | 39% | \$0 | 0% | |
| Kentucky | \$ 10,908 | 71% | \$0 | 0% | |
| Louisiana | \$ 3,360 | 22% | \$0 | 0% | |
| Maine ⁵ | \$ 23,976 | 157% | \$8,980 | 100% | |
| Maryland | \$ 6,288 | 41% | \$0 | 0% | |
| Massachusetts ⁶ | \$ 20,304 | 133% | \$ 11,943 | 133% | |
| Michigan ⁷ | \$ 9,285 | 61% | \$0 | 0% | |
| Minnesota ⁸ | \$ 41,976 | 275% | \$ 15,715 | 175% | |
| Mississippi | \$ 5,496 | 36% | \$0 | 0% | |
| Missouri ⁹ | \$12,840 | 84% | \$0 | 0% | |
| Montana | \$ 10,248 | 67% | \$0 | 0% | |
| Nebraska | \$ 9,165 | 60% | \$0 | 0% | |
| Nevada | \$13,440 | 88% | \$0 | 0% | |
| New Hampshire | \$ 9,375 | 61% | \$0 | 0% | |
| New Jersey ¹⁰ | \$ 6,396 | 42% | \$0 | 0% | |
| New Mexico | \$10,836 | 71% | \$0 | 0% | |
| New York ¹¹ | \$ 22,896 | 150% | \$ 8,980 | 100% | |
| North Carolina | \$ 8,988 | 59% | \$0 | 0% | |
| North Dakota | \$14,376 | 94% | \$0 | 0% | |
| Ohio ¹² | \$15,264 | 100% | \$0 | 0% | |
| Oklahoma | \$ 7,092 | 46% | \$0 | 0% | |
| Oregon | \$15,264 | 100% | \$ 8,980 | 100% | |
| Pennsylvania ¹³ | \$10,094 | 66% | \$0 | 0% | |
| Rhode Island | \$ 29,316 | 192% | \$0 | 0% | |
| South Carolina | \$15,000 | 98% | \$0 | 0% | |
| South Dakota | \$ 9,552 | 63% | \$0 | 0% | |
| Tennessee ¹⁴ | \$13,164 | 86% | \$0 | 0% | |
| Texas ¹⁵ | \$ 4,740 | 31% | \$0 | 0% | |
| Utah ¹⁶ | \$ 8,076 | 53% | \$0 | 0% | |
| Vermont | \$ 28,236 | 185% | \$ 13,470 | 150% | |
| Virginia | \$ 5,592 | 37% | \$ 13,470 | 0% | |
| Washington ¹⁷ | \$ 5,592 \$13,104 | 86% | \$0 \$0 | 0% | |
| | | | | | |
| West Virginia | \$ 5,971 | 39% | \$0 \$0 | 0% | |
| Wisconsin ¹⁸ | \$ 28,236 | 185% | \$0 | 0% | |
| Wyoming | \$ 9,480 | 62% | \$0 | 0% | |

Source: Families USA survey. Eligibility levels current as of June 2003, unless otherwise noted.

Notes for Tables

Income eligibility levels for Medicaid or Medicaid-like programs were derived from survey data compiled by Families USA and were current as of June 2003, unless otherwise noted. The eligibility levels for "parents" are for a family of three with one working parent applying for the state's Medicaid program. The eligibility levels for "parents" assume that all income is from work and include the standard earned income disregards. The eligibility levels for "non-parents" are for an individual living alone applying for the state's Medicaid program (except in Minnesota and the District of Columbia, where the eligibility is for a state-wide program covering non-disabled adults that enables them to see a range of providers and obtain benefits that are the same as, or similar to, those available in the Medicaid program). The eligibility levels for "non-parents" do not include any earned income disregards.

- ¹ In Alaska, the federal poverty level is higher than the federal poverty level for the 48 contiguous states and the District of Columbia. The federal poverty level for a family of three in Alaska was \$19,070 in 2003, compared to \$15,260 for a family of three in the 48 contiguous states.
- ² California has received a SCHIP Section 1115 waiver to expand coverage for parents up to 200 percent of the federal poverty level. Although the waiver was approved in 2002, it has not been implemented.
- ³ Hawaii has a Section 1115 waiver to cover childless adults up to 100 percent of the federal poverty level. However, enrollment in this program is capped, and no applications are currently being accepted. In Hawaii, the federal poverty level is higher than the federal poverty level for the 48 contiguous states and the District of Columbia. The federal poverty level for a family of three in Hawaii was \$17,550 in 2003, compared to \$15,260 for a family of three in the 48 contiguous states.
- ⁴ Illinois has a Section 1115 waiver to expand coverage for parents up to 185 percent of the federal poverty level. The waiver has been partially implemented. Illinois's parental eligibility levels listed here reflect eligibility effective as of July 1, 2003.
- ⁵ Maine has enacted legislation to increase eligibility for parents to 200 percent of the federal poverty level, and it has received a Section 1115 waiver to expand coverage for childless adults to 125 percent of the federal poverty level. The state expects to implement these provisions in July 2004 as part of its Dirigo Health initiative.

