### HOW WASHINGTON WASTED YOUR MONEY IN THE 1995 APPROPRIATIONS BILLS

#### INTRODUCTION

President Bill Clinton and many Members of Congress are trying to convince skeptical voters that last year's massive tax bill, the largest tax increase in U.S. history, finally put Washington's fiscal house in order. They cite as evidence the fact that the budget deficit for fiscal 1994, which ended September 30, dipped to \$203 billion, the lowest level since Ronald Reagan left office. While news of a temporary decline in the deficit may cheer many taxpayers, however, the Congressional Budget Office's projections indicate that the deficit will rise rapidly in the future, nearly doubling within ten years. The fiscal 1995 appropriations bills, recently passed by Congress and signed into law by the President, are a clear sign that Washington is still addicted to profligate pork barrel spending.

These 13 bills were packed with the kinds of projects and spending items that incumbents believe will win them reelection. Some of the more egregious spending items hidden in this year's appropriations bills include:

- **✗** \$1.5 million for the 21st Street Corridor Project in Wichita, Kansas.
- **✗** \$2 million for the Farmer's Market in Toledo, Ohio.
- **✗** \$750,000 for the SciTrek Science Museum in Downtown Atlanta.
- **X** \$462,000 for the Education Licenser Commission in Washington, D.C.
- **\$2.8** million for a Army Corps of Engineers Building in Walla Walla, Washington.
- **\*** \$2.6 million for a Navy Child Development Center on Parris Island, South Carolina.
- **★** \$4.75 million for the Rice Germplasm Center in Stuttgart, Arkansas.

- **★** \$1.1 million in the Agriculture appropriations bill for a Children's Hospital in Arkansas.
- **X** \$600,000 for the Fish Farming Experimental Laboratory in Stuttgart, Arkansas.
- **X** \$42 million for "Official Mail" for Members of Congress and another \$464,000 for election year moving costs.
- **★** \$200,000 for an Army Corps of Engineers "investigation" of the Malibu Coastal Area in California and \$13 million for construction at the Robert C. Byrd Locks and Dam in West Virginia.
- **X** \$40 million for the Pennsylvania Station Redevelopment Project in New York City.
- ★ \$1.5 million for a survey of Boston-to-New York Amtrak riders to "determine modal preferences."
- **\*** \$30 million to continue the Interstate Commerce Commission (ICC), which the House earlier this year had voted to abolish.
- **X** \$150 million in breast cancer research funding in the Department of Defense appropriations bill.

These and hundreds of similar spending items indicate that last year's budget deal, which purportedly contained \$250 billion in spending cuts, did not cut federal spending to the bone as some supporters have claimed. Yet many in Washington insist that the only way to achieve further deficit reduction is to enact draconian cuts in large entitlement programs such as Medicare and Medicaid. While it is true that such things as the rising cost of many federal health care programs will help the deficit balloon to an estimated \$397 billion by fiscal 2004, it is not true to say that nothing can be done to lower the deficit until major entitlement reform is achieved.

The fiscal 1995 appropriations bills are littered with hundreds of programs which, if eliminated or cut, would save taxpayers tens of billions of dollars. If Members of Congress were serious about cutting the deficit, they would begin by systematically "reinventing" the spending budget through a number of techniques. Among them:


- Cutting outmoded programs and programs with a long history of failure;
- Cutting programs benefiting purely local or commercial interests; and
- Meginning to use innovative techniques such as privatization.

These approaches have been successfully employed by governments throughout the world and by state and local governments in this country. They enable governments to achieve major reductions in spending while maintaining the services that voters currently demand of government. To rely solely on health care or other politically difficult entitlement reforms to cut the deficit will simply protect the kinds of spending that taxpayers most associate with irresponsible government.

#### SEVEN FISCALLY RESPONSIBLE BUDGET RULES

Contrary to the impression conveyed by the White House, the \$203 billion budget deficit recorded for the last fiscal year and the projected \$162 billion deficit projected for the current fiscal year are not permanent trends. In the years following this temporary decline, the government's own figures indicate that the deficit begins to soar—reaching \$257 billion by fiscal 2000 and \$397 billion by fiscal 2004. Major entitlement reform would improve

this gloomy picture significantly, but lawmakers flinch from addressing entitlements because the political obstacles are so daunting. Yet, despite the conventional wisdom that only major entitlement reform can make any difference in the deficit, Congress does not have to wait for



sweeping entitlement reform to make a permanent and lasting impact on long-term deficit reduction. What Members of Congress will have to do is stop budgeting as usual and take a critical look at every spending item in the federal budget.

Before Congress embarks on such a comprehensive review of the federal budget, law-makers should establish a set of rules or guidelines. These guidelines will enable Members of Congress to take a hard look not only at their own spending behavior, but also at programs and spending items they often take for granted. The following seven rules should be included in any set of guidelines:

# 1) Question the need for any program that is more than 50 years old and eliminate any which is outmoded or obsolete.

Most taxpayers have heard the old adage that "the closest thing to immortality is a federal program." But most would be stunned to learn how old many federal programs and agencies really are. Large segments of the federal bureaucracy were created decades ago for purposes that have long since been forgotten. But bureaucrats and agency supporters are remarkably adept at "reinventing" these programs to address whatever perceived problem is fashionable at the time.

**Example:** The National Fertilizer Development Center in Muscle Shoals, Alabama, was built as a munitions plant in 1919 to serve the military's needs during World War I. Years later it was converted into a fertilizer plant and given to the Tennessee

Valley Authority. This federal fertilizer research project became obsolete long ago with the growth of the private fertilizer industry, an industry which generates some \$9 billion in sales annually. To keep up with the times, and the flow of federal dollars, this program has changed its name to the TVA Environmental Research Center. Despite a new name fit for the 1990s, however, it should be closed, saving taxpayers \$185 million over five years.

**Example:** Started in 1935 to encourage private utilities to provide electricity, and later telephone service, the Rural Electrification Administration long ago completed its mission. Although nearly 100 percent of rural America has electric service and nearly 98 percent has telephone service, the REA was approved for over \$1 billion in taxpayer subsidies this year. Recent reports indicate that its management and supporters have found a new way to try to justify REA's existence: by offering loans to provide satellite television services. The REA should be abolished, saving taxpayers more than \$260 million over five years.

There are dozens of such dinosaurs in the federal budget that Congress should seriously consider either abolishing or reforming. Examples of such programs and agencies and the years they were created include:

- **№** The Army Corps of Engineers, 1824.
- **™** The U.S. Department of the Interior, 1849.
- **U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1862.**
- **™** The Mining Act of 1872.
- **№** The Cooperative State Research Service, 1888.
- **№** The Bureau of Reclamation, 1902.
- **№** The U.S. Forest Service, 1905.
- **™** The Bureau of Mines, 1910.
- **№** The Naval Petroleum Reserves, 1912.
- **™** The Agriculture Extension Service, 1914.
- **№** The National Park Service, 1916.
- **№** The National Helium Reserves, 1925.
- **№** The Davis-Bacon Act, 1931.
- **№** The Tennessee Valley Authority, 1933.
- **№** The Export-Import Bank, 1934.
- **™** The Soil Conservation Service, 1935.
- **™** The Power Marketing Administrations, 1937.

#### 2) Eliminate programs with a long history of failure.

The culture of government assumes that the shortcomings of agencies can always be solved with more money. So rather than scrutinize a failed program more closely or simply close it down, Congress will pour millions more taxpayer dollars into it. Congress must learn to cut taxpayers' losses before they get out of control.

**Example:** According to the General Accounting Office, there is little or no evidence to show that the Small Business Administration has had any impact on expanding small business development since it was formed in 1954. Rather than eliminate this program with such a failed history, Congress and the White House approved \$258 million in new spending for fiscal 1995.

**Example:** Since 1935, the Farmers Home Administration (FmHA) has loaned over \$172 billion to farmers and rural communities. But according to the House Appropriations Committee report accompanying the fiscal 1994 Department of Agriculture appropriations bill, "the unpaid principal on all FmHA loans as of September 30, 1992, totals \$56 billion." Based upon FmHA's past performance, it is likely that a sizable portion of this total, and the interest due on it, will never be repaid. Furthermore, the GAO reports that FmHA is making new loans to individuals just so they may repay old loans. This \$2.6 billion-per-year program is beyond reform and should be closed down.

#### 3) Eliminate or consolidate duplicate programs.

While few private companies would allow even two departments to perform identical functions, the federal government routinely permits dozens of departments to carry out the same activities. Duplication within the government is not just tolerated by Congress; it is exacerbated by Congress. Two congressional traits give rise to this wasteful duplication of effort. First, Congress hates to eliminate any program it has created, regardless of how inefficient or ineffective it may be. Second, Members of Congress like to take credit for creating new programs to "solve" whatever problem may be in fashion, even if there already are programs in place to address the issue.

The recently passed "crime bill" is a perfect example. The crime bill created at least 15 new social programs at a cost of some \$7 billion over the next six years, supposedly to address the "root causes of crime." Yet, over the past 25 years, the government has spent lavishly on programs virtually identical to the ones created in the new crime bill. Since 1965, government has spent, after adjusting for inflation, \$161 billion on community and economic aid programs, \$202 billion on social service programs, \$204 billion on job training programs, and \$292 billion on targeted education programs. There is ample evidence to suggest that this spending has failed to solve the root causes of crime, and some programs may even have exacerbated social ills linked to crime.

<sup>1</sup> U.S. General Accounting Office, Transition Series, Housing and Community Development Issues (GAO/OCG-93-22TR), December 1992, p. 20.

<sup>2</sup> U.S. General Accounting Office, "The Farmers Home Administration: Billions of Dollars in Farm Loans Are At Risk," (GAO/RCED-92-86), April 1992.

Last year, Vice President Al Gore's National Performance Review identified vast areas of duplication in social spending. For example:

- Some 14 separate government departments and agencies spend \$24 billion a year on 150 employment and training programs.
- Washington spends "about \$60 billion a year on the well-being of children. But we have created at least 340 separate programs for families and children, administered by 11 different federal agencies and departments."

Duplication exists throughout other areas of the government as well. In some cases, entire Cabinet agencies duplicate the efforts of other programs and departments. For example, the GAO reports that "the Department of Commerce shares its mission with at least 71 federal departments, agencies, and offices."

Further, says the GAO, "Export promotion programs are distributed among 10 agencies. The U.S. Department of Agriculture, not Commerce, receives about 74 percent of total funding for these programs, although it accounts for only about 10 percent of U.S. exports." Congress has ignored the GAO's finding and continues to spend billions of dollars on these duplicative functions.

# 4) Eliminate purely local activities now funded or managed by the federal government.

Congress has never been content to limit itself to matters of national or federal interest. Because Members like to take credit for "solving" problems, the federal government is integrally involved in many activities that were once the sole responsibility of state or local governments. According to Gore's National Performance Review, "much of Washington's domestic agenda, \$226 billion, to be precise," is allocated to state and local governments "through an array of more than 600 different grant programs." Moreover, Members of Congress also use the appropriations process to earmark federal funds for specific programs that have nothing to do with national interests and often tie the budget hands of state and local officials.

There are hundreds of these earmarked projects in the federal budget. All are local in nature; none should be the responsibility of a national or federal body such as Congress. Some examples of those found in the appendix to this study include:

- \$\$ \$1 million for an airport access road in Jacksonville, Florida.
- \$1 million to upgrade 96th Street in Indianapolis, Indiana.
- **№** \$14 million for an airport access road in Detroit, Michigan.
- \$\infty\$ \$1.2 million to improve Peace and Unity Streets in Thomasville, North Carolina.

<sup>3</sup> The National Performance Review: Creating a Government that Works Better and Costs Less (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, September 7, 1993), p. 49.

<sup>4</sup> Ibid., p. 51.

<sup>5</sup> U.S. General Accounting Office, Transition Series, Commerce Issues (GAO/OCG-93-12TR), December 1992, p. 9.

- \$\$ \$1.5 million for renovation of the central terminal in Buffalo, New York.
- \$\$ \$100,000 to Easton, Pennsylvania, for downtown revitalization activities.
- \$1 million to Brownsville, Texas, for infrastructure improvements in the port of Brownsville.
- \$2 million to Springfield, Massachusetts, for infrastructure and capital improvements connected to the Tapley Street operations center.
- \$2 million for planning and design of urban revitalization activities in Portland, Oregon.
- \$\$ \$2.5 million to Kansas City, Missouri, for the restoration of Union Station.

#### 5) Fund no project or research that benefits private industry directly.

The federal government spends billions of dollars each year funding research and business development activities that should be the responsibility of the industries which benefit directly from the research. These projects amount to a direct taxpayer subsidy of the research and development projects of private firms. Eliminating taxpayer-funded research would force these companies to undertake their own research and development and to consider more carefully the value of competing research projects.

Among the essentially commercial research projects Congress should eliminate:

- \$600,000 for the Fish Farming Experimental Laboratory in Stuttgart, Arkansas. This project benefits the \$200 million-per-year catfish industry.
- \$\infty\$ \$107,000 for Kenaf research. For many years, Congress has funded research on turning this woody plant into paper products on the grounds that it has great commercial application. If so, private industry should fund the research.
- \$1 million for a one-time grant to the State of Alaska for the protection and restoration of salmon habitat in the Kenai River watershed area. According to the conference report accompanying the appropriations bill for the Department of Commerce, the Kenai River and its tributaries support sport and commercial fisheries valued at \$100 million annually. With so much at stake, local industry or the State of Alaska should fund such a project, not taxpayers nationwide.
- \$16.4 million for the U.S. Travel and Tourism Administration. The private travel industry spends billions each year promoting tourism in the U.S. There is no need for taxpayer financing of such activities.
- The \$90 million Market Promotion Program subsidizes foreign advertising costs for large U.S. business, such as McDonald's Corporation, the Pillsbury Company, and Ernest and Julio Gallo Winery, Inc. Taxpayers should not be contributing to the cost of routine profit-generating activities of private industry.

# 6) Use privatization techniques to improve services, reduce costs, and remove government from commercial activities.

Privatization is now universally recognized as an effective way to deliver high-quality public services at the lowest possible cost. Governments from Moscow in Russia to Jersey City, New Jersey, are using a variety of privatization techniques such as asset sales, contracting out, and voucher schemes to lower their budget deficits and improve public services. Only in Washington are these sound fiscal measures dismissed as gimmicks or quick fixes. Congress has forbidden many agencies from even studying the prospects for privatizing agency functions.

The federal government simply cannot afford to continue many of the activities it has funded for decades and continues to fund in this year's appropriations bills. Privatization is the only hope of survival for many inefficient and financially faltering programs, because they are starved of capital due to federal budget constraints and lack the private market disciplines needed to force managers to use capital efficiently. Consider the problems in three programs documented by the GAO.

- Many of the 337 dams built by the Bureau of Reclamation are over a half-century old and in desperate need of repair.
- The Forest Service now needs \$644 million to pay for the maintenance and reconstruction of trails and recreation sites.
- Within a few years, many of the Army Corps of Engineers' aging, \$125 billion inventory of water resources projects will have reached the end of their design lives.

Privatization is probably the only remaining way to raise the capital necessary for these programs. But Congress will have to change its arcane attitude toward the use of private commercial capital and management techniques. If the political culture does change in Washington, there are numerous privatization opportunities available. Among them:

- Sell the government's \$200 billion direct loan portfolio to the secondary loan market
- Sell the Power Marketing Administrations through a public stock offering.
- Sell public lands or give them back to the states.
- Sell Amtrak through a public stock offering.
- Privatize the Postal Service through an employee stock option plan.

<sup>6</sup> U.S. General Accounting Office, Transition Series, Natural Resources Issues (GAO/OCG-93-17TR), December 1992, pp. 8-9.

#### 7) Stop forcing the bureaucracy to waste money.

No owner of a private firm would make rules that force company managers to waste money. But Congress routinely enacts rules and mandates that force agency managers to waste billions of taxpayers' dollars.

**Example:** The U.S. Park Service is prohibited from covering its costs by raising the entrance fees it charges to visitors. Because of this rule, the Park Service now charges tourists a fraction of the real costs associated with admitting each visitor. The Service spends \$1 billion per year on the operations of the National Park system but receives less than \$30 million back through fees.

**Example:** The Davis-Bacon Act of 1931 increases the costs of government construction contracts by over \$1 billion annually. It does so by forcing contractors to pay union scale wages on all federally funded construction contracts, even though less expensive labor often is available. This legislation originally was enacted to keep black workers off federal construction sites. That is precisely what it has done during the last 60 years. A similar law, the Service Contract Act, serves the same function for federally funded service contracts. The extra costs imposed by these laws: \$2 billion per year.

Sometimes even well-meaning acts of Congress can cost the bureaucracy in both money and staff time lost from an agency's mission. For example, the National Performance Review reports that in 1993, Congress requested 5,348 reports from federal agencies. These reports cost millions of dollars to produce, but only a handful of congressional staffers probably ever bother to read them.

#### CONCLUSION

The temporary dip in the federal budget deficit should not lull taxpayers into believing politicians' claims that Washington's fiscal house is in order. Nothing could be further from the truth. The appropriations bills recently passed by Congress and signed by Clinton for the 1995 fiscal year contain as much or more pork and wasteful spending as in previous years.

Congress seems to have learned nothing from years of running large budget deficits: It continues to pour money into outmoded programs created 50 to 100 years ago and programs with a long history of failure; it continues to spend federal tax dollars on purely local projects or programs benefiting specific commercial industries; and it continues to force agencies to waste taxpayers' money. Hardworking American families can no longer afford budgeting as usual.

Scott A. Hodge Grover M. Hermann Fellow in Federal Budgetary Affairs John Barry Research Assistant

### **APPENDIX**

## Appropriations for the Legislative Branch

Total Recommended in the Bill	\$2.4 Billion
Senate	\$437.6 Million
Expense Allowances for Leadership	\$86,000
Official Mail	\$11 Million
Salaries, Officers and Employees	\$71.3 Million
Office of the Sergeant at Arms and Doorkeeper	\$32.7 Million
Office of the Chaplain	\$192,000
Republican Conference Committee	
Democratic Conference Committee	\$996,000
Stationery for the Leadership	\$13,000
House of Representatives	\$735.5 Million
Leadership Offices	
Members' Clerk Hire (staff)	
Allowances and Expenses	
Official Expenses of Members	
Supplies, materials, administrative costs	\$6.1 Million
Office Equipment Services	
Expenses for Telecommunications	\$10.9 Million
Furniture and Furnishings	\$2 Million
House Automobiles	\$103,000
Office mail	\$31 Million
Salaries, Officers and Employees	\$56.3 Million
Office of the Sergeant at Arms	\$1.5 Million
Office of the Doorkeeper	\$11.5 Million
Office of the Chaplain	\$124,000
House Democratic Steering Committee and Caucus	\$1.5 Million
House Republican Conference	\$1.5 Million
Architect of the Capitol	\$111.3 Million
Modernization of 7 Escalators in House Office Buildings	\$580,000
Modernization of Elevators in the Longworth Building	\$700,000
Addition of 6 Elevators in the Longworth Building	
Modifications to the Post Office facility	\$62,000
Election Year Moving Costs	\$464,000
Congressional Printing and Binding	
Capitol Guide Service	\$1.6 Million

### Appropriations for Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies

Total Recommended in the Bill	\$68.0 Billion
Department of Agriculture	
Alternative Agricultural Research and Commercialization	\$6.5 Million
Agricultural Research Service	
Ethanol Pilot Plant (IL)	
Appalachian Fruit Research Laboratory (WV)	
Arkansas Children's Hospital	
Bee Research	
Composting Research (OH)	
Fish Farming Experimental Laboratory, Stuttgart (AR)	
Kenaf Research (MS)	
Sugarcane Research (HI)	•
Sweet Potato Whitefly Research (AZ)	
Wild Rice Research	
Buildings and Facilities	•
Rice Germplasm Center in Stuttgart (AR)	
Cold Water Aquaculture Center (WV)	\$1.9 Million
Swine Research Facility (IA)	
Special Research Grants	
Alternative Marine and Fresh Water Species (MS)	
Aquaculture (CT, IL, LA, MS)	-
Broom Snakeweed (NM)	
Center for Innovative Food Technology (OH)	•
Competitiveness of Agricultural Products (WA)	
Dairy and Meat Goat Research (TX)	
Developing Peas and Lentils for Residue (WA)	
Floriculture (HI)	•
Generic Commodity Promotion Research (NY)	•
Jointed Goatgrass (WA)	•
Lowbush Blueberry Research (ME)	
Molluscan Shellfish (OR)	
Regionalized Implications of Farm Programs (MO, TX)	
Small Fruit Research (OR, WA, ID)	
Swine Research (MN)	
Urban Pests (GA)	•
Waste Utilization	
Wood Utilization Research (OR, MS, NC, MN)	•
Wool Research (TX, MT, WY)	
Federal Administration	-
Agriculture Development in the American Pacific	
Alternative Fuels Characterization Lab	
American Indian Initiative of the Arid Lands Development Fund	
Herd Management in Tennessee	
<del></del>	

National Potato Trade and Tariff Association	\$93,000
Shrimp Aquaculture (AZ, HI, MS, MA, SC)	
Buildings and Facilities	
Poultry Science Facility, Auburn University (AL)	\$522,000
Agriculture Building (AR)	\$2.3 Million
Alternative Pest Control Buildings (AR, CA)	
Center for Plant Biodiversity (MO)	
New York Botanical Garden (NY)	\$3.8 Million
Center for Food Marketing (PA)	\$2.4 Million
Extension Service	\$438.7 Million
Pest Management	\$10.9 Million
Cranberry Development in Maine	\$50,000
Agricultural Plastics in Vermont	
Rural Health and Safety Education	
Income Enhancement Demonstration Project	\$250,000
Enhanced Range Improvement	
Wood Biomass as an Alternative Farm Product	\$200,000
National Agricultural Library	
Center for Agricultural Law Research in Fayetteville, Arkansas	\$462,000
Other Agencies and Programs	
Other Agencies and Programs Agriculture Marketing Service	\$56 6 Million
Agriculture Marketing Service	
Agriculture Marketing Service	\$288 Million
Agriculture Marketing Service Federal Crop Insurance Corporation Conservation Reserve Program	\$288 Million \$1.7 Billion
Agriculture Marketing Service Federal Crop Insurance Corporation Conservation Reserve Program Soil Conservation Service	\$288 Million \$1.7 Billion \$556 Million
Agriculture Marketing Service Federal Crop Insurance Corporation Conservation Reserve Program Soil Conservation Service Promote Pastureland Management and Rotational Grazing	\$288 Million \$1.7 Billion \$556 Million \$250,000
Agriculture Marketing Service Federal Crop Insurance Corporation Conservation Reserve Program Soil Conservation Service Promote Pastureland Management and Rotational Grazing Hungry Canyon Erosion Control Project (IA)	\$288 Million \$1.7 Billion \$556 Million \$250,000 \$400,000
Agriculture Marketing Service Federal Crop Insurance Corporation. Conservation Reserve Program Soil Conservation Service Promote Pastureland Management and Rotational Grazing Hungry Canyon Erosion Control Project (IA) Work on the Skaneateles and Owasco (NY)	\$288 Million \$1.7 Billion \$556 Million \$250,000 \$400,000 \$250,000
Agriculture Marketing Service Federal Crop Insurance Corporation Conservation Reserve Program Soil Conservation Service Promote Pastureland Management and Rotational Grazing Hungry Canyon Erosion Control Project (IA) Work on the Skaneateles and Owasco (NY) Salt Cedar Management Project (NM)	\$288 Million \$1.7 Billion \$556 Million \$250,000 \$250,000 \$250,000
Agriculture Marketing Service Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.  Conservation Reserve Program  Soil Conservation Service  Promote Pastureland Management and Rotational Grazing  Hungry Canyon Erosion Control Project (IA)  Work on the Skaneateles and Owasco (NY)  Salt Cedar Management Project (NM)  Gillespie Lake Expansion Project (IL)	\$288 Million \$1.7 Billion \$556 Million \$250,000 \$250,000 \$250,000
Agriculture Marketing Service Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.  Conservation Reserve Program Soil Conservation Service Promote Pastureland Management and Rotational Grazing Hungry Canyon Erosion Control Project (IA) Work on the Skaneateles and Owasco (NY) Salt Cedar Management Project (NM) Gillespie Lake Expansion Project (IL) Rural Development Programs	\$288 Million \$1.7 Billion \$556 Million \$250,000 \$400,000 \$250,000 \$200,000 \$150,000
Agriculture Marketing Service Federal Crop Insurance Corporation. Conservation Reserve Program Soil Conservation Service Promote Pastureland Management and Rotational Grazing Hungry Canyon Erosion Control Project (IA) Work on the Skaneateles and Owasco (NY) Salt Cedar Management Project (NM) Gillespie Lake Expansion Project (IL) Rural Development Programs Rural Electrification and Telephone Loan Authorizations.	\$288 Million \$1.7 Billion \$556 Million \$250,000 \$400,000 \$250,000 \$250,000 \$150,000
Agriculture Marketing Service Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.  Conservation Reserve Program Soil Conservation Service Promote Pastureland Management and Rotational Grazing Hungry Canyon Erosion Control Project (IA) Work on the Skaneateles and Owasco (NY) Salt Cedar Management Project (NM) Gillespie Lake Expansion Project (IL) Rural Development Programs Rural Electrification and Telephone Loan Authorizations Outreach for Socially Disadvantaged Farmers	\$288 Million \$1.7 Billion \$556 Million \$250,000 \$400,000 \$250,000 \$200,000 \$150,000 \$1.4 Billion \$4 Million
Agriculture Marketing Service Federal Crop Insurance Corporation. Conservation Reserve Program Soil Conservation Service Promote Pastureland Management and Rotational Grazing Hungry Canyon Erosion Control Project (IA) Work on the Skaneateles and Owasco (NY) Salt Cedar Management Project (NM) Gillespie Lake Expansion Project (IL) Rural Development Programs Rural Electrification and Telephone Loan Authorizations. Outreach for Socially Disadvantaged Farmers Rural Water and Waste Disposal Grants	\$288 Million \$1.7 Billion \$556 Million \$250,000 \$400,000 \$250,000 \$200,000 \$150,000 \$1.4 Billion \$4 Million \$500 Million
Agriculture Marketing Service Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.  Conservation Reserve Program Soil Conservation Service Promote Pastureland Management and Rotational Grazing Hungry Canyon Erosion Control Project (IA) Work on the Skaneateles and Owasco (NY) Salt Cedar Management Project (NM) Gillespie Lake Expansion Project (IL) Rural Development Programs Rural Electrification and Telephone Loan Authorizations Outreach for Socially Disadvantaged Farmers	\$288 Million \$1.7 Billion \$556 Million \$250,000 \$400,000 \$250,000 \$250,000 \$150,000 \$1.4 Billion \$4 Million \$500 Million \$1.8 Billion
Agriculture Marketing Service Federal Crop Insurance Corporation. Conservation Reserve Program Soil Conservation Service Promote Pastureland Management and Rotational Grazing Hungry Canyon Erosion Control Project (IA) Work on the Skaneateles and Owasco (NY) Salt Cedar Management Project (NM) Gillespie Lake Expansion Project (IL) Rural Development Programs Rural Electrification and Telephone Loan Authorizations. Outreach for Socially Disadvantaged Farmers Rural Water and Waste Disposal Grants Foreign Assistance and Related Programs	\$288 Million \$1.7 Billion \$556 Million \$250,000 \$400,000 \$250,000 \$250,000 \$150,000 \$1.4 Billion \$4 Million \$500 Million \$1.8 Billion \$397.8 Million

### Appropriations for the Department of Deiense

Total Recommended in the Bill	\$243.6 Billion
Memorial Day and July 4 Celebrations	\$950,000
Wild Horses Roundup at White Sands	\$1.5 Million
Summer Olympics	\$14.4 Million
Special Olympics	
Wastewater Treatment in Hawaii	\$9.5 Million
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army	\$5.5 Billion
AIDS Research	
Environmental Compliance Research	\$49.9 Million
Environmental Quality Technology	
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy	
Investigation of the Feasibility of Retrofitting Naval Helicopters	
with Crash Worthy Seats	\$2.7 Million
Environmental Quality and Logistics Advanced Technology	\$23 Million
Environmental Protection Research	
Industrial Preparedness	
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force	
National Airspace System	
Spacetrack	
Environmental Compliance	\$42.9 Million
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide	
University Research Initiatives	
Strategic Environmental Research Program	
Electric Vehicles	
NATO Research and Development	
Medical Research	
Army:	45 05. 1 11 mon
Breast Cancer Research	\$150 Million
Prostate Cancer	
Ovarian Cancer	
Osteoporosis	
Lyme Disease Research	
AIDS Research	
Navy:  Breast Cancer Center	\$5 Million
Prostate Cancer	
Conversion and Reinvestment Initiatives, Defense-Wide	\$3.3 Billion
Troops to Teachers	monne c.c
Troops to Teachers	nomina 212
Troops to Cops  National Guard Youth Opportunity Pilot Program Transfer	
National Guard Youth Opportunity Filot Flogram Translet	
Other Conversion Inititatives	
American Legion/International Labor Union Veteran's Training	
Southeast Regional College Network Florida	попппл се

San Gabriel Valley Community Development Corporation San Diego State University Conversion Center	
Appropriations for the District of	Columbia
Total Recommended in the Bill	\$712.0 Million
Advisory Neighborhood Commissions	\$1.2 Million
Statehood Commission	\$150,000
Office of Tourism and Promotion	\$463,000
Education Licenser Commission	\$462,000
Taxicab Commission	
Commission on the Arts and Humanities	\$3.3 Million
Commission for Women	\$262,000
Office on Latino Affairs	\$1.1 Million
Washington D.C. Convention Center	\$12.9 Million
University of the District of Columbia	\$60.3 Million
District of Columbia Law School	\$5.6 Million
Appropriations for Energy and Water	Development
Army Corps of Engineers	¢101 2 M:11:
General Investigations and Planning	
Muscle Shoals, AL	-
Hot Springs, AR.	
Huntington Beach, CA	•
Long Beach Harbors, CA	
Malibu Coastal Area, CA	•
Santa Barbara Harbor, CA	-
Daytona Beach Shores, FL	
Lower Boise River and Tributaries, ID	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Indianapolis Central Waterfront, INLewis and Clark Lake, ND	
Dayton - Miami River Basin, OH	
Dallas Floodway Extension, TX	
Jackson Hole Restoration, WY	
President's Climate Change Action Plan	_
Construction, General	
Los Angeles County Drainage Area, CA	
Kawaihae Small Boat Harbor, HI	
Maalaea Harbor, Maui, HI	

Casina Basah, II	¢1 Million
Casino Beach, IL	
Des Moines Recreational River, IA	
Chesapeake Bay Oyster Recovery, MD	
Tropicana and Flamingo Washes, NV	
Sandy Hook to Barnegat Inlet, NJ	
Acequias Irrigation System, NM	
Grays Landing, Lock and Dam 7, PA	
Myrtle Beach, SC	\$3 Million
Sims Bayou, Houston, TX	
Levisa and Tug Forks and Upper Cumberland River, WV	
Robert C. Byrd Locks and Dam, WV	
Winfield Locks and Dam, WV	
Aquatic Plant control	
Operation and Maintenance	
Black Warrior and Tombigbee Rivers, AL	\$20 Million
White River, AR	\$2.1 Million
Sacramento River and Tributaries, CA	\$2.9 Million
San Diego Harbor, CA	\$125,000
San Francisco Harbor and Bay, CA	\$6.7 Million
Santa Barbara Harbor, CA	
Cherry Creek Lake Flood Control, CO	
Potomac and Anacostia Rivers Drift Removal, DC	
Panama City Harbor, FL	<del>-</del>
Honolulu Harbor, HI	-
Chicago River and Harbor, IL	-
Cape Cod Canal, MA	
Hodges Village Dam Flood Control, MA	
Mosquito Creek Lake Flood Control, OH	
Sam Rayburn Dam and Reservoir, TX	
Mt. Saint Helens, WA	
Stonewall Jackson Lake, WV	
Sturgeon Bay, WI	
Jackson Hole Levees, WY	
Juondoll 11010 Dovood, W 1	
Donoutment of the Interior	
Department of the Interior	\$40.2 Million
Central Utah Project	
Bureau of Reclamation	0140 Million
General Investigations	
Tucson/Phoenix Water Conservation Study	-
Yampa River Water Supply Study	
General Planning Studies	
Construction Programs	
San Jose Water Reclamation, CA	
Yakima Fish Passage, WA	
Global Climate Change Study	
Fish and Wildlife Facilities	
Shasta Dam Temperature Control Device	\$25 Million

Department of Energy	
Energy Supply, Research and Development Activities	\$3.3 Billion
Solar and Renewable Energy Programs	
Biofuels Energy Systems Program	\$62.1 Million
Short Rotation Woody Crops Program	
Regional Biomass Program	
Geothermal and Hydropower	
Electric Energy Systems and Storage	
Nuclear Energy Program	\$293.2 Million
Biological and Environmental Research	
Magnetic Fusion	\$372.6 Million
Power Marketing Administrations	\$272.5 Million
Alaska Power Administration	\$6.5 Million
Southeastern Power Administration	\$22.4 Million
Southwestern Power Administration	\$21.3 Million
Western Power Administration	\$222.3 Million
Independent Agencies	
Appalachian Regional Commission	
Delaware River Basin Commission	\$821,000
Interstate Commission on the Potomac River Basin	•
Susquehanna River Basin Commission	\$606,000
Tennessee Valley Authority	\$142.9 Million
	one
Appropriations for Foreign Operations and Related Programs	ons,
Appropriations for Foreign Operation	
Appropriations for Foreign Operational Related Programs  Total Recommended in the Bill	
Appropriations for Foreign Operational Related Programs  Total Recommended in the Bill  Multilateral Economic Assistance	
Appropriations for Foreign Operational Related Programs  Total Recommended in the Bill  Multilateral Economic Assistance Contribution to the International Bank for	\$13.8 Billion
Appropriations for Foreign Operational Related Programs  Total Recommended in the Bill  Multilateral Economic Assistance Contribution to the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development	\$13.8 Billion
Appropriations for Foreign Operational Related Programs  Total Recommended in the Bill  Multilateral Economic Assistance Contribution to the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development Global Environment Facility	\$13.8 Billion\$113 Million\$90 Million
Appropriations for Foreign Operational Related Programs  Total Recommended in the Bill  Multilateral Economic Assistance Contribution to the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development Global Environment Facility  Contribution to the International Development Association	\$13.8 Billion\$113 Million\$90 Million\$1.2 Billion
Appropriations for Foreign Operational Related Programs  Total Recommended in the Bill  Multilateral Economic Assistance Contribution to the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development Global Environment Facility  Contribution to the International Development Association Contribution to the Inter-American Development Bank	\$13.8 Billion\$113 Million\$90 Million\$1.2 Billion\$124.6 Million
Appropriations for Foreign Operational Related Programs  Total Recommended in the Bill  Multilateral Economic Assistance Contribution to the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development Global Environment Facility.  Contribution to the International Development Association Contribution to the Inter-American Development Bank Enterprise For Americas Multilateral Investment Fund.	\$13.8 Billion\$113 Million\$90 Million\$1.2 Billion\$124.6 Million\$75 Million
Appropriations for Foreign Operational Related Programs  Total Recommended in the Bill  Multilateral Economic Assistance Contribution to the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development Global Environment Facility.  Contribution to the International Development Association Contribution to the Inter-American Development Bank Enterprise For Americas Multilateral Investment Fund Contribution to the Asian Development Fund	\$13.8 Billion\$13 Million\$90 Million\$1.2 Billion\$124.6 Million\$75 Million\$168.0 Million
Appropriations for Foreign Operational Related Programs  Total Recommended in the Bill  Multilateral Economic Assistance Contribution to the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development Global Environment Facility.  Contribution to the International Development Association Contribution to the Inter-American Development Bank Enterprise For Americas Multilateral Investment Fund.	\$13.8 Billion  \$13.8 Billion  \$13.8 Billion  \$90 Million  \$1.2 Billion  \$124.6 Million  \$75 Million  \$168.0 Million  \$124.2 Million
Appropriations for Foreign Operation and Related Programs  Total Recommended in the Bill  Multilateral Economic Assistance Contribution to the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development Global Environment Facility.  Contribution to the International Development Association Contribution to the Inter-American Development Bank Enterprise For Americas Multilateral Investment Fund. Contribution to the Asian Development Fund Contribution to the African Development Fund Contribution to the European Bank - Reconstruction and Development	\$13.8 Billion  \$13.8 Billion  \$13.8 Billion  \$90 Million  \$1.2 Billion  \$124.6 Million  \$75 Million  \$168.0 Million  \$124.2 Million
Appropriations for Foreign Operation and Related Programs  Total Recommended in the Bill  Multilateral Economic Assistance Contribution to the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development Global Environment Facility  Contribution to the International Development Association Contribution to the Inter-American Development Bank Enterprise For Americas Multilateral Investment Fund Contribution to the Asian Development Fund Contribution to the African Development Fund Contribution to the European Bank - Reconstruction and Development  Bilateral Economic Assistance	\$13.8 Billion  \$13.8 Billion  \$13.8 Billion  \$90 Million  \$1.2 Billion  \$124.6 Million  \$168.0 Million  \$124.2 Million  \$69.2 Million
Appropriations for Foreign Operation and Related Programs  Total Recommended in the Bill  Multilateral Economic Assistance Contribution to the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development Global Environment Facility  Contribution to the International Development Association Contribution to the Inter-American Development Bank Enterprise For Americas Multilateral Investment Fund Contribution to the Asian Development Fund Contribution to the African Development Fund Contribution to the European Bank - Reconstruction and Development  Bilateral Economic Assistance Agency for International Development, operating expenses	\$13.8 Billion  \$13.8 Billion  \$13.8 Billion  \$90 Million  \$1.2 Billion  \$124.6 Million  \$168.0 Million  \$124.2 Million  \$69.2 Million  \$517.8 Million
Appropriations for Foreign Operation and Related Programs  Total Recommended in the Bill  Multilateral Economic Assistance Contribution to the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development Global Environment Facility  Contribution to the International Development Association Contribution to the Inter-American Development Bank Enterprise For Americas Multilateral Investment Fund Contribution to the Asian Development Fund Contribution to the African Development Fund Contribution to the European Bank - Reconstruction and Development  Bilateral Economic Assistance Agency for International Development, operating expenses Development Assistance Fund	\$13.8 Billion  \$13.8 Billion  \$13.8 Billion  \$90 Million  \$1.2 Billion  \$124.6 Million  \$168.0 Million  \$124.2 Million  \$69.2 Million  \$517.8 Million  \$517.8 Million
Appropriations for Foreign Operation and Related Programs  Total Recommended in the Bill  Multilateral Economic Assistance Contribution to the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development Global Environment Facility  Contribution to the International Development Association Contribution to the Inter-American Development Bank Enterprise For Americas Multilateral Investment Fund Contribution to the Asian Development Fund Contribution to the African Development Fund Contribution to the European Bank - Reconstruction and Development.  Bilateral Economic Assistance Agency for International Development, operating expenses Development Assistance Fund AIDS/HIV Prevention and Control	\$13.8 Billion  \$13.8 Billion  \$13.8 Billion  \$90 Million  \$1.2 Billion  \$124.6 Million  \$168.0 Million  \$124.2 Million  \$69.2 Million  \$517.8 Million  \$853 Million  \$121 Million
Appropriations for Foreign Operation and Related Programs  Total Recommended in the Bill  Multilateral Economic Assistance Contribution to the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development Global Environment Facility  Contribution to the International Development Association Contribution to the Inter-American Development Bank Enterprise For Americas Multilateral Investment Fund Contribution to the Asian Development Fund Contribution to the African Development Fund Contribution to the European Bank - Reconstruction and Development  Bilateral Economic Assistance Agency for International Development, operating expenses Development Assistance Fund	\$13.8 Billion  \$13.8 Billion  \$13.8 Billion  \$90 Million  \$1.2 Billion  \$124.6 Million  \$168.0 Million  \$124.2 Million  \$124.2 Million  \$124.2 Million  \$517.8 Million  \$517.8 Million  \$517.8 Million  \$55 Million  \$121 Million  \$55 Million

Replicable Renewable Energy Projects	\$20 Million	
Burmese Who Have Been Displaced		
Sub-Sahara Africa Development Aid		
Debt Restructuring		
Office of Women in Development		
Population, Development Assistance		
Housing and Other Credit Guaranty Program		
Subsidy Appropriations		
Operating Expenses		
International Fund for Ireland		
African Development Foundation	\$16.9 Million	
Inter-American Foundation		
Peace Corps	\$219.7 Million	
State Department - International Narcotics Control		
Military Assistance International Military Education and Training. Foreign Military Financing - Grants. Voluntary Contributions to U.N. Peacekeeping Missions.  Export Assistance Export-Import Bank of the United States Subsidy Appropriation. Administrative Expenses. Overseas Private Investment Corporation Subsidy Appropriation Administrative Expenses. Non-credit Administrative Expenses.	\$3.2 Billion\$75 Million\$831.8 Million\$786.6 Million\$45.2 Million\$66.1 Million\$33.9 Million\$7.9 Million\$24.3 Million	
Trade and Development Agency	\$45 Million	
Appropriations for the Department of the Interior and Related Agencies		
Total Recommended in the Bill	\$13.65 Billion	
Department of the Interior		
Bureau of Land Management	\$598.4 Million	
Wild Horse and Burro Management	\$17.2 Million	
Land Acquisition	\$14.8 Million	
Oregon and California Grant Lands		
United States Fish and Wildlife Service		
Construction		
Hawaii Refuges, HI - Fencing		
National Education/Training Center, WV		
Land Acquisition	\$67.4 Million	
Archie Carr Wildlife Refuge, FL		
Edwin B. Forsythe Wildlife Refuge, NJ	\$4 Million	

Oklahoma Bat Caves, OK	\$300,000
Rainwater Basin, NE	
San Francisco Bay, CA	
Silvio Conte Wildlife Refuge (planning)	
Stewert B. McKinney Wildlife Preserve, CT	
Wallkill Wildlife Refuge, NJ	\$1.8 Million
National Biological Survey	
National Park Service	
National Recreation and Preservation	
Dayton Aviation Heritage Commission	
Blackstone River Corridor	\$342 000
Steel Industry Heritage	
Southwestern Pennsylvania Heritage Preservation Commission	
Illinois and Michigan Canal National Heritage Corridor Commission	
National Center for Historic Preservation, Wheeling, WV	
Native Hawaiian Culture and Art Program	
Mississippi River Corridor Heritage Commission	
Construction	
Rehabilitation/development, Cuyahoga Valley, OH	
Goodfellow Camp, Indiana Dunes, IN	
Boston National Historic Preservation	
Urban Park and Recreation Fund	
Land Acquisition and State Assistance	
Cuyahoga Valley National Recreation Area	
Golden Gate National Recreation Area	
Indiana Dunes National Land	
Santa Monica Mountain Recreation Area	
South Florida Restoration Grant	
U.S. Geological Survey	
National Mapping, Geography and Surveys	\$123.8 Million
Geologic and Mineral Surveys and Mapping	\$213.3 Million
Water Resources Investigation	
Bureau of Mines	
Territorial and International Affairs	
Guam	
Northern Mariana Islands	
Virgin Islands Crime Control	
Brown Tree Snake	\$393,000
Department of Agriculture	
Forest Service	#000 1 X C'11'
Forest Research	
State and Private Forestry	\$101.3 Million
Forest Legacy Program	\$0. / Million
Urban and Community Forestry	\$28.4 Million
Chicago Urban Forestry	SI Million
Ohio Arbor Forestry	
Tacoma, Washington	\$50,000

Bremerton, Washington	\$50,000
Port Orchard, Washington	
Lake States Forestry Alliance	
International Forestry	
Construction	
Timber and Recreation Road Construction	
National Forest System	
Land Acquisition	\$65.4 Million
Flathead National Forest, MT	\$750,000
Roosevelt National Forest, CO	
San Bernardino National Forest, CA	
<del></del>	
Department of Energy	
Fossil Energy Research and Development	\$442.6 Million
Advanced Pulverized Coal-Fired Powerplant	
Molten Carbonate Systems	
Energy Conservation	
International Market Development	
Energy Conservation Grant Programs	
Weatherization Assistance Program	
State Energy Conservation Programs	
Alternative Vehicle Fuels Program	
Altornativa Faedstocks Program	
Alternative Feedstocks Program  Naval Petroleum and Oil Shale Reserves	
Alternative Feedstocks Program  Naval Petroleum and Oil Shale Reserves	
Naval Petroleum and Oil Shale Reserves	\$187.4 Million
Naval Petroleum and Oil Shale Reserves  Related Agencies	\$187.4 Million\$183.9 Million
Naval Petroleum and Oil Shale Reserves	\$187.4 Million\$183.9 Million
Naval Petroleum and Oil Shale Reserves  Related Agencies National Endowment for the Arts National Endowment for the Humanities Commission for the Fine Arts	\$187.4 Million\$183.9 Million\$177.4 Million
Naval Petroleum and Oil Shale Reserves  Related Agencies  National Endowment for the Arts  National Endowment for the Humanities  Commission for the Fine Arts  Salaries and Expenses	\$187.4 Million\$183.9 Million\$177.4 Million\$834,000
Naval Petroleum and Oil Shale Reserves  Related Agencies National Endowment for the Arts National Endowment for the Humanities Commission for the Fine Arts Salaries and Expenses National Capital Arts and Cultural Affairs	\$187.4 Million\$183.9 Million\$177.4 Million\$834,000\$7.5 Million
Naval Petroleum and Oil Shale Reserves  Related Agencies National Endowment for the Arts National Endowment for the Humanities Commission for the Fine Arts Salaries and Expenses National Capital Arts and Cultural Affairs Advisory Council on Historic Preservation	\$187.4 Million\$183.9 Million\$177.4 Million\$834,000\$7.5 Million\$3 Million
Naval Petroleum and Oil Shale Reserves  Related Agencies National Endowment for the Arts National Endowment for the Humanities Commission for the Fine Arts Salaries and Expenses National Capital Arts and Cultural Affairs	\$187.4 Million\$183.9 Million\$177.4 Million\$834,000\$7.5 Million\$3 Million\$5.7 Million
Related Agencies National Endowment for the Arts National Endowment for the Humanities Commission for the Fine Arts Salaries and Expenses National Capital Arts and Cultural Affairs Advisory Council on Historic Preservation National Capital Planning Commission	\$187.4 Million\$183.9 Million\$177.4 Million\$834,000\$7.5 Million\$3 Million\$5.7 Million
Related Agencies National Endowment for the Arts National Endowment for the Humanities Commission for the Fine Arts Salaries and Expenses National Capital Arts and Cultural Affairs Advisory Council on Historic Preservation National Capital Planning Commission Pennsylvania Avenue Development Corporation	\$187.4 Million\$183.9 Million\$177.4 Million\$834,000\$7.5 Million\$3 Million\$5.7 Million\$6.8 Million
Related Agencies National Endowment for the Arts National Endowment for the Humanities Commission for the Fine Arts Salaries and Expenses National Capital Arts and Cultural Affairs Advisory Council on Historic Preservation National Capital Planning Commission Pennsylvania Avenue Development Corporation  Appropriations for the Departments of	\$187.4 Million  \$183.9 Million  \$177.4 Million  \$834,000  \$7.5 Million  \$3 Million  \$5.7 Million  \$6.8 Million
Related Agencies National Endowment for the Arts National Endowment for the Humanities Commission for the Fine Arts Salaries and Expenses National Capital Arts and Cultural Affairs Advisory Council on Historic Preservation National Capital Planning Commission Pennsylvania Avenue Development Corporation	\$187.4 Million  \$183.9 Million  \$177.4 Million  \$834,000  \$7.5 Million  \$3 Million  \$5.7 Million  \$6.8 Million
Related Agencies National Endowment for the Arts National Endowment for the Humanities Commission for the Fine Arts Salaries and Expenses National Capital Arts and Cultural Affairs Advisory Council on Historic Preservation National Capital Planning Commission Pennsylvania Avenue Development Corporation  Appropriations for the Departments of	\$187.4 Million  \$183.9 Million  \$177.4 Million  \$834,000  \$7.5 Million  \$3 Million  \$5.7 Million  \$6.8 Million
Related Agencies National Endowment for the Arts National Endowment for the Humanities Commission for the Fine Arts Salaries and Expenses National Capital Arts and Cultural Affairs Advisory Council on Historic Preservation National Capital Planning Commission Pennsylvania Avenue Development Corporation  Appropriations for the Departments of	\$187.4 Million  \$183.9 Million  \$177.4 Million  \$834,000  \$7.5 Million  \$3 Million  \$5.7 Million  \$6.8 Million  Justice, Commerce, ed Agencies
Related Agencies National Endowment for the Arts National Endowment for the Humanities Commission for the Fine Arts Salaries and Expenses National Capital Arts and Cultural Affairs Advisory Council on Historic Preservation National Capital Planning Commission Pennsylvania Avenue Development Corporation  Appropriations for the Departments of State, the Judiciary, and Relate  Total Recommended in the Bill	\$187.4 Million  \$183.9 Million  \$177.4 Million  \$834,000  \$7.5 Million  \$3 Million  \$5.7 Million  \$6.8 Million  Justice, Commerce, ed Agencies
Related Agencies National Endowment for the Arts National Endowment for the Humanities Commission for the Fine Arts Salaries and Expenses National Capital Arts and Cultural Affairs Advisory Council on Historic Preservation National Capital Planning Commission Pennsylvania Avenue Development Corporation  Appropriations for the Departments of State, the Judiciary, and Relate Total Recommended in the Bill  Department of Justice	\$187.4 Million  \$183.9 Million  \$177.4 Million  \$34,000  \$7.5 Million  \$3 Million  \$5.7 Million  \$6.8 Million  Justice, Commerce,  Agencies  \$26.8 Billion
Related Agencies National Endowment for the Arts National Endowment for the Humanities Commission for the Fine Arts Salaries and Expenses National Capital Arts and Cultural Affairs Advisory Council on Historic Preservation National Capital Planning Commission Pennsylvania Avenue Development Corporation  Appropriations for the Departments of State, the Judiciary, and Relate Total Recommended in the Bill  Department of Justice New Programs Authorized by 1994 Crime Bill	\$187.4 Million  \$183.9 Million  \$177.4 Million  \$34,000  \$7.5 Million  \$3 Million  \$5.7 Million  \$6.8 Million  Justice, Commerce,  Agencies  \$26.8 Billion
Related Agencies National Endowment for the Arts	\$187.4 Million  \$183.9 Million  \$177.4 Million  \$34,000  \$7.5 Million  \$3 Million  \$5.7 Million  \$6.8 Million  Justice, Commerce,  Agencies  \$26.8 Billion  \$2.345 Billion
Related Agencies National Endowment for the Arts National Endowment for the Humanities Commission for the Fine Arts Salaries and Expenses National Capital Arts and Cultural Affairs Advisory Council on Historic Preservation National Capital Planning Commission Pennsylvania Avenue Development Corporation  Appropriations for the Departments of State, the Judiciary, and Relate Total Recommended in the Bill  Department of Justice New Programs Authorized by 1994 Crime Bill	\$187.4 Million  \$183.9 Million  \$177.4 Million  \$34,000  \$7.5 Million  \$3 Million  \$5.7 Million  \$6.8 Million  Justice, Commerce, ed Agencies  \$26.8 Billion  \$2.345 Billion  \$1.3 Billion

Ounce of Prevention Council	\$1.5 Million
Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (JJDP) program	\$144 Million
State Advisory Groups (SAGs)	
National, Coordinated Law Related Education programs	
North Omaha Bears project	
North Omana Bears project	\$300,000
Department of Commerce	
National Institute of Standards and Technology	\$790 Million
Industrial Technology Services	
Advanced Technology Program	
Manufacturing Extension Centers	
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)	
Charleston, SC, Special Area Management Plan	
National Marine Fisheries Service	
Aquaculture	
Interstate Fish Commissions	\$4 0 Million
Fisheries Trade Promotion Activities	
Hawaiian Fisheries Development	
Oceanic and Atmospheric Research	
GLERL/Zebra Mussel	
Sea Grant Zebra Mussels	,
Sea Grant Oyster Disease	
National Weather Service	
Agriculture and Fruit Frost Program	
Construction	
Including:	
\$2.5 million to Kansas City, Missouri, for the developm	nent of a weather and
environment information and demonstration center;	ioni oi u woulinoi una
\$1 million to Mystic Seaport in Mystic, Connecticut for	r a maritime education
center;	
\$3.5 million for a Multispecies Aquaculture Center in t	he State of New Jersey
\$2 million to the Massachusetts Biotechnology Center	
Boston;	
\$5.2 million for the Center for Interdisciplinary Research	ch and Education in Indiana
Fishing Vessel Subsidy Funding	
International Trade Administration	•
Including:	
\$1 million for a grant to the Emerging Technologies Institute (	ETI) in Sacramento
California;	2-1/111 500101101101,
\$930,000 to the Michigan Biotechnology Institute;	
\$1.7 million to the Massachusetts Biotechnology Research Ins	titute in Worcester
\$1.2 million to the Center for Global Competitiveness in Loret	
Pennsylvania; and	to min Duit Coo,
\$3.4 million for the Textile Clothing Technology Corporation.	
45.4 minion for the Textile Cionning Technology Corporation.	
Minority Business Development Center	\$43.9 Million
Minority Dusiness Development Contor	

Including: \$200,000 to fund the U.S African Trade and State College in Georgia.	Technology Center at Savannah
United States Travel and Tourism Administration	\$16.4 Million
Information Infrastructure Grants	
Economic Development Administration	\$408 Million
Public Works Grants	
Including provisions for: 1) the Mountain Arts and Educa	tion Center to develop tourism
in Southern Kentucky; 2) the 10th Street conversion projection	
the development of the Chicago Wholesale Food Market;	
District Industrial Park Development on the city's east side	•
Elizario Plaza in El Paso County, Texas.	•
Defense Economic Conversion	\$120 Million
Trade Adjustment Grants	\$45 Million
Small Business Administration	
Small Business Development Center (SBDC)	\$74 Million
SBDC central Europe	
SBDC Defense Economic Transition	
Advocacy Research	\$1.5 Million
White House Conference	
Natural Resources Development Program	\$15 Million
Pittsburgh District Video Production	
Earmarked Grants	\$27.35 Million
Including:	
\$750,000 to the North Carolina Biotechnology Center;	
\$500,000 to the Van Emmons Population Marketing Analysis	s Center, Towanda, Pennsylvania,
\$1 million to the Genesis Small Business Incubator Facility, F	ayetteville, Arkansas;
\$1 million to the Center for Entrepreneurial Opportunity in G	reensburg, Pennsylvania;
\$1.5 million to a "consortium in Buffalo, New York";	
\$500,000 to the New York City Public Library;	
\$200,000 to the Small Business Institute program of the Sma	Il Business Administration to
operate a National Data Center Small Business Institute prog	ram in Conway, Arkansas; and
\$500,000 to the Mississippi Delta Small Business Technology	Project in Little Rock, Arkansas.
Department of State	
Contributions to International Organizations	
International Boundary and Water Commission	\$19.4 Million
Related Agencies	
Japan - United States Friendship Commission	\$1.2 Million
East-West Center	
North/South Center	\$4 Million

# Appropriations for the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies

Total Recommended in the Bill	\$247 Billion
Department of Labor	
Job Training Partnership Act	\$5.3 Billion
Adult Training.	
Youth Training	
Summer Youth Employment	
Dislocated Workers	
Samoa, Pacific Islander and Asian American employment	
and training initiative	\$5 Million
Job Corps	
National Skills Standards Advisory Board	
Glass Ceiling Commission	
Women in Apprenticeship	
Office of the American Workplace	
•	
Department of Health and Human Services	
Native Hawaiian Health Care	\$4.5 Million
Podiatric Medicine	
Chiropractic Demonstration Grants	_
Health Professions Data Systems	
Health Education Assistance Loans Programs (H.E.A.L.)	
National Youth Sports Block Grant	\$12 Million
National Youth Sports Block Grant	
Family Planning	
Family Planning	
	\$193.4 Million
Planning  Department of Education	\$193.4 Million\$12 Million
Department of Education  Arts in Education	\$193.4 Million\$12 Million\$5.9 Million
Department of Education Arts in Education Law-related Education Education for Native Hawaiians	\$193.4 Million\$12 Million\$5.9 Million\$12 Million
Department of Education Arts in Education Law-related Education	\$193.4 Million\$12 Million\$5.9 Million\$12 Million\$12 Million
Department of Education Arts in Education Law-related Education Education for Native Hawaiians Bilingual and Immigrant Education Vocational and Adult Education	\$193.4 Million\$12 Million\$5.9 Million\$12 Million\$12 Million\$147 Million
Department of Education Arts in Education Law-related Education Education for Native Hawaiians Bilingual and Immigrant Education Vocational and Adult Education Basic Grants	\$193.4 Million\$12 Million\$5.9 Million\$12 Million\$147 Million\$1.47 Billion\$972.8 Million
Department of Education Arts in Education Law-related Education Education for Native Hawaiians Bilingual and Immigrant Education Vocational and Adult Education Basic Grants Consumer and Homemaking Education	\$193.4 Million\$12 Million\$5.9 Million\$12 Million\$147 Million\$1.47 Billion\$972.8 Million\$34.4 Million
Department of Education Arts in Education Law-related Education Education for Native Hawaiians Bilingual and Immigrant Education Vocational and Adult Education Basic Grants Consumer and Homemaking Education Tech-Prep Education	\$193.4 Million\$12 Million\$5.9 Million\$12 Million\$147 Million\$1.47 Billion\$972.8 Million\$34.4 Million\$108 Million
Department of Education Arts in Education Law-related Education Education for Native Hawaiians Bilingual and Immigrant Education Vocational and Adult Education Basic Grants Consumer and Homemaking Education	\$193.4 Million\$12 Million\$5.9 Million\$12 Million\$245 Million\$1.47 Billion\$972.8 Million\$34.4 Million\$108 Million\$2.9 Million
Department of Education Arts in Education Law-related Education Education for Native Hawaiians Bilingual and Immigrant Education Vocational and Adult Education Basic Grants Consumer and Homemaking Education Tech-Prep Education Tribally Controlled Postsecondary Vocational Institutions State Councils on Vocational Education	\$193.4 Million\$12 Million\$5.9 Million\$12 Million\$147 Million\$147 Billion\$144 Million\$149 Million\$148 Million\$148 Million\$148 Million\$148 Million\$188 Million
Department of Education Arts in Education Law-related Education Education for Native Hawaiians Bilingual and Immigrant Education Vocational and Adult Education Basic Grants Consumer and Homemaking Education Tech-Prep Education Tribally Controlled Postsecondary Vocational Institutions	\$193.4 Million\$12 Million\$5.9 Million\$12 Million\$147 Million\$1.47 Billion\$1.47 Million\$108 Million\$108 Million\$2.9 Million\$8.8 Million\$8.8 Million
Department of Education Arts in Education Law-related Education Education for Native Hawaiians Bilingual and Immigrant Education Vocational and Adult Education Basic Grants Consumer and Homemaking Education Tech-Prep Education Tribally Controlled Postsecondary Vocational Institutions State Councils on Vocational Education Federal Work-Study State Student Incentive Grants	\$193.4 Million\$12 Million\$5.9 Million\$12 Million\$147 Million\$1.47 Million\$144 Million\$108 Million\$108 Million\$2.9 Million\$8.8 Million\$616.5 Million\$63.4 Million
Department of Education Arts in Education Law-related Education Education for Native Hawaiians Bilingual and Immigrant Education Vocational and Adult Education Basic Grants Consumer and Homemaking Education Tech-Prep Education Tribally Controlled Postsecondary Vocational Institutions State Councils on Vocational Education Federal Work-Study State Student Incentive Grants Higher Education	\$193.4 Million\$12 Million\$5.9 Million\$12 Million\$12 Million\$147 Million\$147 Million\$34.4 Million\$108 Million\$2.9 Million\$8.8 Million\$616.5 Million\$63.4 Million\$962.8 Million
Department of Education  Arts in Education  Law-related Education  Education for Native Hawaiians  Bilingual and Immigrant Education  Vocational and Adult Education  Basic Grants  Consumer and Homemaking Education  Tech-Prep Education  Tribally Controlled Postsecondary Vocational Institutions  State Councils on Vocational Education  Federal Work-Study  State Student Incentive Grants  Higher Education  Strengthening Institutions	\$193.4 Million\$12 Million\$5.9 Million\$12 Million\$147 Million\$1.47 Million\$1.47 Million\$108 Million\$108 Million\$108 Million\$2.9 Million\$8.8 Million\$616.5 Million\$63.4 Million\$962.8 Million\$80 Million
Department of Education  Arts in Education  Law-related Education  Education for Native Hawaiians  Bilingual and Immigrant Education  Vocational and Adult Education  Basic Grants  Consumer and Homemaking Education  Tech-Prep Education  Tribally Controlled Postsecondary Vocational Institutions  State Councils on Vocational Education  Federal Work-Study  State Student Incentive Grants  Higher Education  Strengthening Institutions  Innovative Projects for Community Service  Alaskan Native Culture and Arts Development	\$193.4 Million\$12 Million\$5.9 Million\$12 Million\$12 Million\$147 Million\$1.47 Billion\$34.4 Million\$108 Million\$108 Million\$16.5 Million\$63.4 Million\$63.4 Million\$63.4 Million\$63.4 Million\$1.4 Million\$1 million
Department of Education  Arts in Education  Law-related Education  Education for Native Hawaiians  Bilingual and Immigrant Education  Vocational and Adult Education  Basic Grants  Consumer and Homemaking Education  Tech-Prep Education  Tribally Controlled Postsecondary Vocational Institutions  State Councils on Vocational Education  Federal Work-Study  State Student Incentive Grants  Higher Education  Strengthening Institutions	\$193.4 Million\$12 Million\$5.9 Million\$12 Million\$12 Million\$147 Million\$1.47 Billion\$34.4 Million\$108 Million\$108 Million\$16.5 Million\$63.4 Million\$63.4 Million\$63.4 Million\$63.4 Million\$1.4 Million\$1 million

D III OLLU	900 1 NOW.
Byrd Honors Scholarships	
Teacher Corps	
Javits Fellowships	
Harris Graduate Fellowships	\$20.2 Million
College Housing and Academic Facilities Loan Program	\$1.2 Million
Civics Education	
Star Schools	
National Diffusion Network	
Ready to Learn Television	
Fund for the Improvement of Education	
Environmental Science Education	
Model Arts Education	
Elementary School Counseling Demonstration	\$2 Million
National Student and Parent Mock Election	\$125,000
Partnerships in Character Education	\$750,000
Promoting Scholar-Athlete Competitions	
Middle School-Workplace-Community Partnerships	
, , ,	
Related Agencies	•
Domestic Volunteer Service Programs	\$214 7 Million
Volunteers in service to America (VISTA)	\$47.7 Million
National Senior Volunteer Corps	\$135 8 Million
Corporation for Public Broadcasting	
United States Institute of Peace	
Cintod States Institute of Loads	
Office States Institute of 1 saccimum	
Appropriations for Military Cons	
Appropriations for Military Cons	truction
	truction
Appropriations for Military Cons  Total Recommended in the Bill	truction
Appropriations for Military Cons  Total Recommended in the Bill  In Selected States and Countries	truction\$8.8 Billion
Appropriations for Military Cons  Total Recommended in the Bill  In Selected States and Countries  Alabama	struction
Appropriations for Military Cons  Total Recommended in the Bill  In Selected States and Countries  Alabama  Air Force: Student Dormitories	\$8.8 Billion  \$52.5 Million  \$9.6 Million
Appropriations for Military Cons  Total Recommended in the Bill  In Selected States and Countries  Alabama	\$8.8 Billion  \$52.5 Million  \$9.6 Million  \$2.6 Million
Appropriations for Military Cons  Total Recommended in the Bill  In Selected States and Countries  Alabama	\$8.8 Billion  \$52.5 Million  \$9.6 Million  \$2.6 Million  \$13.7 Million
Appropriations for Military Cons  Total Recommended in the Bill  In Selected States and Countries  Alabama  Air Force: Student Dormitories  Army: Physical Fitness Center  Arkansas  Air Force: Dormitory in Little Rock	\$8.8 Billion  \$52.5 Million  \$9.6 Million  \$13.7 Million  \$4.8 Million
Appropriations for Military Cons  Total Recommended in the Bill  In Selected States and Countries  Alabama	\$8.8 Billion  \$52.5 Million  \$9.6 Million  \$13.7 Million  \$4.8 Million  \$802,000
Appropriations for Military Cons  Total Recommended in the Bill  In Selected States and Countries  Alabama  Air Force: Student Dormitories  Army: Physical Fitness Center  Arkansas  Air Force: Dormitory in Little Rock  Army National Guard: Organizational Maintenance Shop  California	\$8.8 Billion  \$52.5 Million  \$9.6 Million  \$13.7 Million  \$4.8 Million  \$802,000  \$153.7 Million
Appropriations for Military Cons  Total Recommended in the Bill  In Selected States and Countries  Alabama.  Air Force: Student Dormitories  Army: Physical Fitness Center  Arkansas.  Air Force: Dormitory in Little Rock  Army National Guard: Organizational Maintenance Shop  California  Navy: Dredging at North Island.	\$8.8 Billion  \$52.5 Million  \$9.6 Million  \$13.7 Million  \$802,000  \$153.7 Million  \$18.8 Million
Appropriations for Military Cons  Total Recommended in the Bill  In Selected States and Countries Alabama  Air Force: Student Dormitories  Army: Physical Fitness Center  Arkansas  Air Force: Dormitory in Little Rock  Army National Guard: Organizational Maintenance Shop  California  Navy: Dredging at North Island  Navy: Blast and Paint Spray Facility	\$52.5 Million  \$52.5 Million  \$9.6 Million  \$13.7 Million  \$4.8 Million  \$802,000  \$153.7 Million  \$18.8 Million  \$18.8 Million  \$4.9 Million
Appropriations for Military Cons  Total Recommended in the Bill  In Selected States and Countries Alabama  Air Force: Student Dormitories  Army: Physical Fitness Center  Arkansas  Air Force: Dormitory in Little Rock  Army National Guard: Organizational Maintenance Shop  California  Navy: Dredging at North Island  Navy: Blast and Paint Spray Facility  Navy: Personal Hygiene Facilities	\$8.8 Billion  \$52.5 Million  \$9.6 Million  \$13.7 Million  \$4.8 Million  \$153.7 Million  \$18.8 Million  \$18.8 Million  \$4.9 Million  \$1.1 Million
Appropriations for Military Cons  Total Recommended in the Bill  In Selected States and Countries Alabama  Air Force: Student Dormitories  Army: Physical Fitness Center  Arkansas  Air Force: Dormitory in Little Rock  Army National Guard: Organizational Maintenance Shop  California  Navy: Dredging at North Island  Navy: Blast and Paint Spray Facility  Navy: Personal Hygiene Facilities  Air Force: Dormitories	\$52.5 Million  \$52.5 Million  \$9.6 Million  \$13.7 Million  \$4.8 Million  \$802,000  \$153.7 Million  \$18.8 Million  \$1.1 Million  \$2.3 Million
Appropriations for Military Cons  Total Recommended in the Bill  In Selected States and Countries Alabama.  Air Force: Student Dormitories Army: Physical Fitness Center  Arkansas  Air Force: Dormitory in Little Rock Army National Guard: Organizational Maintenance Shop  California  Navy: Dredging at North Island Navy: Blast and Paint Spray Facility Navy: Personal Hygiene Facilities Air Force: Dormitories  Colorado	\$52.5 Million  \$52.5 Million  \$9.6 Million  \$13.7 Million  \$4.8 Million  \$802,000  \$153.7 Million  \$18.8 Million  \$18.9 Million  \$1.1 Million  \$2.3 Million  \$11.2 Million
Appropriations for Military Cons  Total Recommended in the Bill  In Selected States and Countries Alabama	\$8.8 Billion  \$52.5 Million  \$9.6 Million  \$13.7 Million  \$4.8 Million  \$802,000  \$153.7 Million  \$18.8 Million  \$18.8 Million  \$1.1 Million  \$2.3 Million  \$11.2 Million  \$5 Million
Appropriations for Military Cons  Total Recommended in the Bill  In Selected States and Countries Alabama	\$52.5 Million  \$52.5 Million  \$9.6 Million  \$13.7 Million  \$4.8 Million  \$802,000  \$153.7 Million  \$18.8 Million  \$1.1 Million  \$1.1 Million  \$2.3 Million  \$11.2 Million  \$5 Million  \$2.7 Million
Appropriations for Military Cons  Total Recommended in the Bill  In Selected States and Countries Alabama	\$52.5 Million  \$52.5 Million  \$9.6 Million  \$13.7 Million  \$4.8 Million  \$802,000  \$153.7 Million  \$18.8 Million  \$18.8 Million  \$1.1 Million  \$2.3 Million  \$11.2 Million  \$2.7 Million  \$2.7 Million  \$10.5 Million
Appropriations for Military Cons  Total Recommended in the Bill  In Selected States and Countries Alabama	\$52.5 Million  \$52.5 Million  \$9.6 Million  \$13.7 Million  \$4.8 Million  \$802,000  \$153.7 Million  \$18.8 Million  \$18.8 Million  \$1.1 Million  \$2.3 Million  \$11.2 Million  \$2.7 Million  \$2.7 Million  \$10.5 Million

Florida	\$66 & Million
Air Force Reserve: Renovated Dining Facility	
Air Force Reserve: Renovated Barracks	
Air Force Reserve: Physical Fitness Center	
Georgia	Ф149.2 IVIIIIOII
Army: Central Vehicle Wash Facility	
Air Force: Expand Flight Kitchen	
Navy Reserve: Training Building	\$2./ Million
Air Force Reserve: Training Center Addition	\$4.6 Million
Hawaii	\$36.1 Million
Army: Barracks Renewal (phase I)	\$20.7 Million
Army Reserve: Center at Fort Shafter	
Idaho	
Air Force: Dormitory	
Indiana	\$32.4 Million
Air Force Reserve: Environmental Compliance	\$2.2 Million
Michigan	\$13.1 Million
Army National Guard: Renovate Armory	\$120,000
Air National Guard: Firefighter Training Facility	\$750,000
Air National Guard: Repair Roads and Parking Lot	
Air National Guard: Upgrade Heating Systems	
Mississippi	
Army National Guard: Armory at Crystal Springs	\$2.3 Million
Army National Guard: Armory at Winona	\$1.7 Million
New Jersey	
Navy: Potable Water Distribution System	\$3 Million
Air Force: Dormitories	
New York	
Army: Renovate Food Processing Facility	
North Carolina	174 5 Million
Army: Dredge Terminal Entrance	
Navy: Cyrogenics Facility	
Oklahoma	
Army: Whole Barracks Renewal	
Air Force: Add/Alter Dormitory	
Air Force: Alter Dormitories	
Army National Guard: Barracks and Classrooms	
Rhode Island	
Navy: Maritime Research Center (phase I)	\$10 Million
South Carolina	
Navy: Child Development Center	
Navy: Recruit Training Facility	\$5.8 Million
Air Force: Altered Dormitories	\$9.9 Million
Texas	
Army: Whole Barracks Renewal	
Air Force: Directed Energy Facility	
Air Force: Alter Recruit Dormitory	
Virginia	\$228.6 Million
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Defense Wide: Dependent School Addition	\$1.6 Million
Defense Wide: Child Development Centers	
Washington	\$141.9 Million
Navy: Bachelor Enlisted Quarters	\$7.5 Million
Navy: Child Development Center	
Navy: Fleet Recreation Center	
Navy: Physical Fitness Facilities	
Italy	
Navy: Bachelor Enlisted Quarters	\$33.1 Million
Navy: Quality of Life Facilities	
Kwajalein	
Army: Cover Raw Water Tanks	.\$5.2 Million
Appropriations for the Department of Tra	insportation
Total Recommended in the Bill	\$14.3 Billion
Department of Transportation	
Payments to Air Carriers (Essential Air Service)	
Coast Guard	
Boat Safety	
Acquisition, Construction and Improvements	
Polar Icebreaker Reliability Improvement	\$7.9 Million
Federal Aviation Administration	\$6.9 Billion
Grants-in-Aid for Airports	\$1.5 Billion
Orlando International Airport	
Philadelphia International Airport	\$10 Million
Detroit City Airport	
Luis Munoz Marin Airport, San Juan, PR	
Federal Highway Administration	
Federal-Aid Highways	
Contract Programs	
Long Term Pavement Performance	
Local Rural Technical Assistance	
National Highway Institute	
Highway R&D	\$55.2 Million
Motor Carrier Research (including research on the feasibility	
of devices to continually monitor truck drivers' fitness	
for duty and carrier cab 'black boxes')	\$2.5 Million
Intelligent Vehicle Highway System	
GuideStar: Minnesota	
Or Or	

Fast-Trac: Oakland County, Michigan	\$15 Million
CARAT: Charlotte, North Carolina	\$4.5 Million
Houston, Texas	
Lower Hudson Valley	
I-95 Corridor	
Bronx-Northern Manhattan	
University of North Dakota	
Detroit, Michigan (SMART)	
National Transportation Center, Oakdale, NY	\$1.8 Million
Surface Transportation Projects	
96th Street Upgrade; Indianapolis, IN	
Airport Access Road; Jacksonville, FL	
Bristol Street Improvement; Santa Ana, CA	\$2 Million
CA 113 Railroad Grade Separation; CA	
Old Nashville Highway Bridge; TN	
McLellan Avenue; Marquette, MI	
Fairfax County Expressway, VA	
Arden Garden Connector; Sacramento, CA	
City of Columbus "Front Door"; IN	
Peace Street; Thomasville, NC	
Unity Street; Thomasville, NC	
Hoosier Heartland Corridor, IN	
Intermodal Terminal, Fearing Blvd.; Toledo, OH	
Fuller Warren Bridge, Jacksonville, FL	
Port of Palm Beach Intermodal Facility; FL	
Saddle Road; HI	
Kihei Road; Maui, HI	
Railroad Relocation; Brownsville, TX	
6th and 7th Streets Improvements; Brownsville, TX	\$500,000
Sunport Boulevard; Albuquerque, NM	
Corridor H; WV	
National Academy of Sciences Study of Motor Vehicle	
Safety Information Needs	
Federal Railroad Administration	
Local Rail Freight Assistance	\$17 Million
Railroad Research and Development	
Maglev Systems Evaluation	
Oregon Graduate Institute	
Northeast Corridor Improvement Program	
Survey of Northeast Corridor Ridership to Determine	
Modal Preference	\$1.5 Million
Rhode Island Railroad Development	
Next Generation High Speed Rail	\$20 Million
Pennsylvania Station Redevelopment Project	\$40 Million
Amtrak Subsidies	\$772 Million
Federal Transit Administration	
University Transportation Centers	
Formula Grants	\$2.5 Billion

	¢1 7 D:11:
Urban Areas with over 1 million people	
Urban Areas with over 200,000 people	
Urban Areas with over 50,000 people	
Transit Planning and Research	
Team Transit Program in Minnesota	•
Triangle Transit Authority in North Carolina	
Bus and Bus Facilities	
Detroit, MI: Passenger Intermodal Center	
Herndon-Reston, VA; Park-n-Ride	
Santa Barbara, CA; Buses	
Santa Cruz, CA; Bus Facility	
Kauai, HI; Iniki Express	
King County/Seattle, WA; Buses	\$3 Million
Long Beach, CA; Buses	\$1 Million
Orlando, FL, Oscar	\$6.5 Million
New Jersey transit; Buses	\$13 Million
Northern Virginia-Dulles; Corridor Express Bus	
Philadelphia, PA; Erie Avenue Intermodal Center	-
Tucson, AZ; Alternatively Fueled Buses	
Westchester County, NY; Buses	
Worcester, MA; InterModal Center	
Fixed Guideway Systems	
Boston, MA; South Boston piers Transitway	
Chicago, IL; Central Area Circulator	
Los Angeles, CA; MO-2 and MOS-3	
New Jersey; Urban Core	
New Jersey; West Shore Line	
Portland, OR; Westside LRT Project	
San Juan, PR; Tren Urbano	
Salt Lake City Light Rail Project	
Washington Metropolitan Transit Authority	
New Jersey Urban Core	
Los Angeles Commuter Rail	
terstate Commerce Commission	
	.n 33./ (V171116311

### Appropriations for Department of Treasury, Postal Service, Executive Office of the President, General Government, and Certain Independent Agencies

Total Recommended in the Bill	\$23.5 Billion
General Services Administration	
Federal Buildings Fund	\$5.2 Billion
New Construction	
Motgomery AL: Courthouse Annex	\$40.5 Million

Tucson, AZ; Historical Documents Foundation	\$2 Million
University of Hawaii-Hilo; Consolidation	\$12 Million
Kansas City, MO; Building and Courthouse	
St. Louis, MO; Building and Courthouse	
Albuquerque, NM; Courthouse	
Long Island, NY; Courthouse	
Greeneville, TN; U.S. Courthouse	
Blaine, WA; Border Station	
Point Roberts, WA; Border Station	
Martinsburg, WV; IRS Computer Center	
Repairs and Alterations	922 4 Million
Los Angeles, CA; Courthouse	
Washington, DC; Ariel Rios	
Chicago, IL; Federal Center	
Holtsville, NY; IRS Service Center	
Cleveland, OH; Anthony Celebreeze Building	
Harrisburg, PA; Federal Building and Courthouse	
Philadelphia, PA; Bryne-Green Complex	
Lubbock, TX; Building and Courthouse	
Walla Walla, WA; Corps of Engineers Facility	
Chlorofluorocarbons Program (nation-wide)	
Energy Program (nation-wide)	
Paralympiad Technical Support	\$1 Million
John F. Kennedy Assassination Records Review Board	
Morris K. Udall Scholarship for Excellence in Environmental Policy	
Gang Resistance Education and Training.	
Committee For Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Dis	sabled\$1.7 Million
Appropriations for the Departments of Vete	rans Affairs and
Housing and Urban Development and Indep	
Trousing and Orban Development and Indep	chacht rigeneres
Total Recommended in the Bill	\$90.6 Billion
Department of Veterans Affairs	
Construction of Medical Care Facilities	\$16.2 Billion
Outpatient Clinic in Belmont, OH	\$300,000
Outpatient Clinic in Monroe, LA	\$1 Million
Counseling Center in Washington	\$303,000
Installation of bedside telephones	\$5 Million
Community-based Outpatient Clinic in North Platte, NE	
Blind Rehabilitation Services	
General Operating Expenses	\$890.6 Million
Design of National Cemetery in Dallas, TX	
	\$1 Million
Ambulatory Care in San Juan, Puerto Rico	\$1 Million\$34.8 Million

Department of Housing and Urban Development	
Annual Contributions for Assisted Housing	
General Public Housing Development (\$85,428/unit)	\$598 Million
Indian Housing Development (\$96,869/unit)	\$263 Million
Housing for the Elderly and Disabled (\$134,298/unit)	
Housing for Persons with AIDS (\$175,803/unit)	
Special Purpose Grants	
21st Street Corridor Project in Wichita, KS	\$1.5 Million
Environmental Modifications in Portland, ME	
Restoration of Union Station in Kansas City, MO	
Energy Efficiency in Public Housing in Butte, MT	
Clinical Lab Space in Billings, MT	
Renovations to the Boston Public Library in Boston, MA	
Renovations to Public Facilities in Buffalo, NY	
Expansion of St. Mary's Community College in Maryland	
City Farmer's Market Renovations in Toledo, OH	
Construction of a Youth Shelter in Hollywood, CA	
Revitalization of Windsor Park Neighborhood in Las Vegas, NV	
Library Construction in Beckley, WV	
Youth Sports Activities throughout Illinois	
Infrastructure Improvements in Clinton, TN	
Community Center in Lackawanna County, PA	
General Grants for Preston County, WV	
Arthurdale Heritage, Inc.	-
Tunnelton Historical Society	•
Kingwood MainStreet program	•
Grant to Pembroke State University, NC	
Distance Learning Programs in Storm Lake, IA	
Community Development in Little Rock, AR	
Service Coordination Activities in Little Rock, AR	
,	,
Independent Agencies	
American Battle Monuments Commission	
Corporation for National and Community Service	
Americorps Grants	
National Civilian Community Corps	
Points of Light Foundation	
Environmental Protection Agency	
Research and Development	
Continued Indoor Air Research	
Drinking Water Research	
Rural Drinking Water Demonstration Model, VA	
Abatement, Control and Compliance	
Earthvision Activities	
Grand Canyon Visibility Transport Commission	\$225,000
Studies of the Potential Effects of the European Ruffe,	
a Non-indigenous Fish to Lake Superior	\$70,000

Water Infrastructure / State Fund	\$3 Billion
Sewage Treatment in Boston, MA	\$100 Million
Wastewater Treatment in Waterloo, IA	\$37 Million
Hogg Creek Interceptor in Flowood, MS	\$3.7 Million
Wastewater Treatment in Lackawanna, PA	\$30 Million
Wastewater Treatment in New York, NY	
Sanitation Projects in Los Angeles, CA	\$100 Million
National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA)	\$14 Billion
Space Station Program	\$2.1 Billion

٠. .