- ⁶ Massachusetts has a Section 1115 Medicaid waiver program (MassHealth) that provides coverage for adults with incomes up to 133 percent of poverty. Adults without dependent children can only enroll in MassHealth if they are long-term unemployed and have incomes below 133 percent of poverty; therefore, this report over-estimates the number of uninsured non-parent adults who are eligible for MassHealth.
- ⁷ Michigan received a Section 1115 wavier in January 2004 to cover childless adults up to 35 percent of the federal poverty level using unspent SCHIP funds.
- ⁸ Minnesota operates a health coverage program called MinnesotaCare that provides coverage for parents with incomes up to 275 percent of poverty and a separate program that covers non-parent adults up to 175 percent of poverty. The state has a Section 1115 waiver to use matching federal SCHIP funds to cover parents between 100 and 200 percent of poverty. Coverage for non-parents is state funded, and the benefit package is more limited than Medicaid.
- ⁹ Missouri allows one year of additional coverage for custodial parents with incomes below 100 percent of the federal poverty level who lose eligibility for Transitional Medical Assistance.
- ¹⁰ New Jersey's FamilyCare program, which covers parents up to 200 percent of the federal poverty level under SCHIP, has been closed to new enrollees since June 2002. FamilyCare has also covered childless adults with state-only funds, but enrollment has been closed since September 2001.
- ¹¹ New York has a Section 1115 waiver to cover non-parent adults up to 100 percent of the federal poverty level under the state's Family Care Plus program. The number and percent of uninsured non-parent adults in New York ineligible for Medicaid listed in Table 2 reflects eligibility levels in effect prior to implementation of the waiver. The actual numbers are lower than those listed in the table.
- ¹² Ohio's parent Medicaid expansion to 100 percent of the federal poverty level is limited to 24 months in any 30-month period.
- ¹³ Pennsylvania has a state-funded health insurance program called adultBasic that covers adults up to 200 percent of the federal poverty level. Enrollment in this program is capped, and new applicants are placed on a waiting list.
- ¹⁴ Tennessee has a Section 1115 waiver expansion to provide subsidized Medicaid coverage to all adults with incomes below 400 percent of the federal poverty level, but due to a cap on the number of people who can participate in the program, enrollment in the expansion has only been open to uninsurable adults and dislocated workers since 1995.

- ¹⁵ Texas reduced annual financial eligibility for parents to \$3,696 effective November 1, 2003.
- ¹⁶ Utah has a Section 1115 waiver to operate a primary care network for 25,000 adults with incomes below 150 percent of the federal poverty level. The program has a limited benefit package and does not include specialty care or hospitalization. The state is not currently accepting new applications.
- ¹⁷ Washington has a state-funded health insurance program called the Basic Health Plan that covers parents and non-parent adults up to 200 percent of the federal poverty level. Enrollment in the program is currently capped, and new applicants are placed on a waiting list.
- ¹⁸ Wisconsin covers children and parents in BadgerCare until their family incomes reach 200 percent of the federal poverty level.



Acknowledgments

This report was written by:

Marc Steinberg,

Health Policy Analyst, Families USA

Data analysis by:

Mark Merlis, Consultant

The following Families USA staff provided assistance:

Kathleen Stoll, Director of Health Policy Analysis

Rachel Klein, Deputy Director of Health Policy Analysis

Peggy Denker, Director of Publications

Ingrid VanTuinen, Writer/Editor

Chris Fellabaum, Research Assistant

Nancy Magill, Design/Production Coordinator

Support for this report was generously provided by:

The W. K. Kellogg Foundation

Families USA Publication No. 04-101 © 2004 Families USA Foundation

Families USA

1334 G Street, NW • Washington, DC 20005 202-628-3030 • Fax: 202-347-2417 E-mail: info@familiesusa.org

This publication is available online at www.familiesusa.